Cubic Stylization

HSUEH-TI DEREK LIU, JACOBSON



Introduction of the problem

2D image stylization:











source: Li Y, Liu M Y, Li X, et al. A closed-form solution to photorealistic image stylization.

Introduction of the problem

3D Mesh stylization: ?



Style of cubic sculptures

Introduction of the problem

3D Mesh stylization:



Input

Output

Approach

• Energy Function:

$$\underset{\widetilde{V}, \{\mathbf{R}_i\}}{\operatorname{minimize}} \sum_{i \in V} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \underbrace{\frac{w_{ij}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{d}_{ij} - \widetilde{\mathbf{d}}_{ij} \right\|_F^2}_{\mathsf{ARAP}} + \underbrace{\lambda a_i \left\| \mathbf{R}_i \hat{\mathbf{n}}_i \right\|_1}_{\mathsf{CUBENESS}}$$

1. As Rigid As Possible (ARAP) : Preserve the local structure. [Sorkine and Alexa 2007]

2. CUBENESS: Minimize the l^1 norm to encourage the rotated normals to align with the axes

Sorkine, Olga, and Marc Alexa. "As-rigid-as-possible surface modeling." 2007.



- Preserve small part covering the surface
 - Cells should overlap to prevent shearing at the cells boundaries







How to preserve the details?

• Rigid transformation! R

Rigid transformation preserves the distance between every pair of points.



if deformation is rigid:

$$(\mathbf{v}_i' - \mathbf{v}_j') = R_i (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j) , \forall j \in \mathcal{N}(i)$$



if deformation is not rigid:

$$\min \sum_{j \in N(i)} \left\| (\mathbf{v}'_i - \mathbf{v}'_j) - R_i (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j) \right\|^2$$

Energy minimization

If **v**, **v**' are known then R_i is uniquely defined



$$\min \sum_{j \in N(i)} \left\| (\mathbf{v}'_i - \mathbf{v}'_j) - R_i (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j) \right\|^2$$

Energy minimization

Total deformation energy

$$\min_{\mathbf{v}'} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \left\| \left(\mathbf{v}'_{i} - \mathbf{v}'_{j} \right) - R_{i} \left(\mathbf{v}_{i} - \mathbf{v}_{j} \right) \right\|^{2}$$

- Alternating iterations of V' and R_i
 - Given initial guess $v'_{0,g}$ find optimal rotations
 - Given the R_i (fixed), minimize the energy by finding new v'

Need appropriate weighting



$$E_{cell} = \sum_{j \in N(i)} \left\| (\mathbf{v}'_i - \mathbf{v}'_j) - R_i (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j) \right\|^2$$

source: https://igl.ethz.ch/projects/ARAP/

Need appropriate weighting

• Non-symmetric results



Need appropriate weighting

$$E_{cell} = \sum_{j \in N(i)} w_{ij} \left\| (\mathbf{v}'_i - \mathbf{v}'_j) - R_i (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j) \right\|^2$$



 $w_{ij} = \cot(\alpha_{ij}) + \cot(\beta_{ij})$

Weighted Energy function

• Symmetric results





$$\underset{\widetilde{V},\{\mathbf{R}_i\}}{\text{minimize}} \sum_{i \in V} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \underbrace{\frac{w_{ij}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{d}_{ij} - \widetilde{\mathbf{d}}_{ij} \right\|_F^2}_{\text{ARAP}} + \underbrace{\lambda a_i \left\| \mathbf{R}_i \hat{\mathbf{n}}_i \right\|_1}_{\text{CUBENESS}}$$

CUBENESS:

 $\lambda a_i \left\| \mathrm{R}_i \hat{\mathrm{n}}_i
ight\|_1$

minimize I1 norm enforce sparsity





normal aligned with x axis

CUBENESS:

$\lambda a_i \|\mathbf{R}_i \hat{\mathbf{n}}_i\|_1$



CUBENESS:

$\lambda a_i \| \mathbf{R}_i \hat{\mathbf{n}}_i \|_1$



- input mesh
- $\lambda = 2.5 \times 10^{-2}$

 $\lambda = 1.0 \times 10^{-1}$

 $\lambda = 2.0 \times 10^{-1}$

 $\lambda = 4.0 \times 10^{-1}$

 $\lambda = 1.0 \times 10^1$

How to optimize it?

