



Multiple View Geometry: Exercise 1

Dr. Haoang Li, Daniil Sinitsyn, Sergei Solonets, Viktoria Ehm
Computer Vision Group, TU Munich

Wednesdays 16:00–18:15 at Hörsaal 2, "Interims I"
(5620.01.102), and on RBG Live

Exercise: May 03, 2023

Math Background

1. Show for each of the following sets (1) whether they are linearly independent, (2) whether they span \mathbb{R}^3 and (3) whether they form a basis of \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$(a) B_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

The set B_1 (1) is linearly independent, (2) spans \mathbb{R}^3 , (3) forms a basis of \mathbb{R}^3 .

This can be shown by building a matrix and calculating the determinant:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 \neq 0.$$

As the determinant is not zero, we know that the vectors are linearly independent. Three linearly independent vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 span \mathbb{R}^3 . A set is a basis of \mathbb{R}^3 if it is linearly independent and spans \mathbb{R}^3 , so B_1 forms a basis.

$$(b) B_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

The set B_2 (1) is linearly independent, (2) does not span \mathbb{R}^3 , (3) does not form a basis of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Since the two vectors are not parallel, linear independence is given. To span \mathbb{R}^3 , there are at least three vectors needed. Hence, the set cannot be a basis either.

$$(c) B_3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

The set B_3 (1) is not linearly independent, (2) spans \mathbb{R}^3 , (3) does not form a basis of \mathbb{R}^3 . In \mathbb{R}^3 , there cannot be more than three independent vectors. Using e.g. the determinant, one finds that any three of the four vectors form a basis of \mathbb{R}^3 and thus the four together span \mathbb{R}^3 . Since they are not linearly independent, they cannot form a basis.

2. Which of the following sets forms a group (with matrix-multiplication)? Prove or disprove!

$$(a) G_1 := \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \det(A) \neq 0 \wedge A^T = A\}$$

The set is not closed under multiplication, thus no group. To show this, one counter-example is enough: choose $n = 3$ and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \in G_1, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \in G_1 : \quad AB = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 9 \\ 2 & 0 & 12 \\ 3 & 8 & 15 \end{pmatrix} \notin G_1$$

Note:

You can also show that if G_1 was a group, for any $A, B \in G_1$, $(AB)^\top = AB$ would have to be true, but is not. This is equivalent to saying $BA = AB$ would have to be true:

$$(AB)^\top = B^\top A^\top = BA$$

However, to show that there exist A and B in G_1 for which $AB \neq BA$ (which is an important step in the proof!), the easiest way again is to choose a concrete counter-example.

(b) $G_2 := \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \det(A) = -1\}$

The set contains no neutral element, thus no group:

$$\det(\text{Id}_n) = 1 \neq -1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Id}_n \notin G_2$$

(c) $G_3 := \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \det(A) > 0\}$

The set forms a group. The easiest way to show this is to show that G_3 is a subgroup of the general linear group $GL(n)$. We simply need to show that for any two elements A, B of G_3 , AB^{-1} is also in G_3 :¹ for $A, B \in G_3$,

$$\det(AB^{-1}) = \underbrace{\det(A)}_{>0} \underbrace{[\det(B)]^{-1}}_{>0} > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad AB^{-1} \in G_3$$

Thus, G_3 is a subgroup of $GL(n)$ and hence a group.

3. Prove or disprove: There exist vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_5 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, which are pairwise orthogonal, i.e.

$$\forall i, j = 1, \dots, 5: \quad i \neq j \implies \langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = 0$$

Assume there exist five pairwise orthogonal, non-zero vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_5 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. In \mathbb{R}^3 , there are at most three linearly independent vectors. Thus, the vectors are linearly dependent, which means

$$\exists a_i: \quad \sum_{i=1}^5 a_i \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{0},$$

with at least one $a_i \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, assume that $a_1 = -1$, resulting in

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = a_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + a_3 \mathbf{v}_3 + a_4 \mathbf{v}_4 + a_5 \mathbf{v}_5$$

As the vectors are assumed to be pairwise orthogonal, we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{v}_1\|^2 &= \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle = \\ &= \langle \mathbf{v}_1, a_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + a_3 \mathbf{v}_3 + a_4 \mathbf{v}_4 + a_5 \mathbf{v}_5 \rangle = \\ &= a_2 \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle + a_3 \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_3 \rangle + a_4 \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_4 \rangle + a_5 \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_5 \rangle = \\ &= 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{v}_1 &= \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the assumption of pairwise orthogonal, non-zero vectors.

