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## What We Will Cover Today

- Lie algebra se(3)
- Introduction to and definition of visual odometry
- Indirect vs. direct methods
- Indirect methods
- 2D-to-2D motion estimation
- 2D-to-2D monocular visual odometry


## Recap: Geometric Point Primitives

- Point

$$
\mathbf{x}=\binom{x}{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

$$
\mathbf{x}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

- Augmented vector

$$
\overline{\mathbf{x}}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
1
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

$$
\overline{\mathbf{x}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
x \\
y \\
z \\
1
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}
$$

- Homogeneous coordinates

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\widetilde{x} \\
\widetilde{y} \\
\widetilde{w}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{2}
$$

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}=\widetilde{w} \overline{\mathbf{x}}
$$

2D
3D

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\widetilde{x} \\
\widetilde{y} \\
\widetilde{z} \\
\widetilde{w}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{3}
$$

## Recap: Euclidean Transformations

- Euclidean transformations apply rotation $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbf{S O}(n) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and translation $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\mathbf{R} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{t} \quad \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t} \\
\mathbf{0} & 1
\end{array}\right) \overline{\mathbf{x}}
$$

- Rigid-body motion: preserves distances and angles when applied to points on a body
$n=2$



## Recap: Special Orthogonal Group SO(n)

- Rotation matrices have a special structure

$$
\mathbf{R} \in \mathbf{S O}(n) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \operatorname{det}(\mathbf{R})=1, \mathbf{R R}^{T}=\mathbf{I}
$$

i.e. orthonormal matrices that preserve distance and orientation

- They form a group which we denote as Special Orthogonal Group SO (n)
- The group operator is matrix multiplication - associative, but not commutative!
- Inverse and neutral element exist
- 2D rotations only have 1 degree of freedom (DoF), i.e. angle of rotation
- 3D rotations have 3 DoFs, several parametrizations exist such as Euler angles and quaternions


## Recap: Special Euclidean Group SE(n)

- Euclidean transformation matrices have a special structure as well:

$$
\mathbf{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t} \\
\mathbf{0} & 1
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbf{S E}(3) \subset \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}
$$

- Translation $\mathbf{t}$ has 3 degrees of freedom
- Rotation $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbf{S O}(3)$ has 3 degrees of freedom
- They also form a group which we denote as Special Euclidean Group $\mathrm{SE}(3)$. The group operator is matrix multiplication:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdot: \mathbf{S E}(3) \times \mathbf{S E}(3) & \rightarrow \mathbf{S E}(3) \\
\mathbf{T}_{B}^{A} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{C}^{B} & \mapsto \mathbf{T}_{C}^{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Representing Motion using Lie Algebra se(3)



- $\mathbf{S E}(3)$ is a Lie group, i.e. a smooth manifold with compatible operator, inverse and neutral element
- Its Lie algebra se(3) provides an elegant way to parametrize poses for optimization
- Its elements $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \in \mathbf{s e}(3)$ form the tangent space of $\mathbf{S E}(3)$ at identity
- The se(3) elements can be interpreted as rotational and translational velocities (twists)


## Insights into se(3)

- Let's look at rotations first and assume time-continuous motion
- We know that $\mathbf{R}(t) \mathbf{R}^{\top}(t)=\mathbf{I}$
- Taking the derivative for time yields $\dot{\mathbf{R}}(t) \mathbf{R}^{\top}(t)=-\mathbf{R}(t) \dot{\mathbf{R}}^{\top}(t)$
- This means there exists a skew-symmetric matrix $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t)=-\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{\top}(t)$ such that $\dot{\mathbf{R}}(t)=\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t) \mathbf{R}(t)$
- Assume constant $\widehat{\omega}(t)$ and $\mathbf{R}(0)=\mathbf{I}$
- We write $\mathbf{R}(t+\delta t)=\mathbf{R}(t)+\delta t \widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathbf{R}(t)=(\mathbf{I}+\delta t \widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}) \mathbf{R}(t)$ for infinitesimal $\delta t$
- Hence, we can write $\mathbf{R}(t)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathbf{I}+\frac{t}{n} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\right)^{n}$
- This series yields the matrix exponential $\mathbf{R}(t)=\exp (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} t)$
- Matrix exponential has closed-form solution (Rodriguez formula)
- $\widehat{\omega} t$ corresponds to minimal axis-angle representation