$$\begin{array}{l} \underset{\widetilde{V}, \{\mathrm{R}_i\}}{\text{minimize}} \sum_{i \in V} \sum_{j \in N(i)} \frac{w_{ij}}{2} \left\| \mathrm{R}_i \mathrm{d}_{ij} - \widetilde{\mathrm{d}}_{ij} \right\|_F^2 + \lambda a_i \left\| \mathrm{R}_i \hat{\mathrm{n}}_i \right\|_1 \\ \overbrace{\mathsf{ARAP}}^{\mathsf{CUBENESS}}
\end{array}$$

Local-Global

• Local:
$$R_i^{\star} = \underset{R_i \in SO(3)}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \frac{1}{2} \|R_i D_i - \widetilde{D}_i\|_{W_i}^2 + \lambda a_i \|R_i \hat{n}_i\|_1 \longrightarrow ADMM$$

• Global :
$$\widetilde{V}^{\star} = \underset{\widetilde{V}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{i \in V} \frac{1}{2} \left\| R_i D_i - \widetilde{D}_i \right\|_{W_i}^2$$
 Linear System

ADMM: Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers

1. Dual ascent

Consider the problem: $\min_{x} f(x)$ subject to Ax = b

where f is strictly convex and closed. Denote Lagrangian

$$L(x,u) = f(x) + u^T(Ax - b)$$
 decomposable

Dual gradient ascent repeats, for $k = 1, 2, 3 \cdots$

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(k)} &= \underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ L(x, u^{(k-1)}) \\ u^{(k)} &= u^{(k-1)} + t_k (Ax^{(k)} - b) \end{aligned}$$

Good: decomposable if f is eg.
$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{\nu}} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} f_i(\mathbf{x}_i) \end{aligned}$$

Bad: stringent assumption to ensure convergence

ADMM: Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers

2. Augmented Lagrangian method

Consider the problem: $\min_{x} f(x)$ subject to Ax = b

uses a modified Lagrangian

$$L_{\rho}(x,u) = f(x) + u^{T}(Ax - b) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||Ax - b||_{2}^{2}$$

Dual gradient ascent repeats, for $k = 1, 2, 3 \cdots$

$$x^{(k)} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{x} L_{
ho}(x, u^{(k-1)})$$
 $u^{(k)} = u^{(k-1)} +
ho(Ax^{(k)} - b)$

Good: better convergence properties Bad: loss the decomposability

ADMM: Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers

tries for the best of the both methods:

Consider the problem: $\min_{x,z} f(x) + g(z)$ subject to Ax + Bz = c

uses an augmented Lagrangian

$$L_{\rho}(x, z, u) = f(x) + g(z) + u^{T}(Ax + Bz - c) + \frac{\rho}{2} \|Ax + Bz - c\|_{2}^{2}$$

Dual gradient ascent repeats, for k = 1, 2, 3 \cdots

$$egin{aligned} x^{(k)} &= rgmin_x \ L_{
ho}(x, z^{(k-1)}, u^{(k-1)}) \ z^{(k)} &= rgmin_z \ L_{
ho}(x^{(k)}, z, u^{(k-1)}) \ u^{(k)} &= u^{(k-1)} +
ho(Ax^{(k)} + Bz^{(k)} - c) \end{aligned}$$

Local Step:
$$R_i^{\star} = \underset{R_i \in SO(3)}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \frac{1}{2} \|R_i D_i - \widetilde{D}_i\|_{W_i}^2 + \lambda a_i \|R_i \hat{n}_i\|_1$$

By setting $z = R_i \widetilde{n}_i$, rewrite as
$$\underset{z, R_i \in SO(3)}{\operatorname{minimize}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|R_i D_i - \widetilde{D}_i\|_{W_i}^2 + \lambda a_i \|z\|_1$$
subject to $z - R_i \hat{n}_i = 0$.

Local Step:
$$R_i^{\star} = \underset{R_i \in SO(3)}{\operatorname{arg min}} \frac{1}{2} \|R_i D_i - \widetilde{D}_i\|_{W_i}^2 + \lambda a_i \|R_i \hat{n}_i\|_1$$

By setting $z = R_i \tilde{n}_i$, rewrite as

$$\underset{z,R_i \in SO(3)}{\operatorname{minimize}} \frac{1}{2} \|R_i D_i - \widetilde{D}_i\|_{W_i}^2 + \lambda a_i \|z\|_1$$

$$\underset{subject to}{\operatorname{minimize}} f(x) + g(z)$$

$$\underset{z-R_i \hat{n}_i = 0.$$

$$\operatorname{minimize}} f(x) + g(z)$$

$$\underset{subject to}{\operatorname{minimize}} f(x) + Bz = c$$

minimize

$$z, R_i \in SO(3)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \|R_i D_i - \widetilde{D}_i\|_{W_i}^2 + \lambda a_i \|z\|_1$$
subject to
$$z - R_i \hat{n}_i = 0.$$

