Convex Optimization for Computer Vision Lecture: M. Möller and T. Möllenhoff Exercises: E. Laude Summer Semester 2016 Computer Vision Group Institut für Informatik Technische Universität München

## Weekly Exercises 4

Room 01.09.014 Friday, 13.5.2016, 09:00-11:00 Submission deadline: Wednesday, 11.5.2016, 14:00, Room: 02.09.023

## Theory: Projected gradient descent (8+8 Points)

**Exercise 1** (4 Points). Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be orthonormal, meaning that  $A^{\top}A = AA^{\top} = I$ . Let the convex set C be given as

$$C := \{ u \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||Au||_{\infty} \le 1 \}.$$

Compute a formula for the projection onto C given as

$$\Pi_C(v) := \operatorname{argmin}_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \|u - v\|_2^2, \quad \text{s.t. } u \in C.$$

Hint: Show that the  $\ell_2$ -norm of a vector is invariant under a multiplication with an orthonormal matrix A, meaning that  $||u||_2 = ||Au||_2$ .

Solution. We begin proving the hint:

$$||Ax||_2^2 = \langle Ax, Ax \rangle = \langle A^\top Ax, x \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle = ||x||_2^2.$$

The idea is to rewrite the projection onto the set C in terms of the projection  $\Pi_{\tilde{C}}$ onto the unit ball of the  $\ell_{\infty}$ -norm  $\tilde{C} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x||_{\infty} \leq 1\}$ . With the substitution

$$w := Au \iff u = A^\top w$$

and using the hint we obtain:

$$\Pi_{C}(v) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\|Au\|_{\infty} \leq 1} \frac{1}{2} \|v - u\|^{2}$$

$$= A^{\top} \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_{\infty} \leq 1} \frac{1}{2} \|v - A^{\top}w\|^{2}$$

$$= A^{\top} \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_{\infty} \leq 1} \frac{1}{2} \|A(v - A^{\top}w)\|^{2}$$

$$= A^{\top} \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_{\infty} \leq 1} \frac{1}{2} \|Av - AA^{\top}w\|^{2}$$

$$= A^{\top} \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_{\infty} \leq 1} \frac{1}{2} \|Av - w\|^{2}$$

$$= A^{\top} \Pi_{\tilde{C}}(Av).$$

**Exercise 2** (8 Points). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be coercive, differentiable and let  $\nabla f$  be locally Lipschitz continuous i.e. for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  and a constant  $L_{\epsilon} > 0$ , such that  $\nabla f$  is  $L_{\epsilon}$ -Lipschitz on  $B_{\epsilon}(x)$ .

- 1. Give an example of a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  that meets the above assumptions, but for which  $\nabla f$  is not Lipschitz continuous. (With a proof).
- Let f be an arbitrary function meeting the above assumptions. Show that for any α ≥ min<sub>x</sub> f(x) there exists a constant L<sub>α</sub> such that f is L<sub>α</sub>-smooth on the sublevel set S<sub>α</sub> := {x : f(x) ≤ α}. Hint: You can use the topological definition of compactness: Any subset X ⊂ ℝ<sup>n</sup> is called compact (closed and bounded in the Euclidean case that we consider) if each of its open covers has a finite subcover (see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Compact\_space). One possible open cover of X is the union of all ε-balls with any ε: ⋃<sub>x∈X</sub> B<sub>ε</sub>(x).
- 3. Show that  $x^+ := x \tau \nabla f(x) \in S_\alpha$  if  $\tau < \frac{1}{L_\alpha}$  and  $x \in S_\alpha$ .
- 4. Conclude that for each initialization  $x_0$ , there exists a  $\tau_{x_0}$  such that gradient descent with the constant step size  $\tau_{x_0}$  converges.
- **Solution.** 1. A possible choice is the function  $f(x) := x^4$ . The first and second order derivatives of f are the given as

$$f'(x) = 4x^3, \quad f''(x) = 12x^2.$$

Then for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$  we have

$$\sup_{y \in B_{\epsilon}(x)} 12x^2 = 12(|x| + \epsilon)^2 =: L_{\epsilon}.$$

Thus  $f''(y) \leq L_{\epsilon}$  for  $y \in B_{\epsilon}(x)$  which means that f is  $L_{\epsilon}$ -smooth on  $B_{\epsilon}(x)$ .