## Further Insights into se(3)

- For continuous rigid-body motion we can write

$$
\dot{\mathbf{T}}(t)=\left(\dot{\mathbf{T}}(t) \mathbf{T}^{-1}(t)\right) \mathbf{T}(t)=\widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}(t) \mathbf{T}(t) \quad \widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}(t):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t) & \mathbf{v}(t) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

- For constant $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}(t)$ the differential equation has a unique solution:

$$
\mathbf{T}(t)=\exp (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} t) \mathbf{T}(0)
$$

-For initial condition $\mathbf{T}(0)=\mathbf{I}$, we have $\mathbf{T}(t)=\exp (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} t)$

- To reduce clutter in notation, we will absorb $t$ into $\widehat{\omega}$ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$


## Exponential Map of SE(3)



- The exponential map finds transformation matrices for twists:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\exp (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\exp (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}) & \mathbf{A v} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
\exp (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}})=\mathbf{I}+\frac{\sin |\omega|}{|\omega|} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}+\frac{1-\cos |\omega|}{|\omega|^{2}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{2} \quad \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{I}+\frac{1-\cos |\omega|}{|\omega|^{2}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}+\frac{|\omega|-\sin |\omega|}{|\omega|^{3}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Logarithm Map of SE(3)



- The logarithm map finds twists for transformation matrices:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log (\mathbf{T})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\log (\mathbf{R}) & \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{t} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
\log (\mathbf{R})=\frac{|\omega|}{2 \sin |\omega|}\left(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}^{T}\right) \quad|\omega|=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{R})-1}{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Some Notation for Twist Coordinates

- Let's define the following notation:
- Inv. of hat operator: $\left(\begin{array}{cccc}0 & -\omega_{3} & \omega_{2} & v_{1} \\ \omega_{3} & 0 & -\omega_{1} & v_{2} \\ -\omega_{2} & \omega_{1} & 0 & v_{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)^{\vee}=\left(\omega_{1} \omega_{2} \omega_{3} v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right)^{\top}$
- Conversion: $\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{T})=(\log (\mathbf{T}))^{\vee} \quad \mathbf{T}(\boldsymbol{\xi})=\exp (\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}})$
- Pose inversion: $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{-1}=\log \left(\mathbf{T}(\boldsymbol{\xi})^{-1}\right)^{\vee}=-\boldsymbol{\xi}$
- Pose concatenation: $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \oplus \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}=\left(\log \left(\mathbf{T}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}\right) \mathbf{T}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\right)\right)\right)^{\vee}$
- Pose difference: $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \ominus \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}=\left(\log \left(\mathbf{T}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{T}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\right)\right)\right)^{\vee}$


## Recap: Pinhole Camera Model



## Recap: Camera Extrinsics



- Euclidean transformations ( $T_{c}^{w}, T_{c^{\prime}}^{w}, T_{c^{\prime}}^{c}$ ) between camera view poses and world frame


## Warping Function



- Normalized image coordinates:

$$
\omega\left(\mathbf{y}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, Z\left(\mathbf{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\pi\left(\mathbf{T}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \overline{Z\left(\mathbf{y}^{\prime}\right) \overline{\mathbf{y}}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

- Pixel coordinates:

$$
\omega\left(\mathbf{y}_{p}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, Z\left(\mathbf{y}_{p}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\mathbf{C} \pi\left(\mathbf{T}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \overline{Z\left(\mathbf{y}_{p}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{C}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{p}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

## Recap: What is Visual Odometry?

Visual odometry (VO)...

- ... is a variant of tracking
- Track the current pose, i.e. position and orientation, of the camera with respect to the environment from its images
- Only considers a limited set of recent images for real-time constraints
- ... involves a data association problem
- Motion is estimated from corresponding interest points or pixels in images, or by correspondences towards a local 3D reconstruction


## Recap: What is Visual Odometry?

Visual odometry (VO)...

- ... is prone to drift due to its local view
- ... is primarily concerned with estimating camera motion
- 3D reconstruction often a "side product". If estimated, it is only locally consistent


## Visual Odometry Example

## SVO: Fast Semi-Direct Monocular Visual Odometry

Christian Forster, Matia Pizzoli, Davide Scaramuzza


University of
Zurich ${ }^{\text {UZH }}$
Department of Informatics

## Visual Odometry Example

## Direct Sparse Odometry

 Jakob Engel, ${ }^{1,2}$ Vladlen Koltun², Daniel Cremers ${ }^{1}$ July 2016

## Notion of Visual Odometry

- Odometry:
- Greek: „hodos" - path, „metron" - measurement
- Motion or position estimation from measurements or controls
- Typical example: wheel encoders
- Visual Odometry:
- 1980-2004: Prominent research by NASA JPL for Mars exploration rovers (Spirit and Opportunity in 2004)
- David Nister's „Visual Odometry" paper from 2004 about keypoint-based methods for monocular and stereo cameras



