

Chapter 1

Convex Analysis

Convex Optimization for Machine Learning & Computer Vision
SS 2017

Tao Wu
Thomas Möllenhoff
Emanuel Laude

Computer Vision Group
Department of Informatics
TU München

Convex Analysis

Tao Wu
Thomas Möllenhoff
Emanuel Laude



Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator



Convex Set



Assume

- \mathbb{E} is a Euclidean space (finite dimensional vector space), equipped with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, e.g. $\langle u, v \rangle = u \cdot v$.
- C is a closed convex subset in \mathbb{E} .
- J is a convex objective function.

Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

Convex optimization

$$\text{minimize } J(u) \quad \text{over } u \in C.$$

First questions:

- What is a convex set?
- What is a convex function?

Convex set

Definition

A set C is said to be **convex** if

$$\alpha u + (1 - \alpha)v \in C, \quad \forall u, v \in C, \quad \forall \alpha \in [0, 1].$$



Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer


Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate


Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

convex



non-convex



Definition

- A set $C \subset \mathbb{E}$ is **open** if $\forall u \in C, \exists \epsilon > 0$ s.t. $B_\epsilon(u) \subset C$, where $B_\epsilon(u) := \{v \in \mathbb{E} : \|v - u\| < \epsilon\}$.
- A set $C \subset \mathbb{E}$ is **closed** if its complement $\mathbb{E} \setminus C$ is open.
- The **closure** of a set $C \subset \mathbb{E}$ is

$$\text{cl } C = \{u \in \mathbb{E} : \exists \{u^k\} \subset C \text{ s.t. } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u^k = u\}.$$

- The **interior** of a set $C \subset \mathbb{E}$ is

$$\text{int } C = \{u \in C : \exists \epsilon > 0 \text{ s.t. } B_\epsilon(u) \subset C\}.$$



Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator



Definition

- A set $C \subset \mathbb{E}$ is **open** if $\forall u \in C, \exists \epsilon > 0$ s.t. $B_\epsilon(u) \subset C$, where $B_\epsilon(u) := \{v \in \mathbb{E} : \|v - u\| < \epsilon\}$.
- A set $C \subset \mathbb{E}$ is **closed** if its complement $\mathbb{E} \setminus C$ is open.
- The **closure** of a set $C \subset \mathbb{E}$ is

$$\text{cl } C = \{u \in \mathbb{E} : \exists \{u^k\} \subset C \text{ s.t. } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u^k = u\}.$$

- The **interior** of a set $C \subset \mathbb{E}$ is

$$\text{int } C = \{u \in C : \exists \epsilon > 0 \text{ s.t. } B_\epsilon(u) \subset C\}.$$

- The **relative interior** of a convex set $C \subset \mathbb{E}$ is

$$\text{ri } C = \{u \in C : \forall v \in C, \exists \alpha > 1 \text{ s.t. } v + \alpha(u - v) \in C\}.$$

Convex Set

[Convex Function](#)[Existence of Minimizer](#)[Subdifferential](#)[Convex Conjugate](#)[Duality Theory](#)[Proximal Operator](#)

The following operations preserve the convexity:

- Intersection: $C_1 \cap C_2$
- Summation: $C_1 + C_2 := \{u^1 + u^2 : u^1 \in C_1, u^2 \in C_2\}$
- Closure: $\text{cl } C$
- Interior: $\text{int } C$

– The union of convex sets is not convex in general.





The following operations preserve the convexity:

- Intersection: $C_1 \cap C_2$
- Summation: $C_1 + C_2 := \{u^1 + u^2 : u^1 \in C_1, u^2 \in C_2\}$
- Closure: $\text{cl } C$
- Interior: $\text{int } C$

– The union of convex sets is not convex in general.


– *Polyhedral sets* are always convex; *cones* are not necessarily convex.

Convex cone

C is a **cone** if $C = \alpha C$ for any $\alpha > 0$. C is a **convex cone** if C is a cone and is convex as well.

[Convex Set](#)[Convex Function](#)[Existence of Minimizer](#)[Subdifferential](#)[Convex Conjugate](#)[Duality Theory](#)[Proximal Operator](#)

Separation of convex sets



Theorem (separation of convex sets)

Let C_1, C_2 be nonempty convex subsets in \mathbb{E} s.t. $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$ and C_1 is open. Then there exists a hyperplane separating C_1 and C_2 , i.e. $\exists v \in \mathbb{E}, v \neq 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\langle v, u^1 \rangle \geq \alpha \geq \langle v, u^2 \rangle, \quad \forall u^1 \in C_1, u^2 \in C_2.$$

Proof: on board.





Theorem (separation of convex sets)

Let C_1, C_2 be nonempty convex subsets in \mathbb{E} s.t. $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$ and C_1 is open. Then there exists a hyperplane separating C_1 and C_2 , i.e. $\exists v \in \mathbb{E}, v \neq 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\langle v, u^1 \rangle \geq \alpha \geq \langle v, u^2 \rangle, \quad \forall u^1 \in C_1, u^2 \in C_2.$$

Proof: on board.

Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

Remarks

- 1 The proof works in any real Hilbert space.
- 2 Corollary: In a real Hilbert space, any (strongly) closed convex subset C is weakly closed.



Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

Convex Function



- An **extended real-valued function** J maps from \mathbb{E} to $\bar{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$.
- The **domain** of $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is

$$\text{dom } J = \{u \in \mathbb{E} : J(u) < \infty\}.$$

- The function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is **proper** if $\text{dom } J \neq \emptyset$.

Definition

We say $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is a **convex function** if

- 1 $\text{dom } J$ is a convex set.
- 2 For all $u, v \in \text{dom } J$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ it holds that

$$J(\alpha u + (1 - \alpha)v) \leq \alpha J(u) + (1 - \alpha)J(v).$$

We say J is **strictly convex** if the above inequality is strict for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $u \neq v$.

