Combinatorial Optimization in Computer Vision

Lecture: F. R. Schmidt and C. Domokos

Computer Vision Group Institut für Informatik

Exercises: T. Möllenhoff and T. Windheuser

Technische Universität München

Winter Semester 2015/2016

## Weekly Exercises 10

Room: 02.09.023

Tuesday, 19.01.2016, 14:15-15:45

Submission deadline: Tuesday, 19.01.2016, 11:15, Room 02.09.023

## Fast Primal-Dual

(5 Points)

**Exercise 1** (Multilabeling ILP, 3 Points). Given a multilabel problem with n=2 pixels, connected by an edge set  $\mathcal{E}$  forming a complete graph and m=3 labels  $(\mathcal{L}=\{1,2,3\})$ , explicitly write out the linear programming formulation from the lecture

$$\min_{x} \langle c, x \rangle$$

$$Ax = b, x \ge 0.$$

in matrix-vector notation, i.e. state the following quantities element by element:

- $A \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+2|\mathcal{E}|m)\times(nm+|\mathcal{E}|m^2)}$ ,
- $b \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2|\mathcal{E}|m}$ ,
- $c \in \mathbb{R}^{nm+|\mathcal{E}|m^2}$ .

Assume that  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the Potts metric.

**Exercise 2** (Complementary slackness, 2 Points). Let (x, y) be a pair of integral primal and dual feasible solutions to the linear programming relaxation of the multilabel problem:

$$\min_{x} \langle c, x \rangle \qquad \max_{y} \langle b, y \rangle$$

$$Ax = b, x \ge 0.$$

$$A^{T}y \le c.$$

If (x, y) satisfy the relaxed primal complementary slackness conditions

$$\forall x_j > 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i \ge c_j / \varepsilon_j,$$

show that then x is an  $\varepsilon$ -approximation to the optimal integral solution  $x^*$  with  $\varepsilon = \max_i \varepsilon_i$ .

## Branch and Bound (2 Points)

**Exercise 3** (Lower bound, 2 Points). For a finite set  $\Omega$ , consider the following segmentation energy function  $E: \mathbb{B}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ :

$$E(x) = \min_{\omega \in \Omega} C(\omega) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\omega) x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(\omega) (1 - x_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} w_{ij}(\omega) |x_i - x_j|, (1)$$

with  $C: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f_i: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b_i: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $w_{ij}: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ . Prove the following lower bound:

$$E(x) \ge \left(\min_{\omega \in \Omega} C(\omega)\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\min_{\omega \in \Omega} f_i(\omega)\right) x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\min_{\omega \in \Omega} b_i(\omega)\right) (1 - x_i)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} \left(\min_{\omega \in \Omega} w_{ij}(\omega)\right) |x_i - x_j| =: \ell(x, \Omega).$$
(2)

Remark: This shows that  $E^* = \min_x E(x) \ge \min_x \ell(x, \Omega) = L(\Omega)$  and  $L(\Omega)$  is a lower bound for the global optimum. Note that the lower bound  $L(\Omega)$  fulfills three important properties which make it applicable for branch and bound optimization methods:

- 1. Monotonicity:  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \Rightarrow L(\Omega_1) \geq L(\Omega_2)$ .
- 2. Computability: Evaluating  $L(\Omega)$  for some given  $\Omega$  corresponds to minimizing a submodular quadratic pseudo-Boolean function.
- 3. **Tightness:** For  $|\Omega| = 1$ , i.e.  $\Omega = \{\omega\}$  we have  $L(\{\omega\}) = \min_x E(x)$ .

## **Programming**

(15 Points)

Exercise 4 (Branch-and-Mincut<sup>1</sup>, 15 Points). In this exercise we apply the branch and bound method from the lecture to find a *global minimizer* of a discrete version of the celebrated Chan-Vese<sup>2</sup> segmentation energy function:

$$E(x, \{c_f, c_b\}) = \mu \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} |x_i - x_j|$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\nu + \lambda_1 (I_i - c_f)^2\right) x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_2 (I_i - c_b)^2 (1 - x_i).$$
(3)

Here I denotes a gray-scale input image with n pixels, i.e. at every pixel  $1 \le i \le n$  we have  $I_i \in [0, 255]$ . The variable  $\omega = (c_f, c_b) \in \Omega = [0, 255]^2$  denotes the mean intensity of foreground respectively the background of the segmentation  $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ .

a) Find an approximate solution of (13) by alternatingly optimizing over x and  $\omega$ :

$$x^{k+1} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{x} E(x, \omega^{k}),$$
  
 $\omega^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\omega} E(x^{k+1}, \omega).$ 

The optimization problem in x is a 2-region segmentation problem, so reuse your code from the previous exercises. The problem in  $\omega$  has a simple closed form solution. Use chanvese\_alternating.cpp from 10\_supp.zip as a start.

b) Compute a global minimizer of (13) using the branch and bound best-first tree search. The search space  $\Omega$  is the rectangle  $[0, 255]^2$ . In your implementation, you can keep a sorted queue of rectangles  $\Omega_i$ , and every iteration remove the rectangle with the smallest lower bound and split it into two smaller rectangles along the longest edge. As a lower bound on (13) use the bound (8) dervied in the theoretical exercise. You can use chanvese\_global.cpp as a starting point.





Figure 1: The figure shows the input image and a global minimizer of (13) for parameters  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0.0001$ ,  $\mu = 1$ ,  $\nu = 0.1$ . The optimal foreground and background colors were found as  $c_f^* = 81$  and  $c_b^* = 167$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>V. Lempitsky, A. Blake, C. Rother, Image Segmentation by Branch-and-Mincut, ECCV 2008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>T. Chan, L. Vese: Active contours without edges. Trans. Image Process., 10(2), 2001.