## Why Visual Odometry?

- VO is often used to complement other motion sensors
- GPS
- Inertial Measurement Units (IMUs)
- Wheel odometry
- etc.
- VO typically is more accurate than wheel odometry and not prone to wheel slippage
- VO is important in GPS-denied environments (indoors, close to buildings, etc.)


## Sensors for Visual Odometry

- Monocular cameras
- Pros: Low-power, light-weight, low-cost, simple to calibrate and use
- Cons: requires motion parallax and texture, scale not observable

- Stereo cameras
- Pros: depth without motion, less power than active structured light
- Cons: requires texture, accuracy depends on baseline, resolution, synchronization and extrinsic calibration of the cameras
- Active RGB-D sensors
- Pros: no texture needed (geometric alignment), similar to stereo processing
- Cons: active sensing consumes power, blackbox depth estimation



## Definition of Visual Odometry

- Visual odometry is the process of estimating the egomotion of an object (robot) using visual inputs from cameras on the object (robot)
- Inputs: images at discrete time steps $t$,
- Monocular case: Set of images $I_{0: t}=\left\{I_{0}, \ldots, I_{t}\right\}$
- Stereo case: Left/right images $I_{0: t}^{l}=\left\{I_{0}^{l}, \ldots, I_{t}^{l}\right\} / I_{0: t}^{r}=\left\{I_{0}^{r}, \ldots, I_{t}^{r}\right\}$
- RGB-D case: Color/depth images $I_{0: t}=\left\{I_{0}, \ldots, I_{t}\right\} / Z_{0: t}=\left\{Z_{0}, \ldots, Z_{t}\right\}$
- Output: Transformation estimate $\mathrm{T}_{t} \in \mathrm{SE}(\mathbf{3})$ of camera frame to world frame
- Camera pose integrated up from relative pose estimates
- Example: camera pose $\mathbf{T}_{t}=\mathbf{T}_{0} \mathbf{T}_{1}^{0} \cdots \mathbf{T}_{t}^{t-1}$ from frame-to-frame transformations $\mathbf{T}_{t}^{t-1}$


## Recap: Indirect vs. Direct Methods

Indirect


Extract and match keypoints (SIFT,BRIEF,...)


Track: min. reprojection error (point distances)

Map: estimate keypoint parameters (f.e. 3D coordinates)


## Indirect vs. Direct VO Methods

- Direct visual odometry methods formulate alignment objective in terms of pixel-wise error (e.g. photometric or geometric error)
- Two-view case with known depth:

$$
p\left(I_{2} \mid I_{1}, Z_{1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) \longrightarrow E(\boldsymbol{\xi})=\int_{\mathbf{y} \in \boldsymbol{\Omega}}\left|I_{1}(\mathbf{y})-I_{2}\left(\omega\left(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, Z_{1}(\mathbf{y})\right)\right)\right| d \mathbf{y}
$$

- Indirect visual odometry methods formulate alignment objective in terms of reprojection error of geometric primitives (e.g. points, lines)
- Two-view case with known depth:
$p\left(\mathcal{Y}_{2} \mid \mathcal{Y}_{1}, Z_{1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\right) \longrightarrow E(\boldsymbol{\xi})=\sum_{i}\left|\mathbf{y}_{2, i}-\omega\left(\mathbf{y}_{1, i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, Z_{1}\left(\mathbf{y}_{1, i}\right)\right)\right|$
- $\mathcal{Y}_{1}, \mathcal{Y}_{2}$ : sets of primitives (e.g. keypoints) in image 1 and 2


## Indirect Visual Odometry Example



Frame: 301


LibVISO2, Geiger et al., StereoScan: Dense 3D Reconstruction in Real-time, IV 2011

## Indirect Visual Odometry Pipeline

- Keypoint detection and local description
- Robust keypoint matching
- Motion estimation
- 2D-to-2D: motion from image correspondences
- 2D-to-3D: motion from image to local 3D correspondences
- 3D-to-3D: motion from local 3D correspondences