4. Groups and inclusions:
Groups

¹See e.g. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Subgroup_test for a proof if this is not clear to you.

- (a) $SO(n)$: special orthogonal group
- (b) $O(n)$: orthogonal group
- (c) $GL(n)$: general linear group
- (d) $SL(n)$: special linear group
- (e) $SE(n)$: special euclidean group (In particular, $SE(3)$ represents the rigid-body motions in \mathbb{R}^3)
- (f) $E(n)$: euclidean group
- (g) $A(n)$: affine group

Inclusions

- (a) $SO(n) \subset O(n) \subset GL(n)$
- (b) $SE(n) \subset E(n) \subset A(n) \subset GL(n+1)$

$$5. \lambda_a = \frac{(\lambda_a v_a)^\top v_b}{\langle v_a, v_b \rangle} = \frac{v_a^\top A^\top v_b}{\langle v_a, v_b \rangle} = \frac{v_a^\top A v_b}{\langle v_a, v_b \rangle} = \frac{v_a^\top (\lambda_b v_b)}{\langle v_a, v_b \rangle} = \lambda_b$$

6. Let V be the orthonormal matrix (i.e. $V^\top = V^{-1}$) given by the eigenvectors, and Σ the diagonal matrix containing the eigenvalues:

$$V = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc|c} | & & & | \\ v_1 & \cdots & & v_n \\ | & & & | \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ \cdots & 0 & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

As V is a basis, we can express x as a linear combination of the eigenvectors $x = V\alpha$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For $\|x\| = 1$ we have $\sum_i \alpha_i^2 = \alpha^\top \alpha = x^\top V V^\top x = x^\top x = 1$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} x^\top A x &= x^\top V \Sigma V^{-1} x \\ &= \alpha^\top V^\top V \Sigma V^\top V \alpha \\ &= \alpha^\top \Sigma \alpha = \sum_i \alpha_i^2 \lambda_i \end{aligned}$$

Considering $\sum_i \alpha_i^2 = 1$, we can conclude that this expression is minimized iff only the α_i corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue(s) are non-zero. If $\lambda_{n-1} \geq \lambda_n$, there exist only two solutions ($\alpha_n = \pm 1$), otherwise infinitely many.

For maximisation, only the the α_i corresponding to the largest eigenvalue(s) can be non-zero.

7. We show that: $x \in \text{kernel}(A) \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{kernel}(A^\top A)$.

" \Rightarrow ": Let $x \in \text{kernel}(A)$
 $A^\top \underbrace{Ax}_{=0} = A^\top 0 = 0 \Rightarrow x \in \text{kernel}(A^\top A)$

" \Leftarrow ": Let $x \in \text{kernel}(A^\top A)$
 $0 = x^\top \underbrace{A^\top Ax}_{=0} = \langle Ax, Ax \rangle = \|Ax\|^2 \Rightarrow Ax = 0 \Rightarrow x \in \text{kernel}(A)$

8. Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

Note: There exist multiple slightly different definitions of the SVD. Depending on the convention used, we might have $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, or $S \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ where $p = \text{rank}(A)$. In the lecture the third option was presented, for which S is invertible (no zeros on the diagonal). In the following, we present the results for the first option, since that is the one that Matlab's `svd` function returns by default.

(a) $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

(b) Similarities and differences between SVD and EVD:

- i. Both are matrix diagonalization techniques.
- ii. The SVD can be applied to matrices $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $m \neq n$, whereas the EVD is only applicable to quadratic matrices ($A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $m = n$).

(c) Relationship between U, S, V and the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $A^T A$ and AA^T :

- i. $A^T A$: The columns of V are eigenvectors; the squares of the diagonal elements of S are eigenvalues.
- ii. AA^T : The columns of U are eigenvectors; the squares of the diagonal elements of S are eigenvalues (possibly filled up with zeros).

(d) Entries in S :

- i. S is a diagonal matrix. The elements along the diagonal are the *singular values* of A .
- ii. The number of non-zero singular values gives us the *rank* of the matrix A .