Examples

- $J_{data}(u) = \|u - f\|_q^q$ where $q \geq 1$ and $\|\cdot\|_q$ is the ℓ^q -norm.
- $J_{regu}(u) = \|Ku\|_q^q$ where K is linear transform.
- $J(u) = J_{data}(u) + \alpha J_{regu}(u)$ where $\alpha > 0$.





- $J_{data}(u) = \|u - f\|_q^q$ where $q \geq 1$ and $\|\cdot\|_q$ is the ℓ^q -norm.
- $J_{regu}(u) = \|Ku\|_q^q$ where K is linear transform.
- $J(u) = J_{data}(u) + \alpha J_{regu}(u)$ where $\alpha > 0$.
- **Indicator function:**

$$\delta_C(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u \in C, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where C is a convex subset of \mathbb{E} .

- Alternative formulation of constrained optimization:

$$\min J(u) \text{ over } u \in C. \Leftrightarrow \min J(u) + \delta_C(u) \text{ over } u \in \mathbb{E}.$$



(As exercises)

- Any norm (over a normed vector space) is a convex function.
- J is a convex function and K is a linear transform $\Rightarrow J(K\cdot)$ is convex function.
- (Jensen's inequality) $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is convex iff

$$J\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u^i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i J(u^i),$$


whenever $\{u^i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathbb{E}$, $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset [0, 1]$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$.

Epigraph

Definition

The **epigraph** of a proper function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is

$$\text{epi } J = \{(u, \alpha) \in \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{R} : J(u) \leq \alpha\}.$$



Theorem

A proper function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is convex (resp. strictly convex) iff $\text{epi } J$ is a convex (resp. strictly convex) set.

Proof: as exercise.





Definition

Assume $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ with $\text{ri dom } J \neq \emptyset$. We say J is **locally Lipschitz** at $u \in \text{ri dom } J$ with modulus $L_u > 0$ if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ s.t.

$$|J(u^1) - J(u^2)| \leq L_u \|u^1 - u^2\| \quad \forall u^1, u^2 \in B_\epsilon(u) \cap \text{ri dom } J.$$

[Convex Set](#)[Convex Function](#)[Existence of Minimizer](#)[Subdifferential](#)[Convex Conjugate](#)[Duality Theory](#)[Proximal Operator](#)



Definition

Assume $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ with $\text{ri dom } J \neq \emptyset$. We say J is **locally Lipschitz** at $u \in \text{ri dom } J$ with modulus $L_u > 0$ if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ s.t.

$$|J(u^1) - J(u^2)| \leq L_u \|u^1 - u^2\| \quad \forall u^1, u^2 \in B_\epsilon(u) \cap \text{ri dom } J.$$

Theorem

A proper convex function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is locally Lipschitz at any $u \in \text{ri dom } J$.

Proof: on board.

Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

Global vs. Local minimizers

Recall the optimization of $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$:

$$\text{minimize } J(u) \quad \text{over } u \in \mathbb{E}.$$

Definition

- 1 $u^* \in \mathbb{E}$ is a **global minimizer** if $J(u^*) \leq J(u)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{E}$.
- 2 u^* is a **local minimizer** if $\exists \epsilon > 0$ s.t. $J(u^*) \leq J(u)$ for all $u \in B_\epsilon(u^*)$.
- 3 In the above definitions, a global/local minimizer is **strict** if $J(u^*) \leq J(u)$ is replaced by strict inequality $J(u^*) < J(u)$.



Global vs. Local minimizers

Recall the optimization of $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$:

$$\text{minimize } J(u) \quad \text{over } u \in \mathbb{E}.$$

Definition

- 1 $u^* \in \mathbb{E}$ is a **global minimizer** if $J(u^*) \leq J(u)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{E}$.
- 2 u^* is a **local minimizer** if $\exists \epsilon > 0$ s.t. $J(u^*) \leq J(u)$ for all $u \in B_\epsilon(u^*)$.
- 3 In the above definitions, a global/local minimizer is **strict** if $J(u^*) \leq J(u)$ is replaced by strict inequality $J(u^*) < J(u)$.

Theorem

For any proper convex function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, if $u^* \in \text{dom } J$ is a local minimizer of J , then it is also a global minimizer.

Proof: on board.





Existence of Minimizer

Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

Does a minimizer always exist?




- Consider

$$\text{minimize } J(u) \quad \text{over } u \in \mathbb{E},$$

where $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a proper, convex function.

- Some counterexamples for $J : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$:




- We shall formalize our observations and derive sufficient conditions for existence.

Sufficient conditions for existence

Definition

- 1 J is **bounded from below** if $J(\cdot) \geq C$ for some $C \in \mathbb{R}$.
- 2 J is **coercive** if $J(u) \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$.
 - Proposition: J is coercive if $\text{dom } J$ is bounded.
- 3 J is **lower-semicontinuous** (lsc) if

$$J(u^*) \leq \liminf_{u \rightarrow u^*} J(u).$$



- Proposition: J is lsc iff $\text{epi } J$ is closed.

Sufficient conditions for existence

Definition

- 1 J is **bounded from below** if $J(\cdot) \geq C$ for some $C \in \mathbb{R}$.
- 2 J is **coercive** if $J(u) \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$.
- 3 J is **lower-semicontinuous** (lsc) if

$$J(u^*) \leq \liminf_{u \rightarrow u^*} J(u).$$

Theorem

Any proper function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, which is bounded from below, coercive, and lsc, has a (global) minimizer.

Proof: on board.



Sufficient conditions for existence

Definition

- 1 J is **bounded from below** if $J(\cdot) \geq C$ for some $C \in \mathbb{R}$.
- 2 J is **coercive** if $J(u) \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$.
- 3 J is **lower-semicontinuous** (lsc) if

$$J(u^*) \leq \liminf_{u \rightarrow u^*} J(u).$$



Theorem

Any proper function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, which is bounded from below, coercive, and lsc, has a (global) minimizer.

