Convex Optimization for Machine Learning and Computer Vision

Lecture: Dr. Tao Wu Exercises: Yuesong Shen, Zhenzhang Ye Winter Semester 2018/19 Computer Vision Group Institut für Informatik Technische Universität München

Weekly Exercises 9

Room: 01.09.014 Wednesday, 09.01.2019, 12:15-14:00 Submission deadline: Monday, 07.01.2019, 16:15, Room 01.09.014

Theory: PDHG and ADMM (8+4 Points)

Exercise 1 (6 Points). Let $S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be 2 symmetric positive definite (spd) matrices and $K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, show that

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} S & -K^{\top} \\ -K & T \end{bmatrix} \text{ is spd } \Leftrightarrow S - K^{\top}T^{-1}K \text{ is spd }.$$
(1)

Hint: Consider the Schur complement of M and prove that a block diagonal matrix is spd if and only if all of its diagonal blocks are spd.

Solution. Since T is spd thus invertible, using the Schur complement we have that:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} S & -K^{\mathsf{T}} \\ -K & T \end{bmatrix} = FBF^{\mathsf{T}},\tag{2}$$

where

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} I_m & -K^{\top}T^{-1} \\ 0 & I_n \end{bmatrix}$$
 is full rank (i.e. invertible) since $\det(F) = 1$ (3)

and

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} S - K^{\top} T^{-1} K & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix}$$
 is block diagonal and symmetric. (4)

Thus

M is positive definite

$$\iff \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} X^{\top} M X \ge 0 \text{ and } (X^{\top} M X = 0 \Rightarrow X = 0) \\ \iff \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} (F^{\top} X)^{\top} B (F^{\top} X) \ge 0 \text{ and } ((F^{\top} X)^{\top} B (F^{\top} X) = 0 \Rightarrow (F^{\top} X) = 0) \\ \iff B \text{ is positive definite}$$

since F is invertible. This shows that M is spd \Leftrightarrow B is spd.

Let $C = S - K^{\top}T^{-1}K$. *B* being block diagonal with symmetric diagonal blocks *C* and *T*. Given that *T* is an spd matrix. We will verify that *B* is spd if and only if *C* is spd:

"⇒":

B is spd

 $\Rightarrow \forall X_1 \in \mathbb{R}^m, (X_1 \ 0) B(X_1 \ 0)^\top = X_1 C X_1^\top \ge 0 \text{ with equality only when } X_1 = 0$ $\Rightarrow C = S - K^\top T^{-1} K \text{ is spd}$

● "⇐":

Since T is spd, we have that

$$C \text{ is spd}$$

 $\Rightarrow \forall X_1 \in \mathbb{R}^m, \forall X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n, (X_1 \ X_2) \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix} (X_1 \ X_2)^\top \ge 0 \text{ with equality}$
only when $X_1 = 0$ and $X_2 = 0$
 $\Rightarrow B \text{ is spd}$

This shows that B is spd $\Leftrightarrow C = S - K^{\top}T^{-1}K$ is spd. The above reasoning concludes that M is spd $\Leftrightarrow S - K^{\top}T^{-1}K$ is spd.

Exercise 2 (6 Points). (ADMM update derivation for Robust PCA): we consider the following optimization problem (the programming exercise below gives the context):

$$\underset{\substack{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2} \\ B \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2} \\ M \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|A\|_{\operatorname{nuc}} + \lambda \|B\|_1 + \delta\{\|M - Z\|_{\operatorname{fro}} \le \epsilon\} + \delta\{A + B - M = 0\}$$
(5)

where $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}$ is a given matrix, $\|\|_{\text{fro}}$ is the Frobenius norm, $\|\|_{\text{nuc}}$ is the nuclear norm and $\delta\{\}$ is the indicator function.

The M here can be considered as a replacement variable and we introduce the Lagrangian multiplier Y to construct the augmented Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}(A, B, M, Y) = \|A\|_{\text{nuc}} + \lambda \|B\|_{1} + \delta \{\|M - Z\|_{\text{fro}} \le \epsilon \} + \langle Y, A + B - M \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \|A + B - M\|_{\text{fro}}^{2}$$
(6)

You are asked to write down the ADMM updates to solve above augmented Lagrangian function on A, B, M, Y.

Hint: This is a more general form than what we see in the lecture. Nevertheless, you can write down the iterative updates for A, B, M, Y sequentially similar to the one in the lecture.

Solution. Applying ADMM directly, the updating step at k-th iteration is:

1. Update A

$$\begin{split} A^{k+1} &= \operatorname{argmin}_{A} \|A\|_{\operatorname{nuc}} + \langle Y^{k}, A \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\|A + B^{k} - M^{k}\right\|_{\operatorname{free}}^{2} \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{A} \frac{\rho}{2} \left\|A - M^{k} + B^{k} + \frac{Y^{k}}{\rho}\right\|_{\operatorname{free}}^{2} + \|A\|_{\operatorname{nuc}} \\ &= \operatorname{prox}_{\frac{1}{\rho}\|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{nuc}}} (M^{k} - B^{k} - \frac{Y^{k}}{\rho}) \end{split}$$

