TU MÜNCHEN FAKULTÄT FÜR INFORMATIK PD Dr. Rudolph Triebel John Chiotellis

Machine Learning for Robotics and Computer Vision Summer term 2016

Homework Assignment 4

Topic 1: Boosting and Mixture Models May 27, 2016

Exercise 1: Adaboost

See code

Exercise 2: Expectation-Maximization for GMM

In the standard EM algorithm, we first define the responsibilities γ as

$$\gamma_{nk} = p(z_{nk} = 1 | x_n) = \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(x_n | \mu_k, \Sigma_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \pi_j \mathcal{N}(x_n | \mu_j, \Sigma_j)} \quad , z_{nk} \in \{0, 1\}, \sum_{k=1}^K z_{nk} = 1$$

a) Find the optimal means, covariances and mixing coefficients that maximize the data likelihood. How can we interpret the results?

We want to maximize the data likelihood, so as usual we minimize the negative log-likelihood:

$$-\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L} = -\log p(X|\mu, \Sigma, \pi) = -\log \prod_{n} \sum_{k} \pi_{k} \mathcal{N}(x_{n}|\mu_{k}, \Sigma_{k})$$
 (1)

This time we minimize 3 times independently with respect to the means, the covariances and the mixture coefficients:

$$\mu_k^* = \arg\min_{\mu} -\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L} \tag{2}$$

$$\mu_k^* = \underset{\mu_k}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} - \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}$$

$$\Sigma_k^* = \underset{\Sigma_k}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} - \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}$$
(2)

$$\pi_k^* = \underset{\pi_k}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} -\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L} \tag{4}$$

In the following, to avoid confusion of sums and covariances, we denote covariance Σ_k as C_k . To simplify some expressions, let us agree on the following notation:

$$\mathcal{N}_{nk} \equiv \mathcal{N}(x_n | \mu_k, C_k) \tag{5}$$

$$f_k \equiv ((2\pi)^d |C_k|)^{-1/2} \tag{6}$$

$$D_{nk} \equiv (x_n - \mu_k)^T C_k^{-1} (x_n - \mu_k)$$
 (7)

Thus, we have:

$$-\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{n} \log \sum_{k} \pi_{k} \mathcal{N}_{nk}$$
$$= -\sum_{n} \log \sum_{k} \pi_{k} f_{k} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} D_{nk})$$

Solving for the means:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}{\partial \mu_k} = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \pi_j \mathcal{N}_{nj}} \frac{\partial \sum_{k} \pi_k f_k \exp(-\frac{1}{2} D_{nk})}{\partial \mu_k}$$
(8)

$$= \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \pi_{j} \mathcal{N}_{nj}} \pi_{k} f_{k} \frac{\partial \exp(-\frac{1}{2} D_{nk})}{\partial \mu_{k}}$$

$$\tag{9}$$

$$= \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \pi_{j} \mathcal{N}_{nj}} \pi_{k} f_{k} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} D_{nk}) C_{k}^{-1} (x_{n} - \mu_{k})$$
 (10)

$$= \sum_{n} \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}_{nk}}{\sum_{j} \pi_j \mathcal{N}_{nj}} C_k^{-1} (x_n - \mu_k)$$

$$\tag{11}$$

$$=\sum_{n}\gamma_{nk}C_{k}^{-1}(x_{n}-\mu_{k})\tag{12}$$

(13)

Setting $-\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}{\partial \mu_k} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$ gives us:

$$\sum_{n} \gamma_{nk} C_k^{-1} \mu_k = \sum_{n} \gamma_{nk} C_k^{-1} x_n \tag{14}$$

$$C_k^{-1}\mu_k \sum_{n} \gamma_{nk} = C_k^{-1} \sum_{n} \gamma_{nk} x_n \tag{15}$$

$$C_k^{-1}\mu_k \sum_{n} \gamma_{nk} = C_k^{-1} \sum_{n} \gamma_{nk} x_n$$
 (16)

$$\mu_k \sum_n \gamma_{nk} = \sum_n \gamma_{nk} x_n \tag{17}$$

$$\mu_k = \frac{\sum_n \gamma_{nk} x_n}{\sum_n \gamma_{nk}} \tag{18}$$

Solving for the covariances:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}{\partial C_k} = \sum_n \frac{1}{\sum_j \pi_j \mathcal{N}_{nj}} \frac{\partial \sum_k \pi_k f_k \exp(-\frac{1}{2}D_{nk})}{\partial C_k}$$
(19)

$$= \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \pi_{j} \mathcal{N}_{nj}} \pi_{k} \frac{\partial f_{k} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} D_{nk})}{\partial C_{k}}$$
(20)

$$= \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \pi_{j} \mathcal{N}_{nj}} \pi_{k} \left(\frac{\partial f_{k}}{\partial C_{k}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} D_{nk}) + f_{k} \frac{\partial \exp(-\frac{1}{2} D_{nk})}{\partial C_{k}} \right)$$
(21)

$$= \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \pi_{j} \mathcal{N}_{nj}} \pi_{k} \left(\left(-\frac{1}{2} f_{k} C_{k}^{-1} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} D_{nk} \right) + \frac{1}{2} f_{k} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} D_{nk} \right) C_{k}^{-1} (x_{n} - \mu_{k}) (x_{n} - \mu_{k})^{T} C_{k}^{-1} \right)$$

$$(22)$$

$$= \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \pi_{j} \mathcal{N}_{nj}} \pi_{k} f_{k} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} D_{nk}\right) \left(C_{k}^{-1} - C_{k}^{-1} (x_{n} - \mu_{k})(x_{n} - \mu_{k})^{T} C_{k}^{-1}\right)$$

$$= \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{k} \gamma_{nk} \left(C_k^{-1} - C_k^{-1} (x_n - \mu_k)(x_n - \mu_k)^T C_k^{-1}\right)$$
(24)

