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Abstract

In this supplementary material, we show further insight into SupeRVol. Specifically we describe the networks architecture with all its parameters and training specifications, we elaborate on the capturing process to retrieve the synthetic and real world photometric images and we show novel renderings with changed reflectance.

1. Network Details

1.1. Architecture

As mentioned in the main paper, we use three multilayer perceptrons (MLPs). One describes the geometry via an SDF, \(d_\theta\), one describes the BRDF’s diffuse albedo, \(\rho_{\gamma_1}\), and one is used for the specular parameters of the material, \(\alpha_{\gamma_2}\). The MLP of \(d_\theta\) consists of 5 layers of width 512, with a skip connection at the 4-th layer. The MLPs of \(\rho_{\gamma_1}\) and \(\alpha_{\gamma_2}\) consist of 4 layers of width 512, and 3 layers of width 256, respectively.

In order to compensate the spectral bias of MLPs [6], the input is encoded by positional encoding using 6 frequencies for both \(d_\theta\) and \(\alpha_{\gamma_2}\), and 12 frequencies for \(\rho_{\gamma_1}\).

1.2. Parameters and Cost Function

Similarly to [10, 9], we assume that the scene of interest lies within the unit sphere, which can be achieved by normalizing the camera positions appropriately. To approximate the Volume rendering integral (2) using (4), we use \(m = 98\) samples which are also used to approximate (3), all with the sampling strategy of [8].

In the following, we distinguish between the ablation study noSR of the main paper and SupeRVol. For SupeRVol, we set the objective’s function trade-off parameters \(\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0.1\). Furthermore, in order to approximate the convolution with a Gaussian PSF (8), we use \(N_s = 25\) in (9), and the terms of the objective function (10) and (11) consist of a batch size of 100 (inside the silhouette) and 1000, respectively. For the mask term (12) of the objective function, we use the same batch as (10), and add around 500 additional rays outside the silhouette whose rays still intersect with the unit sphere.

Concerning the noSR parameters, we set the objective’s function trade-off parameters \(\lambda_1 = 0.1, \lambda_2 = 0\), i.e. we turn off mask supervision, and the terms of the objective function (10) and (11) consist of a batch size of 2000 and 1000, respectively.

Note, that we always normalize each objective function’s summand with its corresponding batch size.

1.3. Training

We train our networks using the Adam optimizer[3] with a learning rate initialized with \(5e^{-4}\) and decayed exponentially during training to \(5e^{-5}\), except for the MLP \(\alpha_{\gamma_2}\) whose learning rate is constantly equal to \(1e^{-5}\). The remaining parameters are kept to Pytorch’s default. We train for 2000 epochs, which lasts about 2 days for noSR, and less than 3 days for SupeRVol using a single NVIDIA P6000 GPU with 24GB memory and 60 input images. For SupeRVol, we fix the geometry after the end of the training, and refine the BRDF’s parameters using a larger batch size of 700 – all within the object’s silhouette.

2. Data Acquisition

In this section we describe how we generated the datasets used in this paper

2.1. Synthetic Data

The synthetic datasets dog1, dog2, girl1, girl2 were generated using Blender [2] and Matlab [5], where Blender [2] is used to render depth, normal and BRDF parameter maps for each viewpoint, and Matlab [5] is used to render images using equation (6) and (7) of the main paper.
The low-resolution images, of size $320 \times 240$, are obtained by blurring and downsampling high-resolution images, of size $1280 \times 960$, by a factor four (in each direction).

### 2.2. Real World Data

The real world data of *pony* and *dragon* were shared by the authors of [1], and the real world data of *bird* and *squirrel* were created by ourselves. We use a Samsung Galaxy Note 8 and the application "CameraProfessional"¹ to generate RAW images as well as the smartphone’s images in parallel. We use the RAW images for our algorithm, and we pre-processed those using Matlab [5] by following [7]. Low-resolution images are obtained similarly to synthetic data, which are of size $270 \times 480$ for *pony* and *dragon*, and $504 \times 378$ for *bird* and *squirrel*.

### 3. Novel Renderings

To validate that our approach results in the scene’s parameters which can be used to alter the material and visualize it under novel illumination with standard software (Blender [2]), we show novel renderings in Fig. 1.

Figure 1. Novel rendering of *pony* and *bird* dataset. Both shapes were extracted from the learned sdf $d$ using [4] and their BRDF was altered in Blender[2]. (left) shows a BRDF simulating gold, (right) uses the estimated diffuse albedo, with a more metallic, rougher and emissive material.
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