Proof: on board.

Remarks for infinite dimensions

- 1 Weak compactness in reflexive Banach (e.g. Hilbert) sp.
- 2 J is convex and strongly lsc $\Rightarrow J$ is weakly lsc



- Recall that a function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is strictly convex if

$$J(\alpha u + (1 - \alpha)v) < \alpha J(u) + (1 - \alpha)J(v),$$

for all $u, v \in \text{dom } J$, $u \neq v$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

Theorem

The minimizer of a strictly convex function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is unique.

Proof: on board.



Subdifferential

Convex Set

Convex Function


Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator




Definition

$J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is called (Fréchet) **differentiable** at $u \in \text{int dom } J$ and $\nabla J(u) \in \mathbb{E}$ is the (Fréchet) **differential** of J at u if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0, h \in (\text{dom } J) - u} \frac{J(u + h) - J(u) - \langle \nabla J(u), h \rangle}{\|h\|} = 0.$$

J is said **continuously differentiable** at $u \in \text{int dom } J$ if $\nabla J(\cdot)$ is continuous on $\text{dom } J \cap B_\epsilon(u)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.





Definition

$J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is called (Fréchet) **differentiable** at $u \in \text{int dom } J$ and $\nabla J(u) \in \mathbb{E}$ is the (Fréchet) **differential** of J at u if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0, h \in (\text{dom } J) - u} \frac{J(u+h) - J(u) - \langle \nabla J(u), h \rangle}{\|h\|} = 0.$$

J is said **continuously differentiable** at $u \in \text{int dom } J$ if $\nabla J(\cdot)$ is continuous on $\text{dom } J \cap B_\epsilon(u)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.


Remark

In functional analysis, $\nabla J(u)$ is treated as a *dual* object in \mathbb{E}^* , and $\langle \nabla J(u), h \rangle_{\mathbb{E}^*, \mathbb{E}}$ as *duality pairing*.



Subdifferential

Now we generalize differentiability from differentiable functions to nonsmooth (convex) functions.



Definition


The **subdifferential** of a convex function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ at $u \in \text{dom } J$ is defined by

$$\partial J(u) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{E} : J(v) \geq J(u) + \langle \xi, v - u \rangle\}.$$



Subdifferential

Now we generalize differentiability from differentiable functions to nonsmooth (convex) functions.



Definition

The **subdifferential** of a convex function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ at $u \in \text{dom } J$ is defined by

$$\partial J(u) = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{E} : J(v) \geq J(u) + \langle \xi, v - u \rangle \}.$$

Geometric interpretation

$\xi \in \partial J(u)$ iff $(\xi, -1)$ is a normal vector for the supporting hyperplane of $\text{epi } J$ at $(u, J(u))$.



Basic facts

- 1 $\partial J(\cdot)$ is a **set-valued map**.
- 2 If J is cont. differentiable at u , then $\partial J(u) = \{\nabla J(u)\}$.



Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

Basic facts

- 1 $\partial J(\cdot)$ is a **set-valued map**.
- 2 If J is cont. differentiable at u , then $\partial J(u) = \{\nabla J(u)\}$.



Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

Examples (as exercises)

- 1 $u \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \|u\|_1$.
- 2 $u \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \|u\|_\infty$.
- 3 $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mapsto \|X\|_{1,2} = \sum_i \left(\sum_j |X_{i,j}|^2 \right)^{1/2}$.
- 4 $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mapsto \|X\|_{nuc} = \sum_i \sigma_i(X)$ (sum of singular values).
- 5 Given a closed convex subset $C \subset \mathbb{E}$ and $u \in C$,

$$\partial \delta_C(u) = N_C(u) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{E} : \langle \xi, v - u \rangle \leq 0 \forall v \in C\},$$

known as the **normal cone** of C at u .

Theorem (chain rule under linear transform)

Let $\tilde{J}(\cdot) = J(K\cdot)$ with some convex function J and linear transform K . Then

$$\partial\tilde{J}(u) = K^{\top} \partial J(Ku)$$

for any $u \in \text{ri dom } J$.

Example: $J(u) = \|Ku\|_1 \Rightarrow \partial J(u) = K^{\top} \partial \|\cdot\|_1(Ku)$.



Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator



Theorem (chain rule under linear transform)

Let $\tilde{J}(\cdot) = J(K\cdot)$ with some convex function J and linear transform K . Then

$$\partial\tilde{J}(u) = K^T \partial J(Ku)$$

for any $u \in \text{ri dom } J$.

Example: $J(u) = \|Ku\|_1 \Rightarrow \partial J(u) = K^T \partial \|\cdot\|_1(Ku)$.

Theorem (summation rule)

If $J(\cdot) = J_1(\cdot) + J_2(\cdot)$ for some convex functions J_1 and J_2 , then

$$\partial\tilde{J}(u) = \partial J_1(u) + \partial J_2(u)$$

for any $u \in \text{ri dom } J_1 \cap \text{ri dom } J_2$.

Warning: not true if J_1 or J_2 is non-convex, e.g. $0 = |\cdot| + (-|\cdot|)$.

Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

Properties of subdifferential map

Theorem

Let $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a convex function. Then ∂J is a **monotone operator**, i.e. $\forall u^1, u^2 \in \text{dom } J, \xi^1 \in \partial J(u^1), \xi^2 \in \partial J(u^2)$:

$$\langle \xi^1 - \xi^2, u^1 - u^2 \rangle \geq 0.$$

Proof: on board.



Properties of subdifferential map

Theorem

Let $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a convex function. Then ∂J is a **monotone operator**, i.e. $\forall u^1, u^2 \in \text{dom } J, \xi^1 \in \partial J(u^1), \xi^2 \in \partial J(u^2)$:

$$\langle \xi^1 - \xi^2, u^1 - u^2 \rangle \geq 0.$$

Proof: on board.