2.  $S_{\alpha}$  is non-empty since  $\alpha \geq \min_{x} f(x)$ . Moreover,  $S_{\alpha} = f^{-1}((-\infty, \alpha])$  is closed since it is the preimage of the closed set  $(-\infty, \alpha]$  under the continuous function f. A characterization of continuity of a function  $f: X \to Y$  is that preimages of open sets are open under f. Using this characterization one can show that the same holds for closed sets: If  $D \subseteq Y$  is closed we have that  $Y \setminus D$  is open and since f continuous

$$f^{-1}(Y \setminus D) = X \setminus f^{-1}(D)$$

is open. And therefore  $X \setminus (X \setminus f^{-1}(D)) = f^{-1}(D)$  is closed. Since f is coercive  $S_{\alpha}$  is bounded. Otherwise there would exist a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset S_{\alpha}$  with  $||x_n|| \to \infty$  for  $n \to \infty$  and then, since f coercive and continuous,  $f(x_n) \to \infty$  for  $n \to \infty$ . So overall  $S_{\alpha}$  is compact and therefore the closure of the convex hull  $cl(conv(S_{\alpha}))$  of  $S_{\alpha}$  is compact too. Now, for any  $x, y \in S_{\alpha}$ consider the closed line  $M := [x, y] := conv(\{x, y\}) \subset cl(conv(S_{\alpha}))$ . Now  $M \subset \bigcup_{x \in M} B_{\epsilon_x}(x)$  (where  $\epsilon_x$  is chosen s.t.  $\nabla f$  locally Lipschitz on  $B_{\epsilon_x}(x)$  with constant  $L_{\epsilon_x}$ ) has a finite subcover

$$M \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} B_{\epsilon_{x_i}}(x_i).$$

We can now construct a finite sequence  $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{N+1} \subset M$  with points along the line with

$$x = y_1 \in B_{\epsilon_{x_1}}(x_1), \quad y = y_{N+1} \in B_{\epsilon_{x_N}}(x_N)$$
  
$$y_i \in B_{\epsilon_{x_{i-1}}}(x_{i-1}) \cap B_{\epsilon_{x_i}}(x_i), \quad 2 \le i \le N.$$

Then we have for  $L_{\alpha} := \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} L_{\epsilon_{x_i}}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|_{2} &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla f(y_{i}) - \nabla f(y_{i+1}) \right\|_{2} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \underbrace{\|\nabla f(y_{i}) - \nabla f(y_{i+1})\|_{2}}_{y_{i},y_{i+1} \in B_{\epsilon_{x_{i}}}(x_{i})} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_{\epsilon_{x_{i}}} \|y_{i} - y_{i+1}\|_{2} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_{\alpha} \|y_{i} - y_{i+1}\|_{2} \\ &= L_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|y_{i} - y_{i+1}\|_{2} \\ &= L_{\alpha} \|x - y\|_{2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\nabla f$  is  $L_{\alpha}$ -Lipschitz on  $S_{\alpha}$ .

3. We define

$$g(t) := -f(x) + f(x - t\nabla f(x))$$

Then, since f is differentiable we obtain

$$g'(t) = -\langle \nabla f(x), \nabla f(x - t\nabla f(x)) \rangle$$

Suppose  $\nabla f(x) \neq 0$ , otherwise the assertion would be trivially satisfied. Then  $g'(0) = -\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 < 0$  which means (due to the continuity of f) there exists t > 0, such that  $g'(\tau) < 0$  and (due to Taylor's theorem)  $g(\tau) < 0$  for all  $\tau \in (0, t]$ . Suppose there exists a  $\tau' \in (t, 1/L_{\alpha}]$  so that  $g(\tau') > 0$ . From the intermediate value theorem it follows that there exists a point  $\tau'' \in (\tau, \tau')$  with  $g(\tau'') = 0$  and from the mean value theorem of f it follows that there exists a

 $\xi \in (\tau, \tau'')$  with  $g'(\xi) > 0$  and  $g(\xi) < 0$ . As  $g(\xi) = f(x) + f(x - \xi \nabla f(x)) < 0$ we have that  $x - \xi \nabla f(x) \in S_{\alpha}$ . Then we get (since  $\nabla f$  is  $L_{\alpha}$ -Lipschitz)

$$g'(\xi) = -\langle \nabla f(x), \nabla f(x) - (\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(x - \xi \nabla f(x))) \rangle$$
  
