



Computer Vision II: Multiple View Geometry (IN2228)

Chapter 07 3D-2D Geometry

Dr. Haoang Li

21 June 2023 12:00-13:30





Announcements before Class

- Reminder
- ✓ For 2D-2D geometry, due to time limit, we skip the case of multiple views (last year, Prof. Cremers also skipped this part).
- \checkmark Thus, we cancel the Exercise 7.

Wed 14.06.2023 Exercise 6: Reconstruction from two views

Wed 21.06.2023 Exercise 7: Reconstruction from multiple views

Wed 05.07.2023 Exercise 8: Direct Image Alignment

Wed 12.07.2023 Exercise 9: Direct Image Alignment



Today's Outline

- Overview of 3D-2D Geometry
- Definition of Perspective-n-Points (PnP)
- Classical Algorithms
- Advanced Algorithms
- Brief Introduction to Perspective-n-Lines (PnL)



- Recap on Coordinate System
- ✓ Relative pose from the **right camera frame** to the **left camera frame**



Left and right camera frames in VO/SLAM/SFM



- Recap on Coordinate System
- \checkmark Absolute pose from the camera frame to the world frame





World frame and camera frames in VO/SLAM/SFM



- Comparison Between 2D-2D Geometry and 3D-2D Geometry
- ✓ Different types of correspondences
- 2D-2D geometry: 2D-2D correspondences for **relative** camera pose estimation. It is NOT suitable to compute the absolute poses of sequential images since 1) it is time-consuming, and 2) the estimated translation is up-to-scale.
- 3D-2D geometry: 3D-2D correspondences for absolute camera pose estimation.







- Recap on Perspective Projection
- \checkmark Perspective projection model and practical configuration





Two practical configurations:

- 1. R,T is known. We use them to obtain 2D projections.
- 2. 2D projections (associated with 3D points) is known. We use them to **compute R, T** -> Our today's content



- Input and Output
- Perspective-n-Points (PnP) is to determine the 6-DoF absolute pose of a camera (extrinsic parameters) with respect to the world frame, given a set of 3D-2D point correspondences.
- \checkmark It assumes that the camera is already calibrated (i.e., we know its intrinsic parameters).





- Relationship with Camera Calibration
- ✓ Camera calibration focuses on "simultaneous" calibration of extrinsic and intrinsic parameters.
- ✓ PnP aims to only estimate the **extrinsic** parameters (with "known" intrinsic parameters), i.e., a camera localization problem.



Camera calibration (multiple images)



Camera localization (a single image)



- Minimal Case
- ✓ 2 Points: a infinite number of solutions, but bounded
- ✓ 3 Points: minimal case
- ✓ 4 Points: more reliable





- Minimal Case
- ✓ Geometric illustration of 2-point case
 Camera position has a infinite number of solutions.





- Minimal Case
- ✓ Geometric illustration of 3-point case

Camera position can be determined (minimal case).

- The first and second curved surfaces intersect, forming a 3D curve.
- The 3D curve and the third curved surface intersect, forming a 3D point (camera center)







- Minimal Case
- ✓ Algebraic illustration

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}_i^{\mathbf{x}} \propto \mathbf{d}_i^{\mathbf{X}} \Rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i \propto \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_i \\ & \text{3D vector} & \text{3D vector} \end{aligned}$

" \propto " represents equality regardless of scale, i.e., two \$,' vectors are parallel, which leads to the cross product of 0.

A 3*3 skew-symmetric matrix has the rank of 2, so each 3D-2D point correspondence provide two constraints.

Camera pose has 6 DOF, so we need at least three point correspondences.

$$\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} = [\mathbf{a}]_{\times} \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_3 & a_2 \\ a_3 & 0 & -a_1 \\ -a_2 & a_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix}$$



- 3D-2D Correspondence Establishment
- ✓ Generating 3D-2D correspondence based on 2D descriptor
- Mapping descriptor of 2D point to reconstructed 3D point
- Matching 3D point to 2D extracted point based on descriptor similarity
- We can also use prior camera pose to establish correspondences geometrically (introduced in the future)





12/35





- Direct Linear Transformation (DLT)
- ✓ Recap on rewriting perspective projection

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = K[R \mid T] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} X_w \\ Y_w \\ Z_w \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies$$
$$\Rightarrow \lambda \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_u & 0 & u_0 \\ 0 & \alpha_v & v_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & t_1 \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} & t_2 \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} & t_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_w \\ Y_w \\ Z_w \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
Known intrinsic Unknown extrinsic parameters



Calibration problem

Computer Vision Group

Classical Algorithms

- Direct Linear Transformation (DLT)
- ✓ Linear constraint derivation

Express *s* based on the last row, and rewrite the first and second rows.