Images from Jakob Engel

## 2D-to-2D Motion Estimation

- Given corresponding image point observations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{Y}_{t}=\left\{\mathbf{y}_{t, 1}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{t, N}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{Y}_{t-1}=\left\{\mathbf{y}_{t-1,1}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{t-1, N}\right\} \\
& \text { of unknown 3D points } \mathcal{X}=\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{N}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

 (expressed in camera frame at time t ) determine relative motion $\mathbf{T}_{t}^{t-1}$ between frames

- Naive try: minimize reprojection error using least squares

$$
E\left(\mathbf{T}_{t}^{t-1}, \mathcal{X}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\overline{\mathbf{y}}_{t, i}-\pi\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\overline{\mathbf{y}}_{t-1, i}-\pi\left(\mathbf{T}_{t}^{t-1} \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

- Convexity? Uniqueness (scale-ambiguity)?
- Alternative algebraic approach


## Recap: Essential Matrix



- The rays to the 3 D point and the baseline t are coplanar

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}^{\top}\left(\mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{R} \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}^{\prime}\right)=0 \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}^{\top} \widehat{\mathbf{t}} \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}^{\prime}=0
$$

- The essential matrix $\mathbf{E}:=\widehat{\mathbf{t} R}$ captures the relative camera pose
- Each point correspondence provides an „epipolar constraint"
- 5 correspondences suffice to determine $\mathbf{E}$ (simpler: 8-point algorithm)


## Fundamental Matrix



- The rays to the 3D point and the baseline t are coplanar

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{p}^{\top} \mathbf{C}^{-\top \widehat{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{R C}} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{p}^{\prime}=\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{p}^{\top} \mathbf{F} \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{p}^{\prime}=0
$$

- The fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}:=\mathbf{C}^{-\top} \widehat{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{R C}^{-1}$ captures the relative camera pose and camera intrinsics
- Each point correspondence provides an „epipolar constraint"
- Can be estimated from at least 7 point correspondences


## Some Properties of E and F

- $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ is a fundamental matrix iff $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{F})=2$
- $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ is an essential matrix iff $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{E})=2$ and its non-zero singular values are equal
- $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ is a normalized esssential matrix iff $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{E})=2$ and its non-zero singular values are 1

$$
\|\mathbf{E}\|=\|\hat{\mathbf{t}}\|=1
$$

- (Normalized) essential space: set of all (normalized) essential matrices


## Eight-Point Algorithm

- First proposed by Longuet and Higgins, Nature 1981
- Algorithm:

1. Rewrite epipolar constraints as a linear system of equations

$$
\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{E} \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{i}^{\prime}=\mathbf{a}_{i} \mathbf{E}_{s}=0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{A E}_{s}=\mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{A}=\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}^{\top}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{N}^{\top}\right)^{\top}
$$

using Kronecker product $\mathbf{a}_{i}=\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{i} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{s}=\left(e_{11}, e_{12}, e_{13}, \ldots, e_{33}\right)^{\top}$
2. Apply singular value decomposition (SVD) on $A=U_{A} S_{A} V_{A}^{\top}$ and unstack the 9th column of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}$ into $\widetilde{\mathrm{E}}$
3. Project the approximate $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}$ into the (normalized) essential space: Determine the SVD of $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}=\mathbf{U} \operatorname{diag}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right) \mathbf{V}^{\top}$ with $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \in \mathbf{S O}(3)$ and replace the singular values $\sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \sigma_{3}$ with $1,1,0$ to find

$$
\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{U} \operatorname{diag}(1,1,0) \mathbf{V}^{\top}
$$

## Eight-Point Algorithm cont.

- Algorithm (cont.):
- Determine one of the following 4 possible solutions that intersects the points in front of both cameras:

$$
\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{U R}_{Z}^{\top}\left( \pm \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \mathbf{V}^{\top} \quad \widehat{\mathbf{t}}=\mathbf{U R}_{Z}\left( \pm \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \operatorname{diag}(1,1,0) \mathbf{U}^{\top}
$$

- A derivation of the eight-point algorithm can be found in the MASKS textbook, Ch. 5
- Algebraic solution does not minimize reprojection error
- Refine using non-linear least-squares of reprojection error


## Error Metric of the Eight-Point Algorithm

- What is the physical meaning of the error minimized by the eight-point algorithm?
- The eight-point algorithm finds $E$ that minimizes

$$
\operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{E}_{s}}\left\|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{E}_{s}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

subject to $\left\|\mathbf{E}_{s}\right\|_{2}^{2}=1$ through the SVD on A

- We find a least squares fit to the epipolar constraints
- Each epipolar constraint measures the volume spanned by $\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t}$, and Ry'