Theorem

Let $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a convex function. Then for any $u \in \text{int dom } J$, $\partial J(u)$ is a nonempty, compact, and convex subset.

Proof: on board.



Properties of subdifferential map

Theorem

Let $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a convex function. Then ∂J is a **monotone operator**, i.e. $\forall u^1, u^2 \in \text{dom } J, \xi^1 \in \partial J(u^1), \xi^2 \in \partial J(u^2)$:

$$\langle \xi^1 - \xi^2, u^1 - u^2 \rangle \geq 0.$$

Proof: on board.

Theorem

Let $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a convex function. Then for any $u \in \text{int dom } J$, $\partial J(u)$ is a nonempty, compact, and convex subset.

Proof: on board.

Theorem

Let $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a proper, convex, lsc function. Then the set-valued map $\partial J(\cdot)$ is **closed**, i.e. $\xi^* \in \partial J(u^*)$ whenever

$$\exists (u^k, \xi^k) \rightarrow (u^*, \xi^*) \in (\text{ri dom } J) \times \mathbb{E} \text{ s.t. } \xi^k \in \partial J(u^k) \forall k.$$

Proof: on board.



Optimality condition

Theorem

Given any proper convex function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, the sufficient and necessary condition for u^* being a (global) minimizer for J is

$$0 \in \partial J(u^*).$$

Proof: on board.



Optimality condition

Theorem

Given any proper convex function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, the sufficient and necessary condition for u^* being a (global) minimizer for J is


$$0 \in \partial J(u^*).$$

Proof: on board.

Constrained optimization as special case

If $\tilde{J}(u) = J(u) + \delta_C(u)$ with convex function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and closed convex subset $C \in \mathbb{E}$, then $0 \in \partial \tilde{J}(u^*) \rightsquigarrow$

$$0 \in \partial J(u^*) + N_C(u^*).$$



Optimality condition

Theorem

Given any proper convex function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, the sufficient and necessary condition for u^* being a (global) minimizer for J is

$$0 \in \partial J(u^*).$$

Proof: on board.

Constrained optimization as special case

If $\tilde{J}(u) = J(u) + \delta_C(u)$ with convex function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and closed convex subset $C \in \mathbb{E}$, then $0 \in \partial \tilde{J}(u^*) \rightsquigarrow$

$$0 \in \partial J(u^*) + N_C(u^*).$$

Remark

$0 \in \partial J(u^*) + N_C(u^*)$ is a *geometric* optimality condition. Further characterization relies on the algebraic representation of $N_C(u^*)$, e.g. the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions under certain constraint qualifications.





Convex Conjugate

Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

Legendre-Fenchel transform

Definition

Given a convex function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, the **convex conjugate** of J is defined by

$$J^*(p) = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{E}} \langle u, p \rangle - J(u).$$

Convex Analysis

Tao Wu
Thomas Möllenhoff
Emanuel Laude



Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

Legendre-Fenchel transform

Definition

Given a convex function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, the **convex conjugate** of J is defined by

$$J^*(p) = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{E}} \langle u, p \rangle - J(u).$$

Examples (as exercises)

- 1 $J(\cdot) = \langle w, \cdot \rangle \Rightarrow J^*(\cdot) = \delta\{\cdot = w\}. (w \in \mathbb{E})$
- 2 $J(\cdot) = \frac{1}{q} \|\cdot\|_q^q \Rightarrow J^*(\cdot) = \frac{1}{q'} \|\cdot\|_{q'}^{q'}. (0 < q < 1, \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1)$
- 3 $J(\cdot) = \|\cdot\| \Rightarrow J^*(\cdot) = \delta\{\|\cdot\|_* \leq 1\}. (\|\cdot\|_* \text{ is the dual norm of } \|\cdot\|, \text{ i.e. } \|v\|_* = \sup_{\|u\| \leq 1} \langle u, v \rangle)$
- 4 $J(\cdot) = \delta\{\|\cdot\|_* \leq 1\} \Rightarrow J^*(\cdot) = \|\cdot\|.$



Legendre-Fenchel transform

Definition

Given a convex function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, the **convex conjugate** of J is defined by

$$J^*(p) = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{E}} \langle u, p \rangle - J(u).$$

Examples (as exercises)

- 1 $J(\cdot) = \langle w, \cdot \rangle \Rightarrow J^*(\cdot) = \delta\{\cdot = w\}$. ($w \in \mathbb{E}$)
- 2 $J(\cdot) = \frac{1}{q} \|\cdot\|_q^q \Rightarrow J^*(\cdot) = \frac{1}{q'} \|\cdot\|_{q'}^{q'}$. ($0 < q < 1$, $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$)
- 3 $J(\cdot) = \|\cdot\| \Rightarrow J^*(\cdot) = \delta\{\|\cdot\|_* \leq 1\}$. ($\|\cdot\|_*$ is the *dual norm* of $\|\cdot\|$, i.e. $\|v\|_* = \sup_{\|u\| \leq 1} \langle u, v \rangle$)
- 4 $J(\cdot) = \delta\{\|\cdot\|_* \leq 1\} \Rightarrow J^*(\cdot) = \|\cdot\|$.


Basic facts

- Scalar multiplication: $\tilde{J}(\cdot) = \alpha J(\cdot) \Rightarrow \tilde{J}^*(\cdot) = \alpha J^*(\cdot/\alpha)$.
- Translation: $\tilde{J}(\cdot) = J(\cdot - z) \Rightarrow \tilde{J}^*(\cdot) = J^*(\cdot) + \langle \cdot, z \rangle$.



Geometric interpretation

Convex conjugation maps:
(non-vertical) supporting hyperplane of the epigraph
to:
intersection with the vertical axis.



Courtesy of Bertsekas





Theorem (Fenchel-Young inequality)

For all $u \in \text{dom } J$, $p \in \text{dom } J^*$, we have

$$J(u) + J^*(p) \geq \langle u, p \rangle.$$

The equality holds iff $p \in \partial J(u)$.

