Convex Optimization for Computer Vision Lecture: M. Möller and T. Möllenhoff Exercises: E. Laude Summer Semester 2016 Computer Vision Group Institut für Informatik Technische Universität München

Weekly Exercises 6

Room 01.09.014 Friday, 3.6.2016, 09:00-11:00 Submission deadline: Wednesday, 1.6.2016, 14:00, Room: 02.09.023

Theory: Line Search

(6 Points)

Exercise 1 (6 Points). In the lecture, we have seen that for $E: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$

$$E(u) = G(u) + F(u),$$

with closed, proper, convex $G : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ and L-smooth $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ the proximal gradient algorithm given by the iteration

$$u^{k+1} = \operatorname{prox}_{\tau G}(u^k - \tau \nabla F(u^k)),$$

converges with rate $\mathcal{O}(1/k)$, i.e.

$$E(u^k) - E(u^*) \in \mathcal{O}(1/k).$$

In this exercise, we select τ_k using a line search (In practice this is helpful if L is not known): The line search works as follows: Start at some initial $\tau := \hat{\tau} > 0$ and iteratively compute $\tau := \beta \tau$ for $0 < \beta < 1$ until the following inequality, that we have seen in the lecture holds:

$$F(u - \tau \varphi_{\tau}(u)) \le F(u) - \tau \langle \nabla F(u), \varphi_{\tau}(u) \rangle + \frac{\tau}{2} \|\varphi_{\tau}(u)\|^{2}.$$

Prove that proximal gradient with line search converges with rate $\mathcal{O}(1/k)$. Hint: Show that the step size τ selected by the line search satisfies

$$\tau \ge \tau_{\min} = \min\{\hat{\tau}, \beta/L\}.$$

Solution. To formalize the line search algorithm, we can state that

$$\tau^{k} = \beta^{j_{k}} \hat{\tau} \quad \text{for } j_{k} = \min_{j} \{ j \in \mathbb{B} \mid H(u^{k}, \beta^{j} \hat{\tau}) \leq 0 \}$$
$$H(u, \tau) := F(u - \tau \phi_{\tau}(u)) - F(u) + \tau \langle \nabla F(u), \phi_{\tau}(u) \rangle - \frac{\tau}{2} \| \phi_{\tau}(u) \|^{2}$$

Part 1: Show that $\tau^k \ge \tau_{min} = \min(\hat{\tau}, \frac{\beta}{L})$ for all k.

• Let $\hat{\tau} \leq \frac{1}{L}$. It has been shown in the lecture, that $H(u, \tau) \leq 0$ hold for all u if $\tau \leq \frac{1}{L}$. Thus, $j_k = 0$ for all k, and $\tau_k = \hat{\tau}$, which means $\tau_k \geq \hat{\tau}$.

• Now let $\hat{\tau} > \frac{1}{L}$. Assume there exists a $k \ge 1$ with $\tau_k < \frac{\beta}{L}$. Then

$$\frac{\beta^{j_k}}{\hat{\tau}} < \frac{\beta}{L}$$

which means

$$\beta^{j_k - 1} \hat{\tau} < \frac{1}{L}.$$

Again, according to the lecture we must have $H(u^k, \beta^{j_k-1}\hat{\tau}) \leq 0$, which contradicts the definition of j as the smallest natural number for which the previous inequality holds.

Part 2: Show that $E(u^N) - E(u^*) \in \mathcal{O}(1/k)$: It was shown in the lecture that $H(u^k, \tau^k) \leq 0$ implies

$$E(u^{k+1}) = E(u^k - \tau^k \phi_{\tau^k}(u)) \le E(w) + \langle \phi_{\tau^k}(u^k), u^k - w \rangle - \frac{\tau^k}{2} \|\phi_{\tau^k}(u^k)\|^2$$

for arbitrary elements w.

By inserting $w = u^k$, one quickly sees that the energy is monotonically decreasing.

By inserting a minimizer u^* of the energy E, i.e. $w = u^*$, and completing the square similar to the lecture, we find

$$E(u^{k+1}) - E(u^*) \le \frac{1}{2\tau^k} \left(\|u^k - u^*\|^2 - \|u^{k+1} - u^*\|^2 \right).$$

We sum the above inequality from k = 0 to k = N - 1 and obtain

$$\begin{split} N(E(N) - E(u^*)) &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (E(u^{k+1}) - E(u^*)) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2\tau^k} \left(\|u^k - u^*\|^2 - \|u^{k+1} - u^*\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\tau_{min}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\|u^k - u^*\|^2 - \|u^{k+1} - u^*\|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\tau_{min}} \left(\|u^0 - u^*\|^2 - \|u^N - u^*\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\tau_{min}} \|u^0 - u^*\|^2, \end{split}$$

where we used the monotonicity of the energy in the first inequality. After dividing by N we see that the energy is approaching the minimum with a rate of at least 1/N.

Programming: TV Deblurring (12 Points)

Exercise 2 (12 Points). Given a blurry and noisy input image f, reconstruct a sharper image u^* by solving the following optimization problem

$$u^* = \arg\min_{u} \ \frac{1}{2} \|k * u - f\|^2 + \alpha \|Du\|_{2,1}$$
(1)

with proximal gradient descent (for the definition of the convolution k * u, see the lecture slides). To do so, perform the following steps:

- First construct a convolution kernel k of your choice, for example by using the MATLAB command fspecial.
- Then build a sparse matrix representing the convolution with an image u. You can use any boundary condition, and feel free to use convmtx2.
- Given the image flowers.png, construct a blurred and noisy version by applying your sparse matrix to it and add some Gaussian noise using randn.
- Restore the original image by solving (1) using proximal gradient descent. Solve the inner TV denoising problem using projected gradient descent on the dual problem.
- Experiment with different amounts of inner projected gradient descent iterations (use 15 as a starting point) and use the solution of the previous outer iteration to warm-start the algorithm.