ADMM problem form (f, g convex)



minimize

$$z, R_i \in SO(3)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \|R_i D_i - \widetilde{D}_i\|_{W_i}^2 + \lambda a_i \|z\|_1$$
subject to
$$z - R_i \hat{n}_i = 0.$$

ADMM problem form (f, g convex)



$$\begin{array}{c} \underset{z,R_{i}\in\mathrm{SO}(3)}{\operatorname{minimize}} & \frac{1}{2} \| \mathsf{R}_{i}\mathsf{D}_{i} - \widetilde{\mathsf{D}}_{i} \|_{\mathsf{W}_{i}}^{2} + \lambda a_{i} \| z \|_{1}}{\operatorname{subject to}} \\ \underset{z,R_{i}\in\mathrm{SO}(3)}{\operatorname{subject to}} & \frac{1}{2} \| \mathsf{R}_{i}\mathsf{D}_{i} - \widetilde{\mathsf{D}}_{i} \|_{\mathsf{W}_{i}}^{2} + \frac{\rho^{k}}{2} \| \mathsf{R}_{i}\hat{\mathsf{n}}_{i} - z^{k} + \mathbf{u}^{k} \|_{2}^{2}}{\operatorname{subject to}} \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \underset{z,R_{i}\in\mathrm{SO}(3)}{\operatorname{minimize}} & \frac{f(x)}{Ax} + \frac{g(z)}{Ax} + \frac{g(z)$$

u = (1/p)y

Affine Progressive Meshes



Affine Progressive Meshes



Store: 1. displacement vectors from p_i to $p_j p_k$ 2. matrix A : A = $(\mathbf{Q}_i \mathbf{Q}_i^{\top})^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_i$. Deformation Recover: $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_j - \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i = \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_i \mathbf{A}^{\top} (\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{p}_i)$

 Q_i is a 3 × |N(i)| matrix where each column is the vector from pi to one of its one-rings neighbours N(i).

Algorithm

Algorithm 2: Fast Cube Stylization (λ, m)

Input : A triangle mesh V, F **Output**: Deformed vertex positions \widetilde{V}

// pre-processing

- 1. $m \leftarrow \text{target number of faces}$
- 2. $V_c, F_c \leftarrow edge \ collapses(V, F, m)$
- // cubic stylization

3.
$$\widetilde{\mathsf{V}}_c \leftarrow \mathsf{V}_c$$

4. while not converge do

5.
$$\mathbb{R} \leftarrow local-step(V_c, V_c, \lambda)$$

6.
$$[V_c \leftarrow global-step(R)]$$

7. $\widetilde{\mathsf{V}}, \mathsf{F} \leftarrow affine \ vertex \ splits(\widetilde{\mathsf{V}}_c, \mathsf{F}_c)$

Non-uniform cubeness

Cubeness: $\lambda a_i \left\| \mathrm{R}_i \mathrm{\hat{n}}_i \right\|_1$



Non-uniform cubeness

Cubeness: $\lambda a_i \left\| \mathrm{R}_i \mathrm{\hat{n}}_i \right\|_1$



• Fix certain parts of the shape:

add constraint in global step $\widetilde{\mathbf{V}}^{\star} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{V}}} \sum_{i \in V} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \mathbf{R}_i \mathbf{D}_i - \widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_i \right\|_{W_i}^2$



• Apply different Rotations before stylization Cubeness: $\lambda a_i \| R_i \hat{n}_i \|_1$



Apply different coordinate system

Cubeness: $\lambda a_i \| \mathbf{R}_i \hat{\mathbf{n}}_i^{\text{local}} \|_1$





Polyhedral stylization

Cubeness: $\lambda a_i \| \mathbf{BR}_i \hat{\mathbf{n}}_i \|_1$



Runtime





1	2	3	4	5

Model	F	λ	m	Iters.	Pre.	Runtime
1	39K	0.20	n/a	106	n/a	5.08s
2	41K	0.20	n/a	93	n/a	4.50s
3	21K	0.4	n/a	86	n/a	2.26s
4	2018K	0.20	20K	83	64.19s	3.93s
5	346K	0.40	20K	222	10.69s	4.59s
6	811K	0.30	40K	173	30.44s	8.38s

User study





Conclusion

- 3D stylization algorithm that can turn an input shape into the style of a cube while maintaining the content of the original shape.
- No mesh surgery
- Artistic Control
- Generalize to polyhedral stylization

Thank you !