(25)

(23)

Here, we used the derivative of the determinant as follows:

$$\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial C_k} = \frac{\partial ((2\pi)^d |C_k|)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial C_k} = ((2\pi)^d)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial (|C_k|)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\partial C_k}$$
(26)

$$= ((2\pi)^d)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (-\frac{1}{2})|C_k|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\partial (|C_k|)}{\partial C_k} = ((2\pi)^d)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (-\frac{1}{2})|C_k|^{-\frac{3}{2}} |C_k| (C_k^{-1})^T$$
 (27)

$$= (-\frac{1}{2})((2\pi)^d)^{-\frac{1}{2}}|C_k|^{-\frac{1}{2}}C_k^{-1} = -\frac{1}{2}f_kC_k^{-1}$$
(28)

and the derivative of the Mahalanobis distance as:

$$\frac{\partial x^T C^{-1} x}{\partial C} = -C^{-T} x x^T C^{-T} = -C^{-1} x x^T C^{-1}$$
 (29)

Setting $-\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}}{\partial C_k} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$ gives us:

$$\sum_{n} \gamma_{nk} C_k^{-1} = \sum_{n} \gamma_{nk} C_k^{-1} (x_n - \mu_k) (x_n - \mu_k)^T C_k^{-1}$$
(30)

$$C_k^{-1} \sum \gamma_{nk} = C_k^{-1} \sum \gamma_{nk} (x_n - \mu_k) (x_n - \mu_k)^T C_k^{-1}$$
(31)

$$\sum \gamma_{nk} = \sum \gamma_{nk} (x_n - \mu_k) (x_n - \mu_k)^T C_k^{-1}$$
 (32)

$$C_k = \frac{\sum_n \gamma_{nk} (x_n - \mu_k) (x_n - \mu_k)^T}{\sum_n \gamma_{nk}}$$
(33)

Solving for the mixture coefficients: Here we must take into account that $\sum_k \pi_k = 1$. We enforce this constraint with a Lagrange multiplier. Our objective then becomes:

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L} + \lambda(\sum_{k} \pi_k - 1)$$
(34)

where $\lambda < 0$.

Deriving w.r.t. π_k , we get

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}'}{\partial \pi_k} = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \pi_j \mathcal{N}_{nj}} \frac{\partial \sum_{k} \pi_k \mathcal{N}_{nk}}{\partial \pi_k} + \lambda \tag{35}$$

$$= \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \pi_{j} \mathcal{N}_{nj}} \mathcal{N}_{nk} + \lambda \tag{36}$$

$$=\sum_{n}\frac{\gamma_{nk}}{\pi_{k}}+\lambda\tag{37}$$

Setting equal to zero and solving for λ , we get

$$\lambda = -\sum_{n} \frac{\gamma_{nk}}{\pi_k} \tag{38}$$

$$\lambda \pi_k = -\sum_n \gamma_{nk} \tag{39}$$

$$\sum_{k} \lambda \pi_k = -\sum_{k} \sum_{n} \gamma_{nk} \tag{40}$$

$$\lambda = -N \tag{41}$$

Now we can plug this back to the objective and actually solve for π_k :

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}'}{\partial \pi_k} = \sum_{n} \frac{\gamma_{nk}}{\pi_k} - N \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \tag{42}$$

$$\frac{1}{\pi_k} \sum_{n} \gamma_{nk} = N \tag{43}$$

$$\pi_k = \frac{\sum_n \gamma_{nk}}{N} = \frac{N_k}{N} \tag{44}$$

We can interpret these results as weighted averages of means and covariances, the weights corresponding to the responsibilities γ_{nk} . The mixture coefficients π_k are simply the ratio of data points explained by each component.

b) Define the complete-data-log-likelihood. What is the difference to the standard log-likelihood?

Assuming we observe not only the data but also the binary latent variables Z we define the complete data likelihood as:

$$p(X, Z | \pi, \mu, C) = \prod_{n} p(z_n | \pi) p(x_n | z_n, \mu, C)$$
(45)

where $p(z_n|\pi) = \prod_k \pi_k^{z_{nk}}$ and $p(x_n|z_n, \mu, C) = \prod_k \mathcal{N}(x_n|\mu_k, C_k)^{z_{nk}}$.

Remember that $\sum_{k} z_{nk} = 1$.

Since now we only have products, we can more easily compute the logarithm:

$$\log p(X, Z | \pi, \mu, C) = \sum_{n} \sum_{k} z_{nk} (\log \pi_k + \log \mathcal{N}(x_n | \mu_k, C_k))$$

$$\tag{46}$$

Of course in practice, the latent variables are not known, so we maximize the expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}[\log p(X, Z | \pi, \mu, C)] = \sum_{n} \sum_{k} \mathbb{E}[z_{nk}](\log \pi_k + \log \mathcal{N}(x_n | \mu_k, C_k))$$
(47)

where we know that $\mathbb{E}[z_{nk}] = \gamma_{nk}$.

The theory says that the log-marginal is also maximized implicitly!

Exercise 3: K-Means and EM

d) As EM estimates covariances, the data distribution of each component can be approximated more precisely. Therefore on average it has lower errors. However, EM needs more iterations to converge to a local minimum and each iteration is more expensive. In contrast to K-means, where we only estimate means, in EM we have to estimate means, covariances and mixture coefficients. The runtime can be reduced by warm-starting EM with the means found by K-means.