Convex Optimization for Machine Learning and Computer Vision

Lecture: Dr. Virginia Estellers

Computer Vision Group
Exercises: Emanuel Laude

Institut für Informatik
Winter Semester 2017/18

Technische Universität München

Weekly Exercises 7

Room: 02.09.023 Friday, 15.12.2017, 09:15-11:00

Extended Submission deadline: Wednesday, 13.12.2017, 11:59 p.m.

Coding: Consensus Primal-Dual for Sparse SVMs (24 Points)

Exercise 1 (24 Points). In this exercise sheet you are asked to implement the consensus Primal-Dual (PDHG) for sparse binary SVM training, that you have derived last week. The problem is phrased as an optimization problem of the form:

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d, b \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ell(w, b; x_i, y_i) + \lambda ||w||_1,$$
(1)

where $\ell(\cdot,\cdot;x_i,y_i)$ is the hinge loss defined according to

$$\ell(w, b; x_i, y_i) := \max\{0, 1 - (\langle x_i, w \rangle + b)y_i\}.$$
 (2)

More precisely, you are asked to do the following:

- 1. Implement a MATLAB function, that takes as an input argument the feature matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$ and a vector $y \in \{-1,1\}^N$ of binary class labels and returns the classifier $(w,b) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. Here, N is the number of training examples and d is the feature dimension.
- 2. Compute a "relaxed" primal-dual gap during iterations. More precisely trace

$$PD(u^t, p^t) = F(Ku^t) + G(u^t) + F^*(p^t) + G^*(-K^{\top}p^t).$$
 (3)

In case G^* takes the form of an indicator function of a linear constraint, we need to prevent PD to attain the value infinity: We relax the indicator function, to a quadratic function, penalizing the violation of the constraint quadratically. For instance, a constraint of the form $Ax \leq b$ is transformed to $\sum_{i} (\max\{0, A_i x - b_i\})^2$.

3. Use the code template from the logistic regression task and classify the toy data. Since the SVM-model is suited for two classes only, you are asked to train for each class an individual classifier in a one-vs-rest fashion. More precisely, you have to call the function c times for each class $1 \le i \le c$, where all examples belonging to class i are labeled as 1 and the rest is labeled as -1.

4. Stack the individual classifiers into a classifier matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times c}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^c$ and use the logistic regression code template to visualize the classifier.

Solution. For the primal-dual-gap it remains to compute the conjugate G^* of the function G. We rewrite G using the constant zero function 0 with O(u) = 0 as

$$G(w,b) = \lambda ||w||_1 + 0(b). \tag{4}$$

A straight forward computation shows that

$$G^*(v,t) = \delta\{\|v\|_{\infty} \le \lambda\} + \delta\{t = 0\} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \|v\|_{\infty} \le \lambda \text{ and } t = 0\\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (5)

We relax G^* to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} (\max\{0, |v_i| - \lambda\})^2 + t^2.$$
(6)

According to the last exercise sheet, F^* is given as

$$F^*(p) := \sum_{i=1}^{N} F_i^*(p_i), \tag{7}$$

$$F_i^*(p_i) = \begin{cases} s_i & \text{if } \exists \ s_i \in [-1, 0] : a_i s_i = p_i \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since we update p_i^t via the proximal mapping of F_i^* the constraint above will be satisfied, with $s_i^t = a_i p_i^t$, computed internally in the prox. Therefore, we don't need to relax the constraint and instead only add $\sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i^t$ to the overall primal-dual gap PD.