Proof: $J(u) + J^*(p) \geq \langle u, p \rangle$ follows directly from the definition of convex conjugate; $p \in \partial J(u)$ is the sufficient and necessary condition for: $u = \arg \min_{v \in \mathbb{E}} J(v) - \langle v, p \rangle$.

Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator



Theorem

Assume $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and $J^{**} = (J^*)^*$ is the **biconjugate** of J .

In general:

- 1 $J^{**}(\cdot) \leq J(\cdot)$.
- 2 J^* is convex and lsc.

If J is proper, convex, and lsc, then:

- 3 $J^{**}(\cdot) = J(\cdot)$.
- 4 $p \in \partial J(u)$ iff $u \in \partial J^*(p)$.

Proof: on board.

[Convex Set](#)[Convex Function](#)[Existence of Minimizer](#)[Subdifferential](#)[Convex Conjugate](#)[Duality Theory](#)[Proximal Operator](#)

Definition

$J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be μ -strongly convex if $\exists \mu > 0$ s.t.
 $J(\cdot) - \frac{\mu}{2} \|\cdot\|^2$ is convex.



Regularity of J and J^*

Definition

$J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be μ -strongly convex if $\exists \mu > 0$ s.t. $J(\cdot) - \frac{\mu}{2} \|\cdot\|^2$ is convex.


Theorem

Assume that $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper, convex, and lsc. Then J is μ -strongly convex iff J^* has $\frac{1}{\mu}$ -Lipschitz gradient.


Proof: on board.



$J =$ truncated quadratic



$J^* =$ Huber function





Duality Theory

Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

Fenchel-Rockafellar duality

- Consider

$$\min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} F(Ku) + G(u),$$

where $K \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and F, G are proper, convex, and lsc.



Fenchel-Rockafellar duality

- Consider

$$\min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} F(Ku) + G(u),$$

where $K \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and F, G are proper, convex, and lsc.

- The **weak duality** always holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^* &= \min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} F(Ku) + G(u) = \min_u \sup_p \langle p, Ku \rangle - F^*(p) + G(u) \\ &\geq \sup_p \inf_u \langle K^\top p, u \rangle + G(u) - F^*(p) \\ &= \sup_p -G^*(-K^\top p) - F^*(p) = \mathcal{D}^*. \end{aligned}$$





- Consider

$$\min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} F(Ku) + G(u),$$

where $K \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and F, G are proper, convex, and lsc.

- The **weak duality** always holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^* &= \min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^n} F(Ku) + G(u) = \min_u \sup_p \langle p, Ku \rangle - F^*(p) + G(u) \\ &\geq \sup_p \inf_u \langle K^\top p, u \rangle + G(u) - F^*(p) \\ &= \sup_p -G^*(-K^\top p) - F^*(p) = \mathcal{D}^*. \end{aligned}$$

- Define the **duality gap**:

$$\mathcal{G}(u, p) = F(Ku) + G(u) + G^*(-K^\top p) + F^*(p).$$

Note that $\mathcal{G}(u, p) = 0$ is an optimality criterion.

Fenchel-Rockafellar duality

- $\mathcal{G}(u^*, p^*) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{P}^* = \mathcal{D}^* \Leftrightarrow (u^*, p^*)$ solves the **saddle point problem** with $\mathcal{L}(u, p) := \langle p, Ku \rangle - F^*(p) + G(u)$:

$$\mathcal{L}(u^*, p) \leq \mathcal{L}(u^*, p^*) \leq \mathcal{L}(u, p^*) \quad \forall (u, p).$$



- $\mathcal{G}(u^*, p^*) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{P}^* = \mathcal{D}^* \Leftrightarrow (u^*, p^*)$ solves the **saddle point problem** with $\mathcal{L}(u, p) := \langle p, Ku \rangle - F^*(p) + G(u)$:

$$\mathcal{L}(u^*, p) \leq \mathcal{L}(u^*, p^*) \leq \mathcal{L}(u, p^*) \quad \forall (u, p).$$

Theorem (Fenchel-Rockafellar duality)

Assume $\exists \bar{u} \in \text{dom } G$ s.t. F is continuous at $K\bar{u}$. Then the **strong duality** holds: $\mathcal{P}^* = \mathcal{D}^*$. Moreover, (u^*, p^*) is the optimal solution pair iff

$$\begin{cases} Ku^* \in \partial F^*(p^*), \\ -K^\top p^* \in \partial G(u^*). \end{cases}$$

Proof: on board.



Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

Application: Total-variation image restoration

- Primal problem (let $\alpha > 0$, $q \in [1, \infty]$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $f \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega$):

$$\min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega} \alpha \|\nabla u\|_{1,q} + \frac{1}{2} \|u - f\|^2.$$

Here $\|p\|_{1,q} = \sum_{j \in \Omega} |p_j|_{\ell^q}$ for each $p \in (\mathbb{R}^\Omega)^d$.



Application: Total-variation image restoration

- Primal problem (let $\alpha > 0$, $q \in [1, \infty]$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $f \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega$):

$$\min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega} \alpha \|\nabla u\|_{1,q} + \frac{1}{2} \|u - f\|^2.$$

Here $\|p\|_{1,q} = \sum_{j \in \Omega} |p_j|_{\ell^q}$ for each $p \in (\mathbb{R}^\Omega)^d$.

- Apply the Fenchel-Rockafellar duality with

$$F(\cdot) = \alpha \|\cdot\|_{1,q}, \quad K = \nabla, \quad G(\cdot) = \frac{1}{2} \|\cdot - f\|^2.$$



Application: Total-variation image restoration

- Primal problem (let $\alpha > 0$, $q \in [1, \infty]$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $f \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega$):

$$\min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega} \alpha \|\nabla u\|_{1,q} + \frac{1}{2} \|u - f\|^2.$$

Here $\|p\|_{1,q} = \sum_{j \in \Omega} |p_j|_{\ell^q}$ for each $p \in (\mathbb{R}^\Omega)^d$.

