

Fight III-Posedness with III-Posedness:

Single-shot Variational Depth Super-Resolution from Shading

Haefner et al.

Seminar: An Overview of Methods for Accurate Geometry Reconstruction Fabian Schöttl Garching, 27.11.2019



### Motivation

• RGB-D cameras often output high-resolution color but low-resolution depth data





# Motivation

- RGB-D cameras often output high-resolution color but low-resolution depth data
- Can we get high-resolution depth data?
  - How can we interpolate missing depth data to fit color data?
  - Using only one RGB-D image





# Motivation

- RGB-D cameras often output high-resolution color but low-resolution depth data
- Can we get high-resolution depth data?
  - How can we interpolate missing depth data to fit color data?
  - Using only one RGB-D image
- Two solutions to this problem
  - Both are ill-posed...





- Estimate high resolution depth map z from low resolution input  $z_0$
- Solve  $z_0 = K z + \eta_z$  for z



- Estimate high resolution depth map z from low resolution input  $z_0$
- Solve  $z_0 = K z + \eta_z$  for z
- Requires inverting K
  - Ill-posed since *K* maps from high-res domain to low-res

- Estimate high re
- Solve  $z_0 = K z$
- Requires inverti
  - Ill-posed since





- Estimate high resolution depth map z from low resolution input  $z_0$
- Solve  $z_0 = K z + \eta_z$  for z
- Requires inverting *K* 
  - Ill-posed since *K* maps from high-res domain to low-res

- Estimate high resolution depth map z from low resolution input  $z_0$
- Solve  $z_0 = K z + \eta_z$  for z
- Requires inverting *K* 
  - Ill-posed since *K* maps from high-res domain to low-res
- Use high-res RGB-image to guide interpolation between depth values



# Approach 2 – Shape from shading

- Invert image formation model
  - $I = R(z|l, \rho) + \eta_I$



# Approach 2 – Shape from shading

- Invert image formation model
  - $I = R(z|l, \rho) + \eta_I$
- Previous methods can only estimate magnitude of depth gradient
  - $|\nabla z| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{l^2} 1}$
  - Ill-posed since gradient direction is ambiguous





Apr Crazy--canyons look like plateaus from space. We should all remember a person's perspective can make the very same thing look very different lt.

Folgen

V



09:00 - 3. Mai 2017

tude of depth gradient

luous



V



Folgen  $\sim$ 

Apr Crazy--canyons look like plateaus from space. We should all remember a person's perspective can make the very same thing look very different lt.



09:00 - 3. Mai 2017



Antwort an @Astro2fish

Flip the picture upside-down! @astro2fish twitter.com/Astro2fish/sta ...



09:02 - 3. Mai 2017

Folgen



# Approach 2 – Shape from shading

- Invert image formation model
  - $I = R(z|l, \rho) + \eta_I$
- Previous methods can only estimate magnitude of depth gradient
  - $|\nabla z| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{l^2} 1}$
  - Ill-posed since gradient direction is ambiguous



# Approach 2 – Shape from shading

- Invert image formation model
  - $I = R(z|l, \rho) + \eta_I$
- Previous methods can only estimate magnitude of depth gradient
  - $|\nabla z| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{l^2} 1}$
  - Ill-posed since gradient direction is ambiguous
- Use low-res depth map to guide shape from shading



# Fight ill-posedness with ill-posedness



- Solve both problems at the same time
  - $z_0 = K z + \eta_z$
  - $I = R(z|l, \rho) + \eta_I$



- Solve both problems at the same time
  - $z_0 = K z + \eta_z$
  - $I = R(z|l, \rho) + \eta_I$

 $\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I)$ 



- Solve both problems at the same time
  - $z_0 = K z + \eta_z$
  - $I = R(z|l, \rho) + \eta_I$

$$\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I)$$
  
=  $\max_{z,\rho,l} \frac{P(z_0,I|z,\rho,l) P(z,\rho,l)}{P(z_0,I)}$   
=  $\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z_0,I|z,\rho,l) P(z,\rho,l)$ 



- Solve both problems at the same time
  - $z_0 = K z + \eta_z$
  - $I = R(z|l, \rho) + \eta_I$

$$\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I)$$

$$= \max_{z,\rho,l} \frac{P(z_0,I|z,\rho,l) P(z,\rho,l)}{P(z_0,I)}$$

$$= \max_{z,\rho,l} \underbrace{\frac{P(z_0,I|z,\rho,l)}{likelihood}}_{likelihood} \underbrace{\frac{P(z,\rho,l)}{prior}}_{prior}$$



