Convex Optimization for Machine Learning and Computer Vision

Lecture: T. Wu Exercises: E. Laude, T. Möllenhoff Summer Semester 2017 Computer Vision Group Institut für Informatik Technische Universität München

Weekly Exercises 5

Room: 02.09.023 Monday, 12.06.2017, 12:15-14:00 Submission deadline: Wednesday, 31.05.2017, Room 02.09.023

Exercise 1 (4 Points). Compute the proximity operator of the ℓ_2 -norm, i.e.

 $\operatorname{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_2}$.

Exercise 2 (4 Points). Prove that the proximal operator of the nuclear norm is the proximal operator of the ℓ_1 -norm applied to the singular values of the input argument.

Definition. A function $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is called 1-homogeneous if

$$g(\alpha x) = \alpha g(x),$$

for all $\alpha \geq 0$.

Exercise 3 (4 Points). Let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be convex, closed, proper and 1-homogeneous. Show that the proximity operator of the sum $\|\cdot\|_2 + g$ is the composition of the proximity operators of $\|\cdot\|_2$ and g, i.e.

 $\operatorname{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_2+g} = \operatorname{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_2} \circ \operatorname{prox}_g.$

Multinomial Logistic Regression (16 Points)

In this exercise you are asked to train a linear model for a multiclass classification task with Logistic regression. The idea is as follows: You are given a set of training samples $\mathcal{I} = \{1, \ldots, N\}$ that are represented by their feature vectors $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for $i \in \mathcal{I}$. Each training sample *i* is associated with a class label $y_i \in \{1, \ldots, C\}$. The aim is to estimate a linear classifier parameterized by $W^* \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times C}$, $b^* \in \mathbb{R}^C$ so that $y_i = \operatorname{argmax}_{1 \leq j \leq C} x_i^{\mathsf{T}} W_j^* + b_j^*$ for most training samples *i*. Once you have obtained this "optimal" classifier the hope is, that you are able to classify new unseen and unlabeled samples $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In machine learning this is called generalization. For this task you may query your trained model via the classifier rule

$$y = \operatorname{argmax}_{1 \le j \le C} x^\top W_j^* + b_j^* \tag{1}$$

and y probably is the true class label of x if your model generalizes well.

In order to estimate the model we solve an optimization problem of the form

$$\min_{W \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times C}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{C}} \ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ \ell(W, b, x_{i}, y_{i}) + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} \|W\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} \|b\|_{2}^{2}, \tag{2}$$

where

$$\ell(W, b, x_i, y_i) = -\log\left(\frac{\exp(\langle W_{y_i}, x_i \rangle + b_{y_i})}{\sum_{j=1}^C \exp(\langle W_j, x_i \rangle + b_j)}\right)$$
(3)

is called the softmax loss. Note that the above problem is smooth and strongly convex and can be solved with gradient descent. In practice however, it may happen, that some features (i.e. components of the vector x_i) do not contain any information about the true class labels, i.e. components that are just noise. In order to filter out the useless features we add the nonsmooth sparsity inducing ℓ_1 -norm term on W. So overall we would like to optimize

$$\min_{W \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times C}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{C}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ell(W, b, x_{i}, y_{i}) + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} \|W\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} \|b\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{2} \|W\|_{1}.$$
(4)

You are asked to do the following:

- Download the toy data template from the homepage
- Implement a proximal gradient descent algorithm to optimize the above objective (Avoid for-loops)
- Make sure that your objective monotonically decreases. Plot the objective values. Stop your code if the difference of two successive iterates is less than 10^{-12} .
- In order to ensure that your derivative is computed correctly you may fist optimize the fully differentiable model (2) with MATLABs *fminunc* with the options '*GradObj*', '*On*' and '*DerivativeCheck*', '*On*'.

- Iteratively compute the test error in percent, i.e. how many test samples are not classified correctly via the rule (1).
- Play around with different parameter settings for λ_1, λ_2 . What do you observe? Can you identify the useless features? Explain why the model generalizes better to unseen test data if you add a sparsity inducing term.
- You may apply your code to the MNIST dataset http://yann.lecun.com/ exdb/mnist/ and see that your are now able to classify handwritten digits.