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_u & 0 & u_0 \\ 0 & \alpha_v & v_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & t_1 \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} & t_2 \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} & t_3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} X_w \\ Y_w \\ Z_w \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



Computer Vision Group

- Direct Linear Transformation (DLT)
- ✓ Rewrite transformation matrix by row vectors

$$s \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & t_4 \\ t_5 & t_6 & t_7 & t_8 \\ t_9 & t_{10} & t_{11} & t_{12} \end{pmatrix}}_{[\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{t}]} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Previous derivation result



Computer Vision Group

- Direct Linear Transformation (DLT)
- ✓ Generate a linear system

$$s \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & t_4 \\ t_5 & t_6 & t_7 & t_8 \\ t_9 & t_{10} & t_{11} & t_{12} \end{pmatrix}}_{[\mathbf{R}|\mathbf{t}]} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{t}_{1}^{T}\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{t}_{3}^{T}\mathbf{P}u_{1} = 0,$$

$$\mathbf{t}_{2}^{T}\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{t}_{3}^{T}\mathbf{P}v_{1} = 0.$$

$$\mathbf{t}_{2}^{T}\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{t}_{3}^{T}\mathbf{P}v_{1} = 0.$$
Constraint of one correspondence
$$\mathbf{t}_{2}^{T}\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{t}_{3}^{T}\mathbf{P}v_{1} = 0.$$

$$\mathbf{t}_{3}^{T}\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{t}_{3}^{T}\mathbf{P}v_{1} = 0.$$

Since t has a total dimension of 12, the linear solution of the transformation matrix T can be achieved by at least six pairs of matching points.



- Perspective-3-Points (P3P)
- ✓ Configuration of P3P



17/35



- Perspective-3-Points (P3P)
- ✓ The law of cosines



Variable in the camera frame w.r.t. the unknown camera pose

Configuration of P3P problem

Unknown

Known



Perspective-3-Points (P3P)

✓ Rewrite the law of cosines Unknown Divide each equation by \overline{OC}^2 on both sides, and denote $x = \overline{OA}/\overline{OC}$, $y = \overline{OB}/\overline{OC}$



- Perspective-3-Points (P3P)
- ✓ Rewrite the law of cosines

Generate polynomial system w.r.t. unknown v, x, and y

$$x^{2} + y^{2} - 2.x.y.\cos\langle a, b \rangle = \overline{AB^{2}/\overline{OC}^{2}}$$

$$y^{2} + 1^{2} - 2.y.\cos\langle b, c \rangle = \overline{BC^{2}/\overline{OC}^{2}}$$

$$x^{2} + 1^{2} - 2.x.\cos\langle a, c \rangle = \overline{AC^{2}/\overline{OC}^{2}}.$$

$$Unknown known Unknown$$

$$x^{2} + y^{2} - 2.x.y.\cos\langle a, b \rangle - v = 0$$

$$y^{2} + 1^{2} - 2.y.\cos\langle b, c \rangle - u.v = 0$$

$$x^{2} + 1^{2} - 2.x.\cos\langle a, c \rangle - u.v = 0.$$
known

We substitute the first equation to the second and third to eliminate v, obtaining

$$(1-u) y^2 - ux^2 - 2\cos \langle b, c \rangle y + 2uxy \cos \langle a, b \rangle + 1 = 0$$

(1-w) x² - wy² - 2 cos \lap{a, c} x + 2wxy cos \lap{a, b} + 1 = 0.



Perspective-3-Points (P3P)

 $x = \overline{OA}/\overline{OC}, \ y = \overline{OB}/\overline{OC}$ $x^2 + y^2 - 2.x.y \cos \langle a, b \rangle = \overline{\overline{AB^2}}/\overline{OC}^2$

✓ Solving the multivariate quadratic polynomial w.r.t. unknown x and y

$$\begin{array}{l} (1-u) y^2 - ux^2 - 2\cos\left\langle b, c\right\rangle y + 2uxy\cos\left\langle a, b\right\rangle + 1 = 0 \\ (1-w) x^2 - wy^2 - 2\cos\left\langle a, c\right\rangle x + 2wxy\cos\left\langle a, b\right\rangle + 1 = 0. \end{array}$$

- Use Wu's elimination method to obtain x and y.
- Use x and y to compute OC
- Use x, y and OC to obtain OA and OB
- We can thus obtain the coordinates of A, B, C in the camera frame
- Based on the 3D–3D point pair, the closed-form solution of camera movement R, t can be calculated. (introduced tommorw)



Efficient Perspective-n-Points (EPnP)

Express each 3D point by a linear combination of **four control points**.

