Proof Script for WS2019/20 Convex Optimization*

Last updated: October 28, 2019

1 Convex Analysis

Theorem 1.1 (separation of convex sets). Let C_1 , C_2 be nonempty convex subsets of \mathbb{E} .

1. Assume C_1 is closed and $C_2 = \{w\} \subset \mathbb{E} \setminus C_1$. Then $\exists v \in \mathbb{E}, v \neq 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t.}$

$$\langle v, w \rangle > \alpha \geq \langle v, u \rangle, \quad \forall u \in C_1.$$

2. Assume C_1 is open and $C_2 = \{w\} \subset \mathbb{E} \setminus C_1$. Then $\exists v \in \mathbb{E}, v \neq 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t.}$

$$\langle v, w \rangle \ge \alpha \ge \langle v, u \rangle, \quad \forall u \in C_1.$$

3. Assume $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$ and C_1 is open. Then $\exists v \in \mathbb{E}, v \neq 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\langle v, u^1 \rangle \ge \alpha \ge \langle v, u^2 \rangle, \quad \forall u^1 \in C_1, \ u^2 \in C_2.$$

4. Assume $\emptyset \neq \text{int } C_1 \subset \mathbb{E} \backslash C_2$. Then $\exists v \in \mathbb{E}, v \neq 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t.}$

$$\langle v, u^1 \rangle \ge \alpha \ge \langle v, u^2 \rangle, \quad \forall u^1 \in C_1, \ u^2 \in C_2.$$

- Proof. (1) Consider the projection of w onto C_1 , i.e., set $u^* := \arg\min_{u \in C_1} \frac{1}{2} \|u w\|^2$ or, equivalently via the variational inequality: $\langle u u^*, u^* w \rangle \ge 0 \ \forall u \in C_1$. Now let $v := w u^* \ne 0$. Then $\forall u \in C_1$, we have $\langle v, w \rangle = \langle w u^*, w \rangle = \|w u^*\|^2 + \langle w u^*, u^* \rangle \ge \|w u^*\|^2 + \langle w u^*, u \rangle = \|v\|^2 + \langle v, u \rangle$. Set $\alpha := \sup\{\langle v, u \rangle : u \in C_1\}$. Note $\alpha < \infty$ since $\langle v, u \rangle \le \langle v, u^* \rangle \ \forall u \in C$. Thus $\langle v, w \rangle > \langle v, w \rangle \|v\|^2 \ge \alpha \ge \langle v, u \rangle \ \forall u \in C_1$.
- (2) We have either $w \in \mathbb{E} \backslash \operatorname{cl} C_1$ or $w \in \operatorname{bdry} C_1$. In either case, $\exists w^k \in \mathbb{E} \backslash \operatorname{cl} C_1$ s.t. $w^k \to w$. For each w^k , by (i), $\exists v^k \in \mathbb{E}$ with $||v^k|| \equiv 1$ s.t. $\langle v^k, w^k \rangle \leq \langle v^k, u \rangle \ \forall u \in \operatorname{cl} C_1$. Hence $v^k \to v \in \mathbb{E}$ along a subsequence s.t. ||v|| = 1 and $\alpha := \langle v, w \rangle \leq \langle v, u \rangle \ \forall u \in C_1 \subset \operatorname{cl} C_1$.
- (3) Let $C := C_2 C_1 = \{u^2 u^1 : u^1 \in C_1, u^2 \in C_2\}$. Note that C is a convex, open set, and $0 \notin C$. By (2), $\exists v \in \mathbb{E}$ with ||v|| = 1 s.t. $\langle -v, u^2 u^1 \rangle \geq \langle -v, 0 \rangle = 0$ or, equivalently, $\langle v, u^1 \rangle \geq \langle v, u^2 \rangle \ \forall u^1 \in C_1, \ u^2 \in C_2$. Set $\alpha := \sup\{\langle v, u^2 \rangle : u^2 \in C_2\}$, then we conclude that $\langle v, u^1 \rangle \geq \alpha \geq \langle v, u^2 \rangle \ \forall u^1 \in C_1, \ u^2 \in C_2$.
- (4) By applying (3) to int C_1 and C_2 , we have $\langle v, u^1 \rangle \ge \alpha \ge \langle v, u^2 \rangle \ \forall u^1 \in \text{int } C_1, \ u^2 \in C_2$. The inequality remains true for all $u_1 \in C_1$.