=  $-\|\nabla f(x)\|_{2}^{2} + \langle \nabla f(x), \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(x - \xi \nabla f(x)) \rangle$   
 $\leq -\|\nabla f(x)\|_{2}^{2} + \|\nabla f(x)\|_{2}\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(x - \xi \nabla f(x))\|_{2}$   
 $\leq -\|\nabla f(x)\|_{2}^{2} + \|\nabla f(x)\|_{2}L_{\alpha}\|x - x + \xi \nabla f(x)\|_{2}$   
=  $-\|\nabla f(x)\|_{2}^{2} + \|\nabla f(x)\|_{2}L_{\alpha}\xi\|\nabla f(x)\|_{2}$   
=  $(L_{\alpha}\xi - 1)\|\nabla f(x)\|_{2}^{2} < 0$ 

This contradicts the assumption and therefore

$$g(t) \le 0$$
, for all  $t \in \left[0, \frac{1}{L_{\alpha}}\right]$ .

4. Set  $\alpha := f(x_0)$  and

$$au_{x_0} \in \left(0, \frac{1}{L_{\alpha}}\right].$$

Then, for  $x_k \in S_\alpha$  we have

$$x_{k+1} := x_k - \tau_{x_0} \nabla f(x_k) \in S_\alpha$$

and using the result from the previous exercise  $\{f(x_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  is monotone decreasing and bounded since  $S_{\alpha}$  compact and f and continuous. Together this yields that  $\{f(x_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges.

**Exercise 3** (4 Points). Let  $C_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$  be a family of closed convex sets such that

$$\bigcap_{1 \le i \le n} C_i \ne \emptyset.$$

Show that the problem of finding an element  $u^*$  in the intersection

$$u^* \in \bigcap_{1 \le i \le n} C_i$$

can be formulated as the following optimization problem:

$$u^* \in \arg\min_{\substack{u \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} C_i \\ 1 \le j \le n}} \sum_{\substack{j \notin \mathcal{I} \\ 1 \le j \le n}} d^2(u, C_j),$$

where  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  can be arbitrary (including the empty set) and d(z, X) is the distance of a point z to the closed convex set X defined as

$$d(z, X) := \min_{x \in X} \|x - z\|_2.$$

**Solution.** Since all  $C_i$  are closed and convex,  $d(u, C_i)$  is well defined. Since  $d^2(u, C_j) \ge 0$  and  $d^2(u, C_j) = 0 \iff u \in C_j$ ,

$$u \in \bigcap_{\substack{j \notin \mathcal{I} \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} C_j \iff \sum_{\substack{j \notin \mathcal{I} \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} d^2(u, C_j) = 0.$$

This yields that

$$0 = \sum_{\substack{j \notin \mathcal{I} \\ 1 \le j \le n}} d^2(u^*, C_j) + \iota_{\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} C_i}(u^*)$$

iff  $u^* \in \bigcap_{1 \le i \le n} C_i$ . Since  $\bigcap_{1 \le i \le n} C_i$  non-empty

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{u \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} C_i} \sum_{\substack{j \notin \mathcal{I} \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} d^2(u, C_j) \subset \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq n} C_i.$$

## Programming: SUDOKU

## (12 Points)

**Exercise 4** (12 Points). Solve the SUDOKUs given in the files exampleSudoku1.mat and exampleSudoku2.mat with projected gradient descent. For that you need to find a point

$$u^* \in \bigcap_{1 \le i \le n+m+1} C_i$$

where the convex sets in the intersection are given as

$$C_i := \{ u \in \mathbb{R}^{729} : \langle a_i, u \rangle = 1 \}, \quad 1 \le i \le n,$$
$$C_i := \{ u \in \mathbb{R}^{729} : u_j = 1, \ j \in \mathcal{B} \}, \quad n+1 \le i \le n+m,$$

and  $\mathcal{B}$  is the set of indexes corresponding to the known numbers and

$$C_{n+m+1} := \{ u \in \mathbb{R}^{729} : u_j \in [0,1], \, \forall \, 1 \le j \le 729 \}.$$

For a more precise definition of the constraint sets see lecture.

Solve the programming assignment in the spirit of exercise 3 using the following two partitions of the indexes  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n + m + 1\}$ :

- 1.  $\mathcal{I}_1 := \{n + m + 1\}$  and
- 2.  $\mathcal{I}_2 := \{n+1, n+2, \dots, n+m+1\},\$

and plot the resulting energy decays.

Hint: Show that for the linear constraint sets  $C_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$  the distance  $d(z, C_i)$  of a point z to the set  $C_i$  is equal to  $|\langle a_i, z \rangle - 1|$ .