- We first determine the coordinates of these four control points in both camera and world frames.
- Then we use control points to obtain coordinates of **each 3D point** in both camera and world frames (3D-3D point correspondences).
- Finally, we use 3D-3D point correspondences to compute closed-form solution of rotation and translation.





Efficient Perspective-n-Points (EPnP)

Express each 3D point by a linear combination of **four** control points in the **world** frame.



Barycentric coordinates in 2D

Non-homogeneous

$$\mathbf{p}_i^w = \sum_{j=1}^4 lpha_{ij} \mathbf{c}_j^w$$
 $\sum_{j=1}^4 lpha_{ij} = 1$
 $igcap_i^{\mathbf{c}}$
Coefficients are called homogeneous

barycentric coordinates



Computer Vision Group

Advanced Algorithms

Efficient Perspective-n-Points (EPnP)

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{p}^w_i &= \sum_{j=1}^4 lpha_{ij} \mathbf{c}^w_j \ &\sum_{j=1}^4 lpha_{ij} &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{c}_{j}^{c}=\left[\mathbf{R}|\mathbf{t}
ight]\left[egin{array}{c} \mathbf{c}_{j}^{w}\ 1\end{array}
ight]$$

We denote the pose from the world frame to the camera frame by $\left[{f R} | {f t}
ight]$

An ordinary 3D point in the camera frame can be expressed by





ca

Efficient Perspective-n-Points (EPnP) \geq

Linear constraint to solve control points in the camera \checkmark Perspective projection of ordinary points in the camera frame

$$\omega_{i} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{i} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{p}_{i}^{c} = \mathbf{K} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \alpha_{ij} \mathbf{c}_{j}^{c} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{x} & 0 & c_{x} \\ 0 & f_{y} & c_{y} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \alpha_{ij} \begin{bmatrix} x_{j}^{c} \\ y_{j}^{c} \\ z_{j}^{c} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Known \qquad Unknown$$
Constraint w.r.t. unknown control points in the camera frame
$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\alpha_{ij} f_{x} x_{j}^{c} + \alpha_{ij} \left(c_{x} - u_{i} \right) z_{j}^{c} \right) = 0 \\ \sum_{j=1}^{4} \left(\alpha_{ij} f_{y} y_{j}^{c} + \alpha_{ij} \left(c_{y} - v_{i} \right) z_{j}^{c} \right) = 0 \end{cases}$$

25/35



Efficient Perspective-n-Points (EPnP)

Each 3D-2D correspondence can provide two linear constraints. We use at least 6 correspondences to generate 12 equations, solving 12 unknown parameters of control points in the camera frame.



- Comparison between EPnP and DLT
- ✓ Accuracy test w.r.t. noise
 EPnP is up to **10 times** more robust to noise than DLT.





Comparison between EPnP and DLT

✓ Accuracy test w.r.t. number of correspondences
 EPnP is up to **10 times** more accurate than DLT



Comparison between EPnP and DLT

✓ Efficiency test w.r.t. number of correspondences EPnP is up to **10 times** more efficient than DLT





Iterative Method

In addition to the linear method, we can also formulate the PnP problem as a nonlinear least-square problem about re-projection errors.

$$s_{i} \begin{bmatrix} u_{i} \\ v_{i} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{KT} \begin{bmatrix} X_{i} \\ Y_{i} \\ Z_{i} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \overrightarrow{\Gamma} \qquad \mathbf{T}^{*} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| \mathbf{u}_{i} - \frac{1}{s_{i}} \mathbf{KTP}_{i} \right\|_{2}^{2}.$$
Initial value provided by DLT/EPnP
$$s_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i} = \mathbf{KTP}_{i}$$
Unknown extrinsic matrix



- Definition of PnL
- ✓ Input

A set of 3D-2D line correspondences 3D lines is in the world frame

✓ Output

3-DOF rotation and 3-DOF translation aligning the world frame to the camera frame.



Endpoints of image line segments

Details of PnL will not be asked in the exam.



- Definition of PnL
- ✓ Basic geometric constraints

The transformation from the world frame to the camera frame for the Plücker line coordinates (page 22/57 of Chapter 02 Part 1)







- Definition of PnL
- ✓ Basic geometric constraints

Perspective projection of Plücker line coordinates (page 32/51 of Chapter 03 Part 1) in the camera frame





Methods to Solve PnL

✓ Direct Linear Transform (one-step method)
 We can jointly estimate the rotation and translation.





Summary

- Overview of 3D-2D Geometry
- Definition of Perspective-n-Points (PnP)
- Classical Algorithms
- Advanced Algorithms
- Brief Introduction to Perspective-n-Lines (PnL)





Thank you for your listening! If you have any questions, please come to me :-)