## Notes on Eight-Point Algorithm

- Points need to be in „,general position" to recover unique E : certain degenerate configurations exists (f.e. points on a plane, specific quadratic surfaces)
- No translation, ideally: $\|\widehat{\mathbf{t}}\|=0 \Rightarrow\|\mathbf{E}\|=0$
- But: for small translations, signal-to-noise ratio of image parallax may be problematic: „spurious" pose estimate
- Non-linear 5-point algorithm with up to 10 (possibly complex) solutions (D. Nister, An Efficient Solution to the Five-Point Relative Pose Problem, CVPR 2004)


## Normalized Eight-Point Algorithm

- Hartley, In Defense of the 8-Point Algorithm, IEEE PAMI 1997
- A can be numerically ill-conditioned when estimating the fundamental matrix with the eight-point algorithm naively

| 250906.36 | 183269.57 | 921.81 | 200931.10 | 146766.13 | 738.21 | 272.19 | 198.81 | 1.00 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2692.28 | 131633.03 | 176.27 | 6196.73 | 302975.59 | 405.71 | 15.27 | 746.79 | 1.00 |
| 416374.23 | 871684.30 | 935.47 | 408110.89 | 854384.92 | 916.90 | 445.10 | 931.81 | 1.00 |
| 191183.60 | 171759.40 | 410.27 | 416435.62 | 374125.90 | 893.65 | 465.99 | 418.65 | 1.00 |
| 48988.86 | 30401.76 | 57.89 | 298604.57 | 185309.58 | 352.87 | 846.22 | 525.15 | 1.00 |
| 164786.04 | 546559.67 | 813.17 | 1998.37 | 6628.15 | 9.86 | 202.65 | 672.14 | 1.00 |
| 116407.01 | 2727.75 | 138.89 | 169941.27 | 3982.21 | 202.77 | 838.12 | 19.64 | 1.00 |
| 135384.58 | 75411.13 | 198.72 | 411350.03 | 229127.78 | 603.79 | 681.28 | 379.48 | 1.00 |

- Noise attenuates stronger in large pixel coordinates (quadratic dependency)
- Least squares (SVD) more sensitive to noise in large coordinates
- „Imbalanced" since pixel coordinates start at $(0,0)$


## Normalized Eight-Point Algorithm

- Popular approach: Normalize coordinates to zero mean and standard deviation $\sqrt{2}$ in each image separately

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{\mathbf{z}}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma}(\overline{\mathbf{y}}-\boldsymbol{\mu}) \\
\boldsymbol{\mu}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \overline{\mathbf{y}} \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\overline{\mathbf{y}}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\|_{2}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Find $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{B}^{\prime}$ to normalize pixel coordinates

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\overline{\mathbf{z}}=\mathbf{B} \overline{\mathbf{y}} & \mathbf{B}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma} & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma} \mu_{x} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sigma} \mu_{y} \\
\overline{\mathbf{z}}^{\prime}=\mathbf{B}^{\prime} \overline{\mathbf{y}}^{\prime} & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), ~\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}
$$

## Normalized Eight-Point Algorithm

- Apply eight-point algorithm on normalized coordinates with epipolar constraints

$$
\overline{\mathbf{y}}^{\top} \mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{F}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}^{\prime} \overline{\mathbf{y}}^{\prime}=0
$$

- Recover $\mathbf{F}$ from $\mathbf{F}^{\prime}$

$$
\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{F}^{\prime} \mathbf{B}^{\prime}
$$

## Eight-Point Algorithm for F

- Calibrated case: we know camera intrinsics, we can estimate E
- Uncalibrated case: we do not know camera intrinsics, we can only estimate F
- In the uncalibrated case, rotation and translation can not be recovered from $F$ due to the unknown camera intrinsics


## Further Reading

- MASKS and MVG textbooks


MASKS

An Invitation to 3D
Vision,
Y. Ma, S. Soatto, J.

Kosecka, and S. S.
Sastry,
Springer, 2004


## Lessons Learned Today

- Visual odometry is the process of estimating ego-motion using onboard visual sensors
- Indirect methods extract and match geometric primitives such as keypoints
- Direct methods directly operate on the pixel level
- Motion estimation from 2D-to-2D image correspondences
- (Normalized) eight-point algorithm for estimating the essential and fundamental matrix

Thanks for your attention!