- Apply the Fenchel-Rockafellar duality with

$$F(\cdot) = \alpha \|\cdot\|_{1,q}, \quad K = \nabla, \quad G(\cdot) = \frac{1}{2} \|\cdot - f\|^2.$$

- Saddle point problem ($1/q + 1/q' = 1$):

$$\max_p \min_u \langle p, \nabla u \rangle - \delta\{\|p\|_{\infty, q'} \leq \alpha\} + \frac{1}{2} \|u - f\|^2.$$



Application: Total-variation image restoration



- Primal problem (let $\alpha > 0$, $q \in [1, \infty]$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $f \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega$):

$$\min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega} \alpha \|\nabla u\|_{1,q} + \frac{1}{2} \|u - f\|^2.$$

Here $\|p\|_{1,q} = \sum_{j \in \Omega} |p_j|_{\ell^q}$ for each $p \in (\mathbb{R}^\Omega)^d$.

- Apply the Fenchel-Rockafellar duality with

$$F(\cdot) = \alpha \|\cdot\|_{1,q}, \quad K = \nabla, \quad G(\cdot) = \frac{1}{2} \|\cdot - f\|^2.$$

- Saddle point problem ($1/q + 1/q' = 1$):

$$\max_p \min_u \langle p, \nabla u \rangle - \delta\{\|p\|_{\infty, q'} \leq \alpha\} + \frac{1}{2} \|u - f\|^2.$$

- Dual problem:

$$\min_p \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^\top p - f\|^2 + \delta\{\|p\|_{\infty, q'} \leq \alpha\}.$$



Proximal Operator

Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

Definition

Given a proper, convex, lsc function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, we define the **proximal operator** of J by

$$\text{prox}_{\tau J}(v) = \arg \min_u J(u) + \frac{1}{2\tau} \|u - v\|^2.$$

Note: The above minimization always has a unique minimizer.



Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

Definition

Given a proper, convex, lsc function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, we define the **proximal operator** of J by

$$\text{prox}_{\tau J}(v) = \arg \min_u J(u) + \frac{1}{2\tau} \|u - v\|^2.$$

Note: The above minimization always has a unique minimizer.

Proximal operator in algorithms

Let us solve the convex optimization:

$$\min_u F(u) + G(u),$$

where G is cont'ly differentiable but F is non-differentiable.

The **proximal gradient** iteration appears as:

$$u^{k+1} = \text{prox}_{\tau F}(u^k - \tau \nabla G(u^k)).$$

[Convex Set](#)[Convex Function](#)[Existence of Minimizer](#)[Subdifferential](#)[Convex Conjugate](#)[Duality Theory](#)[Proximal Operator](#)

Observations

① By checking the optimality condition,

$$u = \text{prox}_{\tau J}(v) \Leftrightarrow 0 \in \tau \partial J(u) + u - v \Leftrightarrow u = (I + \tau \partial J)^{-1}(v).$$

Thus, $\text{prox}_{\tau J} = (I + \tau \partial J)^{-1}$, known as the **resolvent** of ∂J .



Observations

- ① By checking the optimality condition,

$$u = \text{prox}_{\tau J}(v) \Leftrightarrow 0 \in \tau \partial J(u) + u - v \Leftrightarrow u = (I + \tau \partial J)^{-1}(v).$$

Thus, $\text{prox}_{\tau J} = (I + \tau \partial J)^{-1}$, known as the **resolvent** of ∂J .

- ② u^* is a **fixed point** of $\text{prox}_{\tau J}$, i.e. $u^* = \text{prox}_{\tau J}(u^*)$,
 $\Leftrightarrow 0 \in \partial J(u^*)$, i.e. u^* is a minimizer of J .





- ① By checking the optimality condition,

$$u = \text{prox}_{\tau J}(v) \Leftrightarrow 0 \in \tau \partial J(u) + u - v \Leftrightarrow u = (I + \tau \partial J)^{-1}(v).$$

Thus, $\text{prox}_{\tau J} = (I + \tau \partial J)^{-1}$, known as the **resolvent** of ∂J .

- ② u^* is a **fixed point** of $\text{prox}_{\tau J}$, i.e. $u^* = \text{prox}_{\tau J}(u^*)$,
 $\Leftrightarrow 0 \in \partial J(u^*)$, i.e. u^* is a minimizer of J .

- ③ Derivation of proximal gradient algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned} u^* &\in \arg \min_u F(u) + G(u) \\ &\Leftrightarrow 0 \in \partial F(u^*) + \nabla G(u^*) \\ &\Leftrightarrow u^* + \tau \partial F(u^*) \in u^* - \tau \nabla G(u^*) \\ &\Leftrightarrow u^* = (I + \tau \partial F)^{-1}(u^* - \tau \nabla G(u^*)) \\ &\rightsquigarrow u^{k+1} = \text{prox}_{\tau F}(u^k - \tau \nabla G(u^k)). \end{aligned}$$

Logistic regression (programming exercise)



- MNIST dataset¹ - handwritten digit recognition.
- Train classifier on training set; Evaluate on test set.
- Conv. neural network: 0.23%; **Logistic regression**: 10%.



¹<http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/>

Logistic regression (programming exercise)



- MNIST dataset¹ - handwritten digit recognition.
- Train classifier on training set; Evaluate on test set.
- Conv. neural network: 0.23%; **Logistic regression**: 10%.
- $K = 10$ classes; grayscale images of pixels $M = 28 \times 28$.
- $N = 60000$ training images $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}$, with ground-truth labels $Y \in \{1, \dots, K\}^N$.
- Train **linear classifier** $W \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times M}$ on raw pixel data.



