## Proof Script for WS2018/19 Convex Optimization\*

Last updated: October 29, 2018

## 1 Convex Analysis

**Theorem 1.1** (separation of convex sets). Let  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  be nonempty convex subsets in  $\mathbb{E}$ .

1. Assume  $C_1$  is closed and  $C_2 = \{w\} \subset \mathbb{E} \setminus C_1$ . Then  $\exists v \in \mathbb{E}, v \neq 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t.}$ 

$$\langle v, w \rangle > \alpha \geq \langle v, u \rangle, \quad \forall u \in C_1.$$

2. Assume  $C_1$  is open and  $C_2 = \{w\} \subset \mathbb{E} \setminus C_1$ . Then  $\exists v \in \mathbb{E}, v \neq 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t.}$ 

$$\langle v, w \rangle \ge \alpha \ge \langle v, u \rangle, \quad \forall u \in C_1.$$

3. Assume  $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$  and  $C_1$  is open. Then  $\exists v \in \mathbb{E}, v \neq 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.

$$\langle v, u^1 \rangle \ge \alpha \ge \langle v, u^2 \rangle, \quad \forall u^1 \in C_1, \ u^2 \in C_2.$$

4. Assume  $\emptyset \neq \text{int } C_1 \subset \mathbb{E} \backslash C_2$ . Then  $\exists v \in \mathbb{E}, v \neq 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t.}$ 

$$\langle v, u^1 \rangle \ge \alpha \ge \langle v, u^2 \rangle, \quad \forall u^1 \in C_1, \ u^2 \in C_2.$$

- Proof. (1) Consider the projection of w onto  $C_1$ , i.e., set  $u^* := \arg\min_{u \in C_1} \frac{1}{2} \|u w\|^2$  or, equivalently via the variational inequality:  $\langle u u^*, u^* w \rangle \ge 0 \ \forall u \in C_1$ . Now let  $v := w u^* \ne 0$ . Then  $\forall u \in C_1$ , we have  $\langle v, w \rangle = \langle w u^*, w \rangle = \|w u^*\|^2 + \langle w u^*, u^* \rangle \ge \|w u^*\|^2 + \langle w u^*, u \rangle = \|v\|^2 + \langle v, u \rangle$ . Set  $\alpha := \sup\{\langle v, u \rangle : u \in C_1\}$ . Note  $\alpha < \infty$  since  $\langle v, u \rangle \le \langle v, u^* \rangle \ \forall u \in C$ . Thus  $\langle v, w \rangle > \alpha \ge \langle v, u \rangle \ \forall u \in C_1$ .
- (2) Since  $\mathbb{E}\backslash C_1$  is closed,  $\exists w^k \in \mathbb{E}\backslash \operatorname{cl} C_1$  s.t.  $w^k \to w$ . For each  $w^k$ , by (i),  $\exists v^k \in \mathbb{E}$  with  $\|v^k\| \equiv 1$  s.t.  $\langle v^k, w^k \rangle \leq \langle v^k, u \rangle \ \forall u \in \operatorname{cl} C_1$ . Hence  $v^k \to v \in \mathbb{E}$  along a subsequence s.t.  $\|v\| = 1$  and  $\alpha := \langle v, w \rangle \leq \langle v, u \rangle \ \forall u \in C_1 \subset \operatorname{cl} C_1$ .
- (3) Let  $C := C_2 C_1 = \{u^2 u^1 : u^1 \in C_1, u^2 \in C_2\}$ . Note that C is a convex, open set, and  $0 \notin C$ . By (2),  $\exists v \in \mathbb{E}$  with ||v|| = 1 s.t.  $\langle -v, u^2 u^1 \rangle \geq \langle -v, 0 \rangle = 0$  or, equivalently,  $\langle v, u^1 \rangle \geq \langle v, u^2 \rangle \ \forall u^1 \in C_1, \ u^2 \in C_2$ . Set  $\alpha := \sup\{\langle v, u^2 \rangle : u^2 \in C_2\}$ , then we conclude that  $\langle v, u^1 \rangle \geq \alpha \geq \langle v, u^2 \rangle \ \forall u^1 \in C_1, \ u^2 \in C_2$ .
- (4) By applying (3) to int  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , we have  $\langle v, u^1 \rangle \ge \alpha \ge \langle v, u^2 \rangle \ \forall u^1 \in \text{int } C_1, \ u^2 \in C_2$ . The inequality remains true for all  $u_1 \in C_1$ .

