



# **Depth-Adaptive Superpixels**

### Jan Möller Robert Posch

Technische Universität München Department of Informatics Computer Vision Group

October 5, 2015





# Outline

- **2** Superpixel Algorithms
- **3** CUDA Implementation
- 4 Conclusion and Future Work



# Outline

- 2 Superpixel Algorithms
- **3** CUDA Implementation
- 4 Conclusion and Future Work





# Computer Vision Group

- Subdivide image into meaningful regions (superpixels)
- Performance criteria:
  - Spatial proximity
  - Color similarity
  - Adherence to edges
  - Structural resemblance
  - Run time
- Approach by modified k-means algorithm



[Achanta et al., 2012]





- 2 Superpixel Algorithms
- 4 Conclusion and Future Work

ische Universität München





# Simple Linear Iterative Clustering (SLIC)

- Generate a cluster seed for each superpixel
- Cluster seeds have position and color
- For each pixel compute distance to closest cluster
- Distance function has terms for
  - spatial distance
  - color distance
- Re-compute cluster-position and -color by averaging over affiliated pixels
- Iterate with new values
- Speed-up by only considering small window around cluster

[Achanta et al., 2012]



# Simple Linear Iterative Clustering (SLIC)



Search window during distance computation





# Simple Linear Iterative Clustering (SLIC)

- Pro:
  - Produces similar-sized superpixels
  - Good color adherence
  - Faster than similar algorithms
- Con:
  - Needs post-processing step to make sure pixels are connected with cluster center
  - No structural resemblance

[Achanta et al., 2012]



# **Depth-Adaptive Superpixels**

- Incorporates 3D information into algorithm
- Computes density image from depth gradients
  - High density in distant and perspectively deformed areas
  - Cluster seeds are distributed with respect to density
- Spawns more cluster seeds in high-density regions
- Computes normal vectors from depth gradients
- Adds normal-term to distance function
- Update step similar to SLIC

[Weikersdorfer et al., 2012, Weikersdorfer, 2013]

# Computer Vision Group



# Overview







# **Depth-Adaptive Superpixels**

### Pro:

- Superpixel shape respects 3D objects
- Doesn't completely rely on color
- Con:
  - Non-trivial cluster generation
  - Speed deficit

[Weikersdorfer et al., 2012, Weikersdorfer, 2013]





- **CUDA** Implementation 3
- 4 Conclusion and Future Work



ische Universität München



# **GPU** Implementation

- Density computation is well posed for parallelization
- Algorithm used for seed generation inherently sequential
  - Uses Floyd-Steinberg dithering
  - By computing on CPU additional memory transfers necessary
  - Can be hidden by parallel execution
- Distance computation challenging
  - Start a kernel for each cluster in parallel
  - Dynamically calculate search window size
  - Race conditions with overlapping windows



# Reminder: SLIC



Search window during distance computation





## **Race conditions**



Overlapping windows cause race conditions



# Use of atomicMin

- Use atomicMin to avoid race conditions
  - Cluster index and distance need to be set at once
  - No mutexes in CUDA
- atomicMin only defined for integer data types
- Align distance and index such that they can be represented by a single 64-bit integer
- First four bytes determine the outcome of minimum operation
  - Store distance into the first four bytes
  - Store cluster index into last four bytes





# Live Demo

Jan Möller, Robert Posch: Depth-Adaptive Superpixels



# Outline

- 2 Superpixel Algorithms
- **3** CUDA Implementation
- 4 Conclusion and Future Work





# Performance Comparision





# Conclusion

Computer Vision Group

- Atomics don't necessarily have a big performance impact
- Simple algorithms may not easily transfer to massively parallel architectures
- Organization of spatial data can be challenging if it doesn't follow a trivial alignment



# **Future Work**

- Ensure pixels are connected to affiliated cluster center
- Cluster seed generation on GPU
- Further optimization



# Bibliography I

[Achanta et al., 2012] Achanta, R., Shaji, A., Smith, K., Lucchi, A., Fua, P., and Süsstrunk, S. (2012). Slic superpixels compared to state-of-the-art superpixel methods. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence.

[Weikersdorfer, 2013] Weikersdorfer, D. (2013). Efficiency by Sparsity: Depth-Adaptive Superpixels and Event-based SLAM. Dissertation, Technische Universität München.

[Weikersdorfer et al., 2012] Weikersdorfer, D., Gossow, D., and Beetz, M. (2012). Depth-adaptive superpixels. IEEE International Conference on Pattern Recognition.