¹<http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/>

Logistic regression (programming exercise)



- MNIST dataset¹ - handwritten digit recognition.
- Train classifier on training set; Evaluate on test set.
- Conv. neural network: 0.23%; **Logistic regression**: 10%.
- $K = 10$ classes; grayscale images of pixels $M = 28 \times 28$.
- $N = 60000$ training images $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}$, with ground-truth labels $Y \in \{1, \dots, K\}^N$.
- Train **linear classifier** $W \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times M}$ on raw pixel data.
- **Softmax** function:

$$\mathcal{P}(Y_n = k | X_{n,\cdot}, W) = \frac{\exp(\langle W_{k,\cdot}, X_{n,\cdot} \rangle + b^k)}{\sum_{k'=1}^K \exp(\langle W_{k',\cdot}, X_{n,\cdot} \rangle + b^{k'})}$$

- Minimize negative log-likelihood + regularizer:

$$\min_{W \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times M}, b \in \mathbb{R}^K} R(W) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{1}\{Y_n = k\} \log \mathcal{P}(Y_n = k | X_{n,\cdot}, W).$$

¹<http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/>



Examples

① Indicator function: Let C be a closed, convex subset \Rightarrow

$$\text{prox}_{\tau\delta_C}(\cdot) = \text{proj}_C(\cdot).$$

As a result, proximal gradient \Leftrightarrow projected gradient.



Examples

- ① Indicator function: Let C be a closed, convex subset \Rightarrow

$$\text{prox}_{\tau\delta_C}(\cdot) = \text{proj}_C(\cdot).$$

As a result, proximal gradient \Leftrightarrow projected gradient.

- ② Linear approximation: $\hat{J}(\cdot) := J(\bar{u}) + \langle \nabla J(\bar{u}), \cdot - \bar{u} \rangle \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{prox}_{\tau\hat{J}}(\bar{u}) &= \arg \min_u \frac{1}{2\tau} \|u - \bar{u}\|^2 + \langle \nabla J(\bar{u}), u - \bar{u} \rangle \\ &= \bar{u} - \tau \nabla J(\bar{u}). \quad (\text{gradient descent step})\end{aligned}$$



Examples

- ① Indicator function: Let C be a closed, convex subset \Rightarrow

$$\text{prox}_{\tau\delta_C}(\cdot) = \text{proj}_C(\cdot).$$

As a result, proximal gradient \Leftrightarrow projected gradient.

- ② Linear approximation: $\hat{J}(\cdot) := J(\bar{u}) + \langle \nabla J(\bar{u}), \cdot - \bar{u} \rangle \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{prox}_{\tau\hat{J}}(\bar{u}) &= \arg \min_u \frac{1}{2\tau} \|u - \bar{u}\|^2 + \langle \nabla J(\bar{u}), u - \bar{u} \rangle \\ &= \bar{u} - \tau \nabla J(\bar{u}). \quad (\text{gradient descent step})\end{aligned}$$

- ③ Quadratic approximation:

$$\tilde{J}(\cdot) := J(\bar{u}) + \langle \nabla J(\bar{u}), \cdot - \bar{u} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 J(\bar{u})(\cdot - \bar{u}), \cdot - \bar{u} \rangle \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{prox}_{\tau\tilde{J}}(\bar{u}) &= \arg \min_u \frac{1}{2\tau} \|u - \bar{u}\|^2 + \langle \nabla J(\bar{u}), u - \bar{u} \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^2 J(\bar{u})(u - \bar{u}), u - \bar{u} \rangle \\ &= \bar{u} - (\nabla^2 J(\bar{u}) + \frac{1}{\tau} I)^{-1} \nabla J(\bar{u}). \quad (\text{damped Newton step})\end{aligned}$$



Theorem (Moreau identity)

Let $\tau > 0$ and $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be proper, convex, and lsc. Then the following identity holds:

$$\text{id}(\cdot) = \text{prox}_{\tau J}(\cdot) + \tau \text{prox}_{\frac{1}{\tau} J^*}(\cdot/\tau).$$

In particular, $\tau = 1 \Rightarrow \text{id}(\cdot) = \text{prox}_J(\cdot) + \text{prox}_{J^*}(\cdot)$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \tau \text{prox}_{\frac{1}{\tau} J^*}(u/\tau) \\ \Leftrightarrow \left(I + \frac{1}{\tau} \partial J^* \right)^{-1} (u/\tau) &\ni v/\tau \\ \Leftrightarrow \partial J^*(v/\tau) &\ni u - v \\ \Leftrightarrow v/\tau &\in \partial J(u - v) \\ \Leftrightarrow u - v &= (I + \tau \partial J)^{-1}(u) = \text{prox}_{\tau J}(u). \end{aligned}$$

[Convex Set](#)[Convex Function](#)[Existence of Minimizer](#)[Subdifferential](#)[Convex Conjugate](#)[Duality Theory](#)[Proximal Operator](#)

Theorem (Moreau identity)

Let $\tau > 0$ and $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be proper, convex, and lsc. Then the following identity holds:

$$\text{id}(\cdot) = \text{prox}_{\tau J}(\cdot) + \tau \text{prox}_{\frac{1}{\tau} J^*}(\cdot/\tau).$$

In particular, $\tau = 1 \Rightarrow \text{id}(\cdot) = \text{prox}_J(\cdot) + \text{prox}_{J^*}(\cdot)$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \tau \text{prox}_{\frac{1}{\tau} J^*}(u/\tau) \\ \Leftrightarrow \left(I + \frac{1}{\tau} \partial J^* \right)^{-1} (u/\tau) &\ni v/\tau \\ \Leftrightarrow \partial J^*(v/\tau) &\ni u - v \\ \Leftrightarrow v/\tau &\in \partial J(u - v) \\ \Leftrightarrow u - v &= (I + \tau \partial J)^{-1}(u) = \text{prox}_{\tau J}(u). \end{aligned}$$

Remark

The Moreau identity suggests that if one of $\text{prox}_J(\cdot)$ and $\text{prox}_{J^*}(\cdot)$ is computable, so is the other.



Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

Definition

Let $F, G : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be proper, convex, and lsc. The **infimal convolution** (or inf convolution) of F and G is defined by

$$(F \square G)(\cdot) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{E}} \{F(\cdot - u) + G(u)\},$$

with $\text{dom}(F \square G) = \text{dom } F + \text{dom } G$.

[Convex Set](#)[Convex Function](#)[Existence of Minimizer](#)[Subdifferential](#)[Convex Conjugate](#)[Duality Theory](#)[Proximal Operator](#)

Definition

Let $F, G : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be proper, convex, and lsc. The **infimal convolution** (or inf convolution) of F and G is defined by

$$(F \square G)(\cdot) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{E}} \{F(\cdot - u) + G(u)\},$$

with $\text{dom}(F \square G) = \text{dom } F + \text{dom } G$.

Theorem

Let $F, G : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be proper, convex, and lsc. Then

$$(F \square G)^*(\cdot) = F^*(\cdot) + G^*(\cdot).$$

Proof: on board.

[Convex Set](#)[Convex Function](#)[Existence of Minimizer](#)[Subdifferential](#)[Convex Conjugate](#)[Duality Theory](#)[Proximal Operator](#)

Definition

Let $F, G : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be proper, convex, and lsc. The **infimal convolution** (or inf convolution) of F and G is defined by

$$(F \square G)(\cdot) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{E}} \{F(\cdot - u) + G(u)\},$$

with $\text{dom}(F \square G) = \text{dom } F + \text{dom } G$.

Theorem

Let $F, G : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be proper, convex, and lsc. Then

$$(F \square G)^*(\cdot) = F^*(\cdot) + G^*(\cdot).$$

Proof: on board.

Remark

Analogy between inf convolution (under convex conjugation) and convolution (under Fourier transform): $\widehat{F * G}(\cdot) = \widehat{F}(\cdot) \widehat{G}(\cdot)$.

[Convex Set](#)[Convex Function](#)[Existence of Minimizer](#)[Subdifferential](#)[Convex Conjugate](#)[Duality Theory](#)[Proximal Operator](#)

Definition

The **Moreau envelope** of a proper, convex, lsc function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is defined for each $u \in \mathbb{E}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{\alpha J}(u) &:= \left(J \square \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha} \|\cdot\|^2 \right) \right) (u) \\ &= \inf_{v \in \mathbb{E}} \left\{ J(v) + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|v - u\|^2 \right\} \\ &= J(\text{prox}_{\alpha J}(u)) + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|\text{prox}_{\alpha J}(u) - u\|^2.\end{aligned}$$



Convex Set

Convex Function

Existence of Minimizer

Subdifferential

Convex Conjugate

Duality Theory

Proximal Operator

Definition

The **Moreau envelope** of a proper, convex, lsc function $J : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is defined for each $u \in \mathbb{E}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{\alpha J}(u) &:= \left(J \square \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha} \|\cdot\|^2 \right) \right) (u) \\ &= \inf_{v \in \mathbb{E}} \left\{ J(v) + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|v - u\|^2 \right\} \\ &= J(\text{prox}_{\alpha J}(u)) + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|\text{prox}_{\alpha J}(u) - u\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Example

$J : u \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto |u| \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\alpha J}$ is Huber function:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha J}(u) = \begin{cases} u^2/(2\alpha) & \text{if } |u| \leq \alpha, \\ |u| - \alpha/2 & \text{if } |u| > \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Observation: $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha J}$ does smoothing/regularization on J .

[Convex Set](#)[Convex Function](#)[Existence of Minimizer](#)[Subdifferential](#)[Convex Conjugate](#)[Duality Theory](#)[Proximal Operator](#)

- Recall the theorem: $(F \square G)^*(\cdot) = F^*(\cdot) + G^*(\cdot) \Rightarrow$

$$(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha J})^* = J^* + \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha} \|\cdot\|^2\right)^* = J^* + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\cdot\|^2.$$



- Recall the theorem: $(F \square G)^*(\cdot) = F^*(\cdot) + G^*(\cdot) \Rightarrow$

$$(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha J})^* = J^* + \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha} \|\cdot\|^2\right)^* = J^* + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\cdot\|^2.$$

- Recall the theorem: J is μ -strongly convex iff J^* has $\frac{1}{\mu}$ -Lipschitz gradient. \Rightarrow
 $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha J}$ has $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ -Lipschitz gradient.





- Recall the theorem: $(F \square G)^*(\cdot) = F^*(\cdot) + G^*(\cdot) \Rightarrow$

$$(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha J})^* = J^* + \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha} \|\cdot\|^2\right)^* = J^* + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\cdot\|^2.$$

- Recall the theorem: J is μ -strongly convex iff J^* has $\frac{1}{\mu}$ -Lipschitz gradient. \Rightarrow
 $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha J}$ has $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ -Lipschitz gradient.

- $\nabla \mathcal{M}_{\alpha J}$ can be calculated as:

$$\begin{aligned} p = \nabla \mathcal{M}_{\alpha J}(u) &\Leftrightarrow u \in \partial(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha J})^*(p) = \partial J^*(p) + \alpha p \\ &\Leftrightarrow u - \alpha p \in \partial J^*(p) \Leftrightarrow \partial J(u - \alpha p) \ni p \\ &\Leftrightarrow \alpha \partial J(u - \alpha p) \ni \alpha p \Leftrightarrow (I + \alpha \partial J)(u - \alpha p) \ni u \\ &\Leftrightarrow u - \alpha p = (I + \alpha \partial J)^{-1} u = \text{prox}_{\alpha J}(u) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \nabla \mathcal{M}_{\alpha J}(u) = \frac{1}{\alpha} (u - \text{prox}_{\alpha J}(u)). \end{aligned}$$