



Multiple View Geometry: Exercise Sheet 10

Prof. Dr. Daniel Cremers,

Shenhan Qian, Simon Weber, Anna Ribic, and Tarun Yenamandra

Computer Vision Group, TU Munich

Wednesdays 16:15–18:15 at Hörsaal 2, "Interims I"
(5620.01.102), and on RBG Live

Exercise: July 2nd, 2025

1. **Gauss-Newton Method** When optimizing a function $F(x) = \frac{1}{2}\|r(x)\|_2^2$ with residual $r(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the Gauss-Newton method approximates the residual using a Taylor expansion:

$$r(x_0 + \Delta x) \approx r(x_0) + J_r(x_0)\Delta x \quad (1)$$

The minimization problem thus is

$$\min_{\Delta x} \frac{1}{2}\|r_0 + J\Delta x\|_2^2 \quad (2)$$

with a slight abuse of notation $J := J_r(x_0)$ and $r_0 := r(x_0)$.

- (a) Compute the gradient of $\frac{1}{2}\|r_0 + J\Delta x\|_2^2$ w.r.t. Δx .
 - (b) Solve the optimality condition for Δx .
 - (c) What problems can occur when solving for Δx ?
2. **Levenberg-Marquardt Method** One way to motivate the Levenberg-Marquardt method is to tackle the previously discussed problem by adding the damping term as follows:

$$(J^\top J + \lambda D^\top D) \Delta x = -J^\top r_0. \quad (3)$$

However, this can also be seen as a regularized version of the Gauss-Newton method.

$$\min_{\Delta x} \frac{1}{2}\|r_0 + J\Delta x\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\|D\Delta x\|_2^2. \quad (4)$$

- (a) Compute the gradient of the new cost function w.r.t. Δx .
 - (b) Solve the optimality condition for Δx .
 - (c) What is the effect of λ on the solution?
3. **Levenberg-Marquardt for Bundle Adjustment** Now, we apply the Levenberg-Marquardt method to the bundle adjustment problem. The variables are as follows:

- n_p : number poses
- n_l : number landmarks
- d_p : number of camera parameters
- $x_p \in \mathbb{R}^{n_p d_p}$: camera parameters
- $x_l \in \mathbb{R}^{n_l 3}$: landmark positions
- $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_p \\ x_l \end{bmatrix}$

We reuse the results from the previous problem with square diagonal matrix D

$$\min_{\Delta x} \frac{1}{2} \|r + J\Delta x\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|D\Delta x\|_2^2. \quad (5)$$

which is the following optimality condition

$$\underbrace{(J^\top J + \lambda D^\top D)}_H \Delta x = -J^\top r_0. \quad (6)$$

Now we split the Jacobian and damping into two parts $J = [J_p \ J_l]$ and $D = [D_p \ D_l]$ corresponding to the camera parameters and the landmark positions.

- (a) What is the dimension of H ? What problems can occur when solving for Δx ? What are the dimensions of J_p, J_l, D_p, D_l ? Lets see what we can do...
- (b) Rewrite the optimality condition by rewriting the matrix H into the block matrix form, yielding the normal equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} U & W \\ W^\top & V \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_p \\ \Delta x_\ell \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_p \\ b_\ell \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

What are U, W, V, b_p, b_ℓ and their dimensions?

- (c) The Schur complement is allowing us to first solve for Δx_p using the Schur complement S . Derive the Schur complement S and the vector $\tilde{b}$ for the reduced system: $S\Delta x_p = \tilde{b}$.
 - (d) What is the dimension of S ?
4. **Power Bundle Adjustment** The goal of Power Bundle Adjustment is to solve the reduced system $S\Delta x_p = \tilde{b}$ efficiently.
- (a) From the lecture, we know that computing the inverse of the Schur component can be approximated by a matrix power series. Specifically, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= U(I - U^{-1}WV^{-1}W^\top) \\ \rightarrow S^{-1} &= (I - U^{-1}WV^{-1}W^\top)^{-1}U^{-1} \\ \rightarrow S^{-1} &\approx \sum_{i=0}^m (U^{-1}WV^{-1}W^\top)^i U^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

To apply the matrix power series, we need to guarantee the spectral norm of the matrix is smaller than 1, i.e. show that all the eigenvalues μ of $U^{-1}WV^{-1}W^\top$ satisfy $0 \leq \mu < 1$.

Hint: Consider the similar matrix $U^{-1/2}WV^{-1}WU^{-1/2}$ for $U^{-1}WV^{-1}W^\top$ and show $U^{-1/2}WV^{-1}WU^{-1/2}$ is positive semi-definite. Additionally, the similar matrix $U^{-1/2}SU^{-1/2}$ for $U^{-1}S$ and show it is positive definite.

5. **Dense RGB-D Tracking** In the previous bundle adjustment problem, we have seen how to optimize the camera parameters x_p and landmark positions x_l . Here, in the context of direct tracking, we optimize for the extrinsic camera parameters $x_p = [\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n_p}]$ using the photometric error as a residual and frame wise depth map h provided. With known camera poses, the 3D geometry can thus be densely be reconstructed. No need to optimize for landmark positions x_l . The residual is as follows:

$$E(x_p) = \sum_i \int_{\Omega_1} \underbrace{\|I_1(x) - I_i(\Pi g_{\xi_i}(hx))\|}_{r_x(\xi_i)}^2 dx \quad (9)$$

where I_1 and I_i are the intensity images, Π is the projection operator, g_{ξ_i} is the rigid transform depending on the camera pose. The integral is over the image domain Ω_1 with x here being the homogeneous image coordinate and h its depth in the first frame.

- (a) Using the results from previous problems, state the optimality condition for minimizing $\|r_x(\xi_i)\|_2^2$ using the Levenberg-Marquardt method.
- (b) Compute the derivative of the residual $r_x(\xi_i)$ w.r.t. the camera parameters ξ_i using the chain rule. You don't have to explicitly compute $\frac{d}{d\xi_i} g_{\xi_i}(hx)$.