- $P(z_0, I | z, \rho, l) = P(z_0 | z) P(I | z, \rho, l)$ 
  - RGB-D sensors capture depth and color independently



•  $P(z_0, I | z, \rho, l) = P(z_0 | z) P(I | z, \rho, l)$ 



- $P(z_0, I|z, \rho, l) = P(z_0|z)P(I|z, \rho, l)$
- Recall:  $z_0 = K z + \eta_z$

• 
$$P(z_0|z) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{\|K z - z_0\|_{\ell^2}^2}{2\sigma_z^2}\right\}$$



- $P(z_0, I|z, \rho, l) = P(z_0|z)P(I|z, \rho, l)$
- Image formation model
  - $I = (l \cdot m_{z, \nabla z}) \rho$ 
    - with  $l \in \mathbb{R}^4$  and  $m_{z,\nabla z} = \begin{bmatrix} n_z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
    - Assume achromatic, directional (+ ambient) lighting

• 
$$P(I|z,\rho,l) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{\|(l\cdot m_{z,\nabla z})\rho - I\|_{\ell^2}^2}{2\sigma_l^2}\right\}$$



•  $P(z_0, I|z, \rho, l) = P(z_0|z)P(I|z, \rho, l)$ 

$$P(z_0|z) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{\|K z - z_0\|_{\ell^2}^2}{2\sigma_z^2}\right\}$$
$$P(I|z,\rho,l) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{\|(l \cdot m_{z,\nabla z})\rho - I\|_{\ell^2}^2}{2\sigma_l^2}\right\}$$



- $P(z,\rho,l) = P(z) P(\rho) P(l)$ 
  - Assume depth, reflectance and lighting are independent



- $P(z,\rho,l) = P(z) P(\rho) P(l)$ 
  - Assume depth, reflectance and lighting are independent
- P(l) = constant



- $P(z,\rho,l) = P(z) P(\rho) P(l)$ 
  - Assume depth, reflectance and lighting are independent
- P(l) = constant

• 
$$P(z) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{\|dA_{z,\nabla z}\|_{\ell^1}}{\alpha}\right\}$$
, minimal surface prior



- $P(z,\rho,l) = P(z) P(\rho) P(l)$ 
  - Assume depth, reflectance and lighting are independent
- P(l) = constant

• 
$$P(z) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{\|dA_{z,\nabla z}\|_{\ell^1}}{\alpha}\right\}$$
, minimal surface prior

• 
$$P(\rho) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{\|\nabla\rho\|_{\ell^0}}{\beta}\right\}$$
, assume  $\rho$  to be piecewise constant



•  $\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I) = \max_{z,\rho,l} P(I|z,\rho,l) P(z_0|z) P(z) P(\rho)$ 

- $\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I) = \max_{z,\rho,l} P(I|z,\rho,l) P(z_0|z) P(z) P(\rho)$
- $\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I) = \min_{z,\rho,l} \left\| \left( l \cdot m_{z,\nabla z} \right) \rho I \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \mu \left\| K z z_0 \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \nu \left\| dA_{z,\nabla z} \right\|_{\ell^1} + \lambda \left\| \nabla \rho \right\|_{\ell^0}$

• 
$$\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I) = \max_{z,\rho,l} P(I|z,\rho,l) P(z_0|z) P(z) P(\rho)$$

• 
$$\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I) = \min_{z,\rho,l} \left\| \left( l \cdot m_{z,\nabla z} \right) \rho - I \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \mu \left\| K z - z_0 \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \nu \left\| dA_{z,\nabla z} \right\|_{\ell^1} + \lambda \left\| \nabla \rho \right\|_{\ell^0}$$

• 
$$P(I|z,\rho,l) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{\|(l\cdot m_{z,\nabla z})\rho - I\|_{\ell^2}^2}{2\sigma_I^2}\right\}$$

• 
$$\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I) = \max_{z,\rho,l} P(I|z,\rho,l) P(z_0|z) P(z) P(\rho)$$

• 
$$\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I) = \min_{z,\rho,l} \left\| \left( l \cdot m_{z,\nabla z} \right) \rho - I \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \mu \left\| K z - z_0 \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \nu \left\| dA_{z,\nabla z} \right\|_{\ell^1} + \lambda \left\| \nabla \rho \right\|_{\ell^0}$$

• 
$$P(z_0|z) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{\|K z - z_0\|_{\ell^2}^2}{2\sigma_z^2}\right\}$$

• 
$$\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I) = \max_{z,\rho,l} P(I|z,\rho,l) P(z_0|z) P(z) P(\rho)$$

