



Multiple View Geometry: Solution Sheet 2

Prof. Dr. Daniel Cremers,

Shenhan Qian, Simon Weber, Anna Ribic, and Tarun Yenamandra

Computer Vision Group, TU Munich

Wednesdays 16:15-18:15 at Hörsaal 2, "Interims I"
(5620.01.102), and on [RBG Live](#)

Exercise: May 14th, 2025

1. Rigid body motion requires to preserve 1) the norm and 2) the cross product. We first show the norm preservation, given a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and a rotation matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$:

$$\|Rv\|^2 = (Rv)^\top Rv = v^\top R^\top Rv = v^\top v = \|v\|^2$$

Next, we show the cross product preservation. Given two vectors a and b and assume we only rotate around x-axis with angle θ . Therefore, the rotation matrix is:

$$R_x(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ 0 & \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

Rotating the cross product of a and b with $R_x(\theta)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} a \times b &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2 \\ a_3 b_1 - a_1 b_3 \\ a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \Rightarrow R_x(\theta)(a \times b) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ 0 & \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2 \\ a_3 b_1 - a_1 b_3 \\ a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2 \\ \cos(\theta)(a_3 b_1 - a_1 b_3) - \sin(\theta)(a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1) \\ \sin(\theta)(a_3 b_1 - a_1 b_3) + \cos(\theta)(a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we first rotate a and b with $R_x(\theta)$ and then calculate the cross product:

$$\begin{aligned} (R_x(\theta)a) \times (R_x(\theta)b) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ 0 & \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ 0 & \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \cos(\theta)a_2 - \sin(\theta)a_3 \\ \sin(\theta)a_2 + \cos(\theta)a_3 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \cos(\theta)b_2 - \sin(\theta)b_3 \\ \sin(\theta)b_2 + \cos(\theta)b_3 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Following the calculation of the cross product, we can see that the two results are the same. Therefore, the cross product is preserved under rotation around the x-axis. The same can be shown for the y and z axis. The corresponding rotation matrices are:

$$R_y(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & 0 & \sin(\theta) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin(\theta) & 0 & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \quad R_z(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) & 0 \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$2. \lambda_a = \frac{(\lambda_a v_a)^\top v_b}{\langle v_a, v_b \rangle} = \frac{v_a^\top A^\top v_b}{\langle v_a, v_b \rangle} = \frac{v_a^\top A v_b}{\langle v_a, v_b \rangle} = \frac{v_a^\top (\lambda_b v_b)}{\langle v_a, v_b \rangle} = \lambda_b$$

3. We assume $u \neq -v$. Otherwise, we only get $w = 0$. We first compute the inner product between u and v :

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \|u\| \|v\| \cos(\theta) = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot \cos(\theta) = \cos(\theta)$$

Next, we compute the inner product between w and u :

$$\langle w, u \rangle = \langle u + v, u \rangle = \langle u, u \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle = 1 + \cos(\theta)$$

Alternatively, we can compute the inner product between w and u as follows:

$$\langle w, u \rangle = \|w\| \|u\| \cos(\alpha) = \|u + v\| \cos(\alpha)$$

Now, we compute $\|u + v\|$:

$$\|u + v\| = \sqrt{\langle u + v, u + v \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle + 2\langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle} = \sqrt{1 + 2\cos(\theta) + 1} = \sqrt{2(1 + \cos(\theta))}$$

Finally, we compute $\cos(\alpha)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u + v\| \cos(\alpha) &= 1 + \cos(\theta) \\ \Rightarrow \sqrt{2(1 + \cos(\theta))} \cos(\alpha) &= 1 + \cos(\theta) \\ \Rightarrow \cos(\alpha) &= \frac{1 + \cos(\theta)}{\sqrt{2(1 + \cos(\theta))}}. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\cos^2(\theta/2) = \frac{1 + \cos(\theta)}{2}$, we have shown $\cos(\alpha) = \cos(\theta/2)$.

4. Let V be the orthonormal matrix (i.e. $V^\top = V^{-1}$) given by the eigenvectors, and Σ the diagonal matrix containing the eigenvalues:

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} | & & | \\ v_1 & \cdots & v_n \\ | & & | \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ \cdots & 0 & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

As V is a basis, we can express x as a linear combination of the eigenvectors $x = V\alpha$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For $\|x\| = 1$ we have $\sum_i \alpha_i^2 = \alpha^\top \alpha = x^\top V V^\top x = x^\top x = 1$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} x^\top A x &= x^\top V \Sigma V^{-1} x \\ &= \alpha^\top V^\top V \Sigma V^\top V \alpha \\ &= \alpha^\top \Sigma \alpha = \sum_i \alpha_i^2 \lambda_i \end{aligned}$$

Considering $\sum_i \alpha_i^2 = 1$, we can conclude that this expression is minimized iff only the α_i corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue(s) are non-zero. If $\lambda_{n-1} \gtrless \lambda_n$, there exist only two solutions ($\alpha_n = \pm 1$), otherwise infinitely many.

For maximisation, only the α_i corresponding to the largest eigenvalue(s) can be non-zero.

5. We show that: $x \in \text{kernel}(A) \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{kernel}(A^\top A)$.

$$\text{"}\Rightarrow\text{"}: \text{Let } x \in \text{kernel}(A) \\ A^\top \underbrace{Ax}_{=0} = A^\top 0 = 0 \Rightarrow x \in \text{kernel}(A^\top A)$$

$$\text{"}\Leftarrow\text{"}: \text{Let } x \in \text{kernel}(A^\top A) \\ 0 = x^\top \underbrace{A^\top Ax}_{=0} = \langle Ax, Ax \rangle = \|Ax\|^2 \Rightarrow Ax = 0 \Rightarrow x \in \text{kernel}(A)$$

6. Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

Note: There exist multiple slightly different definitions of the SVD. Depending on the convention used, we might have $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, or $S \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ where $p = \text{rank}(A)$. In the lecture the last option was presented. In the following, we present the results for the first option, since that is the one that `numpy.linalg.svd` function returns by default.

(a) $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

(b) Similarities and differences between SVD and EVD:

- i. Both are matrix diagonalization techniques.
- ii. The SVD can be applied to matrices $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $m \neq n$, whereas the EVD is only applicable to quadratic matrices ($A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $m = n$).

(c) Relationship between U, S, V and the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $A^\top A$ and $AA^\top$:

- i. $A^\top A$: The columns of V are eigenvectors; the squares of the diagonal elements of S are eigenvalues.
- ii. $AA^\top$: The columns of U are eigenvectors; the squares of the diagonal elements of S are eigenvalues (possibly filled up with zeros).

(d) Entries in S :

- i. S is a diagonal matrix. The elements along the diagonal are the *singular values* of A .
- ii. The number of non-zero singular values gives us the *rank* of the matrix A .