^{*}Please report typos to: tao.wu@tum.de

Theorem 1.2. A proper convex function $J: \mathbb{E} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is locally Lipschitz at any $u \in \text{rint dom } J$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we restrict J: aff dom $J \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

(i) Claim: If $M = \sup\{J(v) : v \in B_{\epsilon}(u)\} < \infty$ with $\epsilon > 0$, then J is locally Lipschitz at u. First, by convexity of J we have $\forall v \in B_{\epsilon}(u) : J(v) \ge 2J(u) - J(2u - v) \ge 2J(u) - M$. Thus, $\sup\{|J(v)| : v \in B_{\epsilon}(u)\} \le M + 2|J(u)|$.

Next, we show J is Lipschitz on $B_{\epsilon/2}(u)$. Let $v,w\in B_{\epsilon/2}(u)$ be given. Take $z\in B_{\epsilon}(u)$ s.t. w=(1-t)v+tz for some $t\in [0,1]$ and $\|z-v\|\geq \epsilon/2$. By convexity, $J(w)-J(v)\leq t(J(z)-J(v))\leq 2t(M-J(u))$. Since t(z-v)=w-v, we have $t=\|w-v\|/\|z-v\|\leq 2\|w-v\|/\epsilon$ and $J(w)-J(v)\leq (4(M-J(u))/\epsilon)\|w-v\|$. Analogously, one can show $J(v)-J(w)\leq (4(M-J(u))/\epsilon)\|w-v\|$. Hence, J is Lipschitz on $B_{\epsilon/2}(u)$ with modulus $4(M-J(u))/\epsilon$.

(ii) Let $u \in \text{rint dom } J$ and $n = \dim(\text{aff dom } J)$. Then by Carathéodory's theorem, $\exists \{\alpha^i\}_{i=1}^{n+1} \subset (0,1), \{u^i\}_{i=1}^{n+1} \subset \text{dom } J \text{ s.t. } u = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha^i u^i, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha^i = 1, \text{ i.e., } u \text{ belongs to the relative interior of the convex hull of } \{u^i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$. Thus one can apply (i) to assert that J is locally Lipschitz at u.

Theorem 1.3. For any proper convex function $J : \mathbb{E} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, if $u^* \in \text{dom } J$ is a local minimizer of J, then it is also a global minimizer.

Proof. By the definition of a local minimizer, $\exists \epsilon > 0$ s.t. $J(u^*) \leq J(u) \ \forall u \in B_{\epsilon}(u^*)$. For the sake of contradiction, assume $\exists \bar{u} \in \mathbb{E}$ s.t. $J(\bar{u}) < J(u^*)$. By convexity of J, we have $J(\alpha \bar{u} + (1 - \alpha)u^*) \leq J(u^*) - \alpha(J(u^*) - J(\bar{u})) < J(u^*) \ \forall \alpha \in (0, 1]$. This violates the local optimality of u^* as $\alpha \to 0^+$.

Theorem 1.4. Any proper function $J : \mathbb{E} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, which is bounded from below, coercive, and lsc, has a (global) minimizer.

Proof. Let $\{u^k\}$ be an infimizing sequence for J, i.e., $\lim_{k\to\infty} J(u^k) = \inf_{u\in\mathbb{E}} J(u) \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\{J(u^k)\}$ is uniformly bounded from above, by coercivity of J we have $\{u^k\}$ uniformly bounded. By compactness, $u^k \to u^*$ along a subsequence. Since J is lsc, we have $J(u^*) \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} J(u^k) = \inf_{u\in\mathbb{E}} J(u)$, which implies $J(u^*) = \inf_{u\in\mathbb{E}} J(u)$ or u^* is a minimizer of J.

Theorem 1.5. The minimizer of a strictly convex function $J: \mathbb{E} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is unique.

Proof. Let $u, v \in \mathbb{E}$ be two (global) minimizers s.t. $u \neq v$ and $J(u) = J(v) = J^*$. By strict convexity of J, $J(\alpha u + (1 - \alpha)v) < \alpha J(u) + (1 - \alpha)J(v) = J^*$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, which contradicts the global optimality of u and v.