<sup>\*</sup>Please report typos to: tao.wu@tum.de

**Theorem 1.2.** A proper convex function  $J: \mathbb{E} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is locally Lipschitz at any  $u \in \text{rint dom } J$ .

*Proof.* Throughout the proof, we consider J: aff dom  $J \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ .

(i) Claim: If  $M = \sup\{J(v) : v \in B_{\epsilon}(u)\} < \infty$  with  $\epsilon > 0$ , then J is locally Lipschitz at u. First, by convexity of J we have  $\forall v \in B_{\epsilon}(u) : J(v) \ge 2J(u) - J(2u - v) \ge 2J(u) - M$ . Thus,  $\sup\{|J(v)| : v \in B_{\epsilon}(u)\} \le M + 2|J(u)|$ .

Next, we show J is Lipschitz on  $B_{\epsilon/2}(u)$ . Let  $v,w\in B_{\epsilon/2}(u)$  be given. Take  $z\in B_{\epsilon}(u)$  s.t. w=(1-t)v+tz for some  $t\in [0,1]$  and  $\|z-v\|\geq \epsilon/2$ . By convexity,  $J(w)-J(v)\leq t(J(z)-J(v))\leq 2t(M-J(u))$ . Since t(z-v)=w-v, we have  $t=\|w-v\|/\|z-v\|\leq 2\|w-v\|/\epsilon$  and  $J(w)-J(v)\leq (4(M-J(u))/\epsilon)\|w-v\|$ . Analogously, one can show  $J(v)-J(w)\leq (4(M-J(u))/\epsilon)\|w-v\|$ . Hence, J is Lipschitz on  $B_{\epsilon/2}(u)$  with modulus  $4(M-J(u))/\epsilon$ .

(ii) Let  $u \in \operatorname{rint} \operatorname{dom} J$  and  $n = \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{aff} \operatorname{dom} J)$ . Then by Carathéodory's theorem,  $\exists \{\alpha^i\}_{i=1}^{n+1} \subset (0,1), \ \{u^i\}_{i=1}^{n+1} \subset \operatorname{dom} J$  s.t.  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha^i u^i, \ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha^i = 1$ , i.e., u belongs to the interior of the convex hull of  $\{u^i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$ . Thus one can apply (i) to assert that J is locally Lipschitz at u.

**Theorem 1.3.** For any proper convex function  $J : \mathbb{E} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , if  $u^* \in \text{dom } J$  is a local minimizer of J, then it is also a global minimizer.

*Proof.* By the definition of a local minimizer,  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  s.t.  $J(u^*) \leq J(u) \ \forall u \in B_{\epsilon}(u^*)$ . For the sake of contradiction, assume  $\exists \bar{u} \in \mathbb{E}$  s.t.  $J(\bar{u}) < J(u^*)$ . By convexity of J, we have  $J(\alpha \bar{u} + (1 - \alpha)u^*) \leq J(u^*) - \alpha(J(u^*) - J(\bar{u})) < J(u^*) \ \forall \alpha \in (0, 1]$ . This violates the local optimality of  $u^*$  as  $\alpha \to 0^+$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** Any proper function  $J : \mathbb{E} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , which is bounded from below, coercive, and lsc, has a (global) minimizer.

Proof. Let  $\{u^k\}$  be an infimizing sequence for J, i.e.,  $\lim_{k\to\infty} J(u^k) = \inf_{u\in\mathbb{E}} J(u) > -\infty$ . Since  $\{J(u^k)\}$  is uniformly bounded from above, by coercivity of J,  $\{u^k\}$  is uniformly bounded. By compactness,  $u^k \to u^*$  along a subsequence. Since J is lsc, we have  $J(u^*) \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} J(u^k) = \inf_{u\in\mathbb{E}} J(u)$ , which implies  $J(u^*) = \inf_{u\in\mathbb{E}} J(u)$  or  $u^*$  is a minimizer of J.

**Theorem 1.5.** The minimizer of a strictly convex function  $J: \mathbb{E} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is unique.

*Proof.* Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{E}$  be two (global) minimizers s.t.  $u \neq v$  and  $J(u) = J(v) = J^*$ . By strict convexity of J,  $J(\alpha u + (1 - \alpha)v) < \alpha J(u) + (1 - \alpha)J(v) = J^*$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , which contradicts the global optimality of u and v.