



Computer Vision II: Multiple View Geometry (IN2228)

Chapter 06 2D-2D Geometry (Part 4 Dense Correspondence Search and Homography)

Dr. Haoang Li

15 June 2023 11:00-11:45





Explanation for Pose Definition in Stereo Rectification

- Notation
- In our society, R_{wc} and T_{wc} sometimes denote the rotation and translation from the camera frame to the world frame, but sometime denote the rotation and translation from the world frame to the camera frame.
- In the future classes and final exam, we will use $R_{c\rightarrow w}$ and $T_{c\rightarrow w}$ to denote the rotation and translation from the camera frame to the world frame; We will use $R_{w\rightarrow c}$ and $T_{w\rightarrow c}$ to denote the rotation and translation from the world frame to the camera frame.



Explanation for Pose Definition in Stereo Rectification

- Equation Validation
- Equations introduced in our last class

 $\lambda \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = K \left(R \begin{bmatrix} X_w \\ Y_w \\ Z_w \end{bmatrix} + T \right) \longrightarrow$

From world to camera

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = KR^{-1} \left(\begin{bmatrix} X_w \\ Y_w \\ Z_w \end{bmatrix} - T \right)$$

From camera to world

Conversion introduced before

$$\begin{aligned} R_{C \to W}^{-1} &= R_{W \to C} \\ -R_{C \to W}^{-1} T_{C \to W} &= T_{W \to C} \end{aligned}$$

$$KR_{C \to W}^{-1}\left(\begin{bmatrix} X_{W} \\ Y_{W} \\ Z_{W} \end{bmatrix} - T_{C \to W}\right)$$
$$= K\left(R_{C \to W}^{-1}\begin{bmatrix} X_{W} \\ Y_{W} \\ Z_{W} \end{bmatrix} - R_{C \to W}^{-1}T_{C \to W}\right)$$
$$= K\left(R_{W \to C}\begin{bmatrix} X_{W} \\ Y_{W} \\ Z_{W} \end{bmatrix} + T_{W \to C}\right)$$

- -



Today's Outline

- Dense Correspondence Search
- Homography



- Recap on Stereo Rectification
- ✓ Image planes are coplanar
- ✓ Epipolar lines are collinear and horizontal

We can conduct 1D correspondence search!





- > Overview
- Once left and right images are rectified, correspondence search can be done along the same scanlines.
- A straightforward strategy is to compute the **pixel-wise similarity**. A pair of pixels associated with the highest similarity (e.g., smallest intensity difference) constitute a point correspondence.
- A more reliable strategy is to compute the **block-wise similarity**.



Pixel-wise similarity measurement



Disparity result based on **pixel-wise** similarity



Disparity result based on **block-wise** similarity



- Descriptor Similarity Measurement
- ✓ Scale and viewpoint do not change significantly for a stereo camera.
- ✓ To average effects of noise or mis-calibration, we can use a window around the point of interest.
- ✓ Find a correspondence that minimizes (Z)NCC, (Z)SSD, (Z)SAD, etc.





General vs. Simplified Cases

Computer Vision Group

- ✓ General case (sparse correspondences)
- Descriptor

- Descriptors of keypoints may be subject to significant scale change and view point change.

- Position
- Keypoints can lie at arbitrary positions within the image.
- We have to use 2D search strategy to establish correspondences.

General case (non identical cameras and not aligned)







- General vs. Simplified Cases
- ✓ Simplified case (dense correspondences)
- Descriptor
- The baseline of a stereo camera is limited.
- Descriptors of keypoints do not have significant scale change and view point change.
- Position
- Based on stereo rectification, a pair of associated points lie on the aligned and horizontal epipolar lines.
- We can use 1D search strategy to establish correspondences.

Simplified case (identical cameras and aligned)









- Descriptor Similarity Measurement
- ✓ Example of optimal matched blocks





- Effects of window size (W) on the disparity map
- ✓ Smaller window
- more detail
- but more noise
- ✓ Larger window
- smoother disparity maps
- but less detail



Smaller window Large window





Problem of Initial Accuracy

Block matching result is not smooth enough.

Data



Block matching



Ground truth





- How Can We Improve Window/block-based Matching?
- ✓ Beyond the epipolar constraint, there are "soft" constraints to help identify corresponding points.
- ✓ A representative constraint based on **disparity gradient**: Disparity changes **smoothly** between points that lie on the same surface

With out smoothness constraint



Smoothness constraint

FlexView1



- How Can We Improve Window/block-based Matching?
- ✓ An effective method: **semi-global** matching (SGM)
- Main idea: Perform block matching followed by regularization e.g. smoothing.
- Another strategy of "global" matching skips the block matching. It starts from pixel-wise similarity, followed by global smoothing (e.g., graph-cut methods).







This knowledge will not be asked in the exam.

Left Image

Right Image

Estimated Disparity



- Overview
- ✓ Homography is a transformation of point correspondences (typically, we talk about 2D-2D correspondences).
- ✓ It is derived based on perspective projection (more general than Affine transformation).
- ✓ It encodes the **co-planarity** information.