It is shown that the proximal operator of nuclear norm is:

$$\operatorname{prox}_{\tau \parallel \cdot \parallel_{\operatorname{nuc}}}(H) = U \operatorname{diag}(\{\sigma_i - \tau\}_+) V^{\top},$$

where diag $(\{\sigma_i - \tau\}_+) := \text{diag}(\{\max\{0, \sigma_i - \tau\}\})$ is the shrinkage (or soft thresholding) operator applied to the singular values σ_i of H and $U \text{diag}(\sigma_i) V^{\top}$ is the singular value decomposition of H. Assume $(M^k - B^k - \frac{Y^k}{\rho}) = U \text{diag}(\sigma_i) V^{\top}$, using above formula, we get:

$$A^{k+1} = U \operatorname{diag}(\{\sigma_i - \frac{1}{\rho}\}_+) V^{\top}.$$

2. Update B

$$B^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{B} \lambda \|B\|_{1} + \langle Y^{k}, B \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \|A^{k+1} + B - M^{k}\|_{\text{fro}}^{2}$$

$$= \operatorname{argmin}_{B} \frac{\rho}{2\lambda} \|B - M^{k} + A^{k+1} + \frac{Y^{k}}{\rho}\|_{\text{fro}}^{2} + \|B\|_{1}$$

$$= \operatorname{prox}_{\frac{\lambda}{\rho}\|\cdot\|_{1}} (M^{k} - A^{k+1} - \frac{Y^{k}}{\rho})$$

$$\tilde{B}_{i} = M^{k} - A^{k+1} - \frac{Y^{k}}{\rho} B_{ij}^{k+1} = \begin{cases} \tilde{B}_{ij} + \frac{\lambda}{\rho}, & \text{if } \tilde{B}_{ij} < -\frac{\lambda}{\rho} \\ \tilde{B}_{ij} - \frac{\lambda}{\rho}, & \text{if } \tilde{B}_{ij} > \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

3. Update M

$$\begin{split} M^{k+1} &= \operatorname{argmin}_{M} \delta\{ \|M - Z\|_{\mathrm{fro}} \leq \epsilon\} - \langle Y^{k}, M \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| A^{k+1} + B^{k+1} - M \right\|_{\mathrm{fro}}^{2} \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{M} \frac{\rho}{2} \left\| M - A^{k+1} - B^{k+1} - \frac{Y^{k}}{\rho} \right\|_{\mathrm{fro}}^{2} + \delta\{ \|M - Z\|_{\mathrm{fro}} \leq \epsilon\} \\ &= \operatorname{prox}_{\frac{1}{\rho} \delta\{\|\cdot - Z\|_{\mathrm{fro}} \leq \epsilon\}} (A^{k+1} + B^{k+1} + \frac{Y^{k}}{\rho}) \\ &= \operatorname{proj}_{\|\cdot - Z\|_{\mathrm{fro}} \leq \epsilon} (A^{k+1} + B^{k+1} + \frac{Y^{k}}{\rho}) \end{split}$$

4. Update Y

$$Y^{k+1} = Y^k + \rho(A^{k+1} + B^{k+1} - M^{k+1})$$

Programming: Robust Principal Component Analysis(Due date: 07.01.2019) (12 Points)

Exercise 3 (12 Points). Given several frames from a video, your task is to separate the foreground and background by solving an optimization problem: Assume that each frame is an image with $m \times n$ pixels and this video has n_2 number of frames. By vectorizing each frame, we can create a matrix $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}$, where $n_1 = m \times n$.

Inspired by the idea of PCA, we want to decompose the original matrix Z into two matrices A and B with the same dimension. The matrix A should contain the information of background pixels while B should contain the information of foreground ones. We hope that A + B will recover the original video Z, i.e. A + B =Z. However, considering the noise in Z, an intermediate matrix M := A + B is introduced. Instead of recovering the exact Z, we relax the constrain by requiring $\|M - Z\|_{\text{fro}} \leq \epsilon$, where ϵ is a predefined variable controlling the trade-off between the fidelity of the decomposition and the robustness to the noise.

Therefore, we could construct the following optimization problem:

$$\underset{\substack{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2} \\ B \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2}}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|A\|_{\operatorname{nuc}} + \lambda \|B\|_1 + \delta\{\|M - Z\|_{\operatorname{fro}} \le \epsilon\} + \delta\{A + B - M = 0\}$$
(7)

where $\|\|_{\text{fro}}$ is the Frobenius norm, $\|\|_{\text{nuc}}$ is the nuclear norm and $\delta\{\}$ is the indicator function.

Since A contains background of each frame and the background keeps the same, A should be a low-rank matrix. Therefore, the nuclear norm is used to constraint A to be a low-rank matrix. The l_1 norm of B requires B to be sparse.

You are asked to apply ADMM to solve this energy function. The M here can be considered as a replacement variable and we introduce the Lagrangian multiplier Y to construct the augmented Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}(A, B, M, Y) = \|A\|_{\text{nuc}} + \lambda \|B\|_{1} + \delta \{\|M - Z\|_{\text{fro}} \le \epsilon \} + \langle Y, A + B - M \rangle + \frac{\rho}{2} \|A + B - M\|_{\text{fro}}^{2}$$
(8)

Then use ADMM to solve:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{A,B,M,Y} \mathcal{L}(A, B, M, Y) \tag{9}$$