• 
$$\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I) = \min_{z,\rho,l} \left\| \left( l \cdot m_{z,\nabla z} \right) \rho - I \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \mu \left\| K z - z_0 \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \nu \left\| dA_{z,\nabla z} \right\|_{\ell^1} + \lambda \left\| \nabla \rho \right\|_{\ell^0}$$

• 
$$P(z) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{\|dA_{z,\nabla z}\|_{\ell^1}}{\alpha}\right\}$$

• 
$$\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I) = \max_{z,\rho,l} P(I|z,\rho,l) P(z_0|z) P(z) P(\rho)$$

• 
$$\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I) = \min_{z,\rho,l} \left\| \left( l \cdot m_{z,\nabla z} \right) \rho - I \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \mu \left\| K z - z_0 \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \nu \left\| dA_{z,\nabla z} \right\|_{\ell^1} + \lambda \left\| \nabla \rho \right\|_{\ell^0}$$

• 
$$P(\rho) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{\|\nabla\rho\|_{\ell^0}}{\beta}\right\}$$

• 
$$\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I) = \max_{z,\rho,l} P(I|z,\rho,l) P(z_0|z) P(z) P(\rho)$$

• 
$$\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I) = \min_{z,\rho,l} \left\| \left( l \cdot m_{z,\nabla z} \right) \rho - I \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \mu \left\| K z - z_0 \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \nu \left\| dA_{z,\nabla z} \right\|_{\ell^1} + \lambda \left\| \nabla \rho \right\|_{\ell^0}$$

• With 
$$\mu = \frac{\sigma_I^2}{\sigma_z^2}$$
,  $\nu = \frac{2 \sigma_I^2}{\alpha}$  and  $\lambda = \frac{2 \sigma_I^2}{\beta}$ 

• 
$$\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I) = \max_{z,\rho,l} P(I|z,\rho,l) P(z_0|z) P(z) P(\rho)$$

• 
$$\max_{z,\rho,l} P(z,\rho,l|z_0,I) = \min_{z,\rho,l} \left\| \left( l \cdot m_{z,\nabla z} \right) \rho - I \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \mu \left\| K z - z_0 \right\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \nu \left\| dA_{z,\nabla z} \right\|_{\ell^1} + \lambda \left\| \nabla \rho \right\|_{\ell^0}$$

• With 
$$\mu = \frac{\sigma_I^2}{\sigma_z^2}$$
,  $\nu = \frac{2 \sigma_I^2}{\alpha}$  and  $\lambda = \frac{2 \sigma_I^2}{\beta}$ 

- Problem is non-convex and non-smooth
  - But converges in practice using a numerical solver



### Experimental validation

- Render Test model (color + depth)
  - Single directional + ambient lighting
  - Three different reflectance maps
  - Gaussian noise on top of color/depth map





### Experimental validation

- Evaluate root mean squared error between depth/albedo map and groundtruth maps
- Vary each hyperparameter  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\lambda$  to find optimum





# input depth input color input depth input color output depth output albedo

output depth

output albedo





#### mean angular error on normals

[58] J. Xie, R. S. Feris, and M.-T. Sun.

Edge-guided single depth image super resolution

[60] Q. Yang, R. Yang, J. Davis, and D. Nist er.

Spatial-depth super resolution for range image

[43] R. Or-El, G. Rosman, A. Wetzler, R. Kimmel, and A. Bruckstein RGBD-Fusion: Real-Time High Precision Depth Recovery





#### mean angular error on normals

[58] J. Xie, R. S. Feris, and M.-T. Sun.

Edge-guided single depth image super resolution

[60] Q. Yang, R. Yang, J. Davis, and D. Nist er.

Spatial-depth super resolution for range image

[43] R. Or-El, G. Rosman, A. Wetzler, R. Kimmel, and A. Bruckstein RGBD-Fusion: Real-Time High Precision Depth Recovery





#### mean angular error on normals

[58] J. Xie, R. S. Feris, and M.-T. Sun.

Edge-guided single depth image super resolution

[60] Q. Yang, R. Yang, J. Davis, and D. Nist er.

Spatial-depth super resolution for range image

[43] R. Or-El, G. Rosman, A. Wetzler, R. Kimmel, and A. Bruckstein RGBD-Fusion: Real-Time High Precision Depth Recovery



# Summary

- Combine two ill-posed problems and solve ambiguities
  - Single shot depth super-resolution is ill-posed
  - Shape from shading is ill-posed
  - Intuitive solution
    - Use high frequency color information to preserve detail in depth super-resolution
    - Use low frequency depth map as a baseline for shape from shading
- Formulate a variational problem
  - Maximize the posterior distribution of the input data
  - Can be split into likelihood and prior distribution
  - Solve numerically