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## Mathematics: Parametrization

Exercise 1 (2 points). Consider two parametrizations of an arc

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{i}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \\
& c_{1}: t \mapsto\binom{\cos (t)}{\sin (t)}, \quad \quad c_{2}: t \mapsto\binom{\cos \left(t^{2}\right)}{\sin \left(t^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

And the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f: \mathbb{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
& \quad(x, y) \mapsto y
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculate the integrals $\int_{0}^{1}\left(f \circ c_{i}\right)(t) d t$ and compare the results to the line integrals.
Solution. Normal integrals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1}\left(f \circ c_{1}\right)(t) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{0}^{1} \sin (t) \mathrm{d} t=0.46 \\
& \int_{0}^{1}\left(f \circ c_{2}\right)(t) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{0}^{1} \sin \left(t^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} t=0.3
\end{aligned}
$$

Line Integral:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Gamma} f(s)(d) s & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(f \circ c_{i}\right)(t) \cdot\left\|c_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \mathrm{d} t \\
\int_{0}^{1}\left(f \circ c_{1}\right)(t) \cdot\left\|c_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \mathrm{d} t & =\int_{0}^{1} f\left(c_{1}(t)\right) \cdot\left\|\binom{-\sin (t)}{\cos (t)}\right\| \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \sin (t) \sqrt{\sin (t)^{2}+\cos (t)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t=0.46 \\
\int_{0}^{1}\left(f \circ c_{2}\right)(t) \cdot\left\|c_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \mathrm{d} t & =\int_{0}^{1} f\left(c_{2}(t)\right) \cdot\left\|\binom{-2 t \sin \left(t^{2}\right)}{2 t \cos \left(t^{2}\right)}\right\| \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \sin \left(t^{2}\right) \sqrt{4 t^{2} \sin \left(t^{2}\right)^{2}+4 t^{2} \cos \left(t^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t=0.46
\end{aligned}
$$

Exercise 2 (2 points). Show that the push-forward is a linear mapping.

Solution. For a linear mapping we have to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
D f(p)\left[v_{1}+v_{2}\right] & =D f(p)\left[v_{1}\right]+D f(p)\left[v_{2}\right] \\
D f(p)[\lambda v] & =\lambda D f(p)[v]
\end{aligned}
$$

when $f: M \rightarrow N$.
We will use the definition of the push-forward using curves but the proof can as easily (maybe even easierly) with the differentials of $x$ and $f$. Let $x: U \rightarrow M$ be a coordinate map w.l.o.g. $0 \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $x(0)=p$ as well as $f(p)=q$. Let $u, v \in T_{p} M$ then there exist $h_{u}, h_{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c_{u}:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow M & t \mapsto x\left(t \cdot h_{u}\right) \\
c_{v}:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow M &
\end{array}
$$

They define the equivalence classes $\left[c_{u}\right]=u$ and $\left[c_{v}\right]=v$ for which hold that $c_{u / v}(0)=p$ and $D c_{u / v}(0)=u / v$. Further, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f \circ c_{u}:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow N \\
& f \circ c_{v}:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow N
\end{aligned}
$$

and it follows by definition that $\left[f \circ c_{u}\right] \in T_{q} N$ and $\left[f \circ c_{v}\right] \in T_{q} N$. We define $\left[c_{u}\right]+\left[c_{v}\right]=\left[c_{u+v}\right]$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{u+v}:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow M \\
& t \mapsto x\left(t \cdot\left(h_{u}+h_{v}\right)\right) \\
& c_{\lambda}:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow M \\
& t \mapsto x\left(t \cdot \lambda h_{u}\right) \\
& D f(p)\left[c_{u+v}\right]= {\left[f \circ c_{u+v}\right] } \\
&= {\left[(f \circ x)\left(t \cdot\left(h_{u}+h_{v}\right)\right)\right] } \\
&=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left((f \circ x)\left(t \cdot\left(h_{u}+h_{v}\right)\right)\right)\right|_{t=0} \\
&=\left.\frac{\partial(f \circ x)}{\partial t}\left(t \cdot\left(h_{u}+h_{v}\right)\right) \cdot\left(h_{u}+h_{v}\right)\right|_{t=0} \\
&= \frac{\partial(f \circ x)}{\partial t}(0) \cdot h_{u}+\frac{\partial(f \circ x)}{\partial t}(0) \cdot h_{v} \\
&= {\left[f \circ c_{u}\right]+\left[f \circ c_{v}\right] } \\
&= D f(p)\left[c_{u}\right]+D f(p)\left[c_{v}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
D f(p)\left[c_{\lambda}\right] & =\left[f \circ c_{\lambda}\right] \\
& =\left[(f \circ x)\left(t \cdot \lambda h_{u}\right)\right] \\
& =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(f \circ x)\left(t \cdot \lambda h_{u}\right)\right|_{t=0} \\
& =\left.\frac{\partial(f \circ x)}{\partial t}\left(t \cdot \lambda h_{u}\right) \cdot \lambda h_{u}\right|_{t=0} \\
& =\lambda \cdot \frac{\partial(f \circ x)}{\partial t}(0) h_{u} \\
& =\lambda\left[f \circ c_{u}\right] \\
& =\lambda \cdot D f(p)\left[c_{u}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Programming: 2D Shape Features