A Common Definition (2D-2D)





3D point P is projected to both left and right image planes





- A More Common Definition (2D-2D)
- ✓ Conclusion

We define homography matrix H as



Homography encodes the relative camera pose information.





- Computation (2D-2D)
- \checkmark A pair of points in homogeneous coordinates satisfy the homography

$$q_2 \propto {f H} q_1$$

✓ We expand the above constraint

$$egin{pmatrix} u_2\ v_2\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \propto egin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H}_{11} & \mathbf{H}_{12} & \mathbf{H}_{13}\ \mathbf{H}_{21} & \mathbf{H}_{22} & \mathbf{H}_{23}\ \mathbf{H}_{31} & \mathbf{H}_{32} & \mathbf{H}_{33} \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} u_1\ v_1\ 1 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

Homography is up to scale and thus has 8 degrees of freedom



- Computation (2D-2D)
- ✓ Without loss of generality, we fix the last element of Homography to 1:

$$egin{pmatrix} u_2 \ v_2 \ 1 \end{pmatrix} \propto egin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H}_{11} & \mathbf{H}_{12} & \mathbf{H}_{13} \ \mathbf{H}_{21} & \mathbf{H}_{22} & \mathbf{H}_{23} \ \mathbf{H}_{31} & \mathbf{H}_{32} & \mathbf{H}_{33} \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} u_1 \ v_1 \ 1 \end{pmatrix} ecbox egin{pmatrix} u_2 \ v_2 \ 1 \end{pmatrix} \propto egin{pmatrix} H_{11} & H_{12} & H_{13} \ H_{21} & H_{22} & H_{23} \ H_{31} & H_{32} & 1 \end{bmatrix} egin{pmatrix} u_1 \ v_1 \ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

✓ We re-write the matrix form into two constraints

$$\left\{ egin{array}{l} u_2 = rac{H_{11}u_1 + H_{12}v_1 + H_{13}}{H_{31}u_1 + H_{32}v_1 + 1} \ v_2 = rac{H_{21}u_1 + H_{22}v_1 + H_{23}}{H_{31}u_1 + H_{32}v_1 + 1} \end{array}
ight.$$



Computation (2D-2D)

$$\left\{ egin{array}{l} u_2 = rac{H_{11}u_1 + H_{12}v_1 + H_{13}}{H_{31}u_1 + H_{32}v_1 + 1} \ v_2 = rac{H_{21}u_1 + H_{22}v_1 + H_{23}}{H_{31}u_1 + H_{32}v_1 + 1} \end{array}
ight.$$

- ✓ Each point correspondence provides **two** linear constraint.
- ✓ Linear system w.r.t. elements of Homography defined by **four** point correspondences.

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1^1 & v_1^1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_1^1 u_2^1 & -v_1^1 u_2^1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u_1^1 & v_1^1 & 1 & -u_1^1 v_2^1 & -v_1^1 v_2^1 \\ u_1^2 & v_1^2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_1^2 u_2^2 & -v_1^2 u_2^2 \\ u_1^3 & v_1^3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_1^3 u_2^3 & -v_1^3 u_2^3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u_1^3 & v_1^3 & 1 & -u_1^3 v_2^3 & -v_1^3 u_2^3 \\ u_1^4 & v_1^4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_1^4 u_2^4 & -v_1^4 u_2^4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u_1^4 & v_1^4 & 1 & -u_1^4 v_2^4 & -v_1^4 v_2^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H_{11} \\ H_{12} \\ H_{13} \\ H_{21} \\ H_{22} \\ H_{23} \\ H_{31} \\ H_{32} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1^2 \\ v_2^2 \\ u_2^2 \\ u_2^2 \\ u_2^3 \\ u_2^4 \\ v_2^4 \end{pmatrix}$$



- Essential Matrix vs. Homography (2D-2D)
- ✓ Similarity
- They both encodes the relative pose information.
- Different point correspondences can be fitted by the same matrix.
- ✓ Difference
- Essential matrix is derived from arbitrary 3D points.
- Homography is derived from coplanar 3D points.
- Essential matrix computation needs at least 5 point correspondences.
- Homography computation needs at least 4 point correspondences.



Arbitrary 3D points



3D points lying on the same 3D plane



Computer Vision Group

- Recovering Camera Pose from Homography (2D-2D)
- \checkmark Recall that Homography encodes the camera pose information

$$p_2 = H p_1$$
$$H = K \left(R + t \frac{n^T}{-d} \right) K^-$$



- ✓ Assume that we have computed homography, we aim to recover rotation and translation.
- ✓ Two representative methods: [1] (popular method) and [2]

Faugeras O D, Lustman F. Motion and structure from motion in a piecewise planar environment. 1988
 Ezio Malis, Manuel Vargas, and others. Deeper understanding of the homography decomposition for vision-based control. 2007





Summary

- Dense Correspondence Search
- Homography







Thank you for your listening! If you have any questions, please come to me :-)