Download the supplementary material from the homepage. It contains some blackwhite silhouette images from the MPEG7 dataset (http://www.dabi.temple.edu/~shape/MPEG7/dataset.html), a function extract_pointwise_contour.m to extract a contour as a sequence of 2D coordinates, LAP.m solving Linear Assignment Problems (actually not with the Hungarian method...) and visualise_matching.m. Please also submit your code.

Exercise 3 (2 points). Read out the image files bat-9.gif, device7-1.gif, turtle-1.gif into matrices (use imread, it reads positive integers. Changing the type to double will help). Include an image for each sub-exercise in your solution sheet.

1. Calculate the curvature on the contour. It can be seen as the level set function somewhere between 0 and 1 so the formula $\kappa(p)=\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla F(\cdot)}{\|\nabla F(\cdot)\|}\right)(p)$ from the lecture can be used. There are imgradient and imgradientxy in Matlab or implement your own finite difference gradient as an exercise (it's quite easy). The divergence can be calculated with divergence.
2. The result from the last exercise was pretty ugly. The reason is that the function we considered was not smooth but went zig-zag along the edges of the pixels. Use a gaussian filter on the image before calculating the curvature. (See imgaussfilt) Use the extract_contour.m from the supplementary material to get a binary mask for the contour with different thickness. Play around with different $\sigma$ for the filter and thicknesses of the mask.

Exercise 4 (1 point). In most applications we want to find out which shapes are similar to each other. Create a descriptor for each shape in the supplementary material and create a histogram of the different curvatures on the contour (don't forget to normalize because normally the contours will not have the same amount of points). There is a Matlab function histogram if you are not familiar with histograms.

Compare the descriptor of device7-1 to all other descriptors (for example with the Euclidean distance) and sort the remaining shapes in order of similarity to device7-1.

Exercise 5 (2 points). Extract pointwise contours of the images bat-9.gif, device7-1.gif and turtle-1.gif with 100 points.

1. Calculate the integral invariant on each image and evaluate them at the pointwise contour. Try gaussians kernel with sizes of $10 \times 10,32 \times 32,100 \times 100$ and $200 \times 200$. (For the std deviations $3,10,30,80$ ) Include figures of your results in your solution sheet (try scatter with colors for the pointwise contour and fspecial, conv2, interp2 for the feature).
2. Calculate the shape context on the images with a $101 \times 101$ kernel divided into 3 different radii and 10 different angles (in the lecture the kernel had 2 radii and 3 angles but this is not enough for real applications). This means you have to produce 30 different kernels and your feature at each point on the contour will be a $\mathbb{R}^{30}$ vector.

Exercise 6 (2 points). Calculate the best matching between the pointwise contours of turtle-1.gif to turtle-19.gif and apple-20.gif. Create the 3 cost matrices for the linear assignment problem with the 3 different features (curvature, integral invariant, shape context) and the distance functions proposed in the lecture. Choose the parameters that you think will work the best. Then solve for the permutation with LAP.m from the supplementary material. You can visualise your results with visualise_matching.m giving both pointwise contours and your permutation as an input. Points with the same color are matched to